The efficacy of thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomy in patients with dense fissures
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Background: Prolonged air leakage after a lobectomy remains a frequent complication in patients with dense fissures. To avoid postoperative air leakage, we used the “thoracoscopic fissureless technique” for patients with dense fissures. A thoracoscopic approach is useful for the fissureless technique because it gives a good operative view from various angles without dividing the fissure. In this study, we compared the peri- or intraoperative results of thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomies to traditional lobectomies with fissure dissection for pulmonary artery (PA) exposure in order to identify the efficacy of thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomy.

Methods: Between April 2012 and November 2015, 175 patients underwent a thoracoscopic lobectomy with three or four ports, of whom 14 underwent a fissureless lobectomy because of dense fissures. We compared the characteristics and perioperative outcomes of the patients who underwent the fissureless technique (fissureless technique group, n=14) and the traditional fissure dissection technique for PA exposure (traditional technique group, n=161). In our department, fissureless lobectomy is indicated for patients with a fused fissure (fissural grade III or IV as proposed by Craig in 1997) or inflammation makes it difficult to expose the PA, while the traditional technique is used for other patients.

Results: Although the fissureless technique group had longer operation time than the traditional technique group (P=0.0045), there was no significant inter-group difference about blood loss (P=0.85), occurrence rate of intraoperative massive bleeding (P=0.6) or conversion rate to thoracotomy (P=0.31). According to postoperative results, there was no significant inter-group difference in duration of chest tube drainage (P=0.56), length of postoperative hospital stay (P=0.14), or morbidity rate (P=0.16). No mortality occurred in either group.

Conclusions: A thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomy is feasible and safe, and useful to avoid postoperative air-leakage in patients with dense fissures.

Keywords: Troubleshooting; bleeding; thoracoscopy; pulmonary resection

doi: 10.21037/jtd.2016.12.58
View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2016.12.58
Introduction

The incidence of prolonged air leak (PAL) is a common complication after pulmonary major surgeries, which incidences reported 7.6–10% in several studies (1-4).

Although many intraoperative methods have been reported for general thoracic surgeries, including the use of surgical sealants or stapler line buttress materials to avoid postoperative air leakage, none of them has been shown to have clear benefits or universal applicability (5,6). In addition, it is also considered to be unclear whether perioperative managements including water seal management or digital drainage system after a pulmonary lobectomy reduces the incidence of PAL or not (7-9).

PAL after a lobectomy frequently occurs especially in patients with dense fissures. For these patients with dense fissures, we use the “thoracoscopic fissureless technique” to avoid postoperative PAL. Although there have been several reports demonstrating the efficacy of fissureless lobectomy to avoid postoperative PAL, most of these reports demonstrated only right upper fissureless lobectomies because the fissure between a right upper and a middle lobe is frequently fused compared with other fissures (10,11). And, Gómez-Caro et al. and Nomori et al. reported that the efficacy of fissureless technique in any type of lobectomy, however, their approach was via mini-thoracotomy or thoracotomy (12,13). To our best knowledge, there has been an only report demonstrating the efficacy of thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomy by Stamenovic and colleagues (14). Compared to a thoracotomy or a mini-thoracotomy approach, a thoracoscopic approach might be useful for the fissureless technique because it gives a good operative view from various angles without dividing the fissure (15).

In this study, we compared the peri- or intraoperative results of thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomies to those of traditional lobectomies with fissure dissection for pulmonary artery (PA) exposure in order to identify the efficacy of thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomy, and herein report the results.

Methods

Patients

Between April 2012 and November 2015, 175 patients underwent a thoracoscopic lobectomy with three or four ports, of whom 14 underwent a fissureless lobectomy because of dense fissures. We compared the characteristics and perioperative outcomes of the patients who underwent the fissureless technique (fissureless technique group, n=14) and the traditional fissure dissection technique for PA exposure (traditional technique group, n=161).

Fissural grade

In our department, the fissureless lobectomy is applied for patients with a fused fissure (fissural grade III or IV as proposed by Craig in 1997) or inflammation makes it difficult to expose the PA, while the traditional technique is used for other patients (16).

Surgical technique

General remarks

Thoracoscopic lobectomies were performed under general anesthesia using one-lung ventilation with the patient in the lateral decubitus position. We used a 5- or 10-mm flexible-type thoracoscope in any case. While the assistant surgeon in a dorsal position, the operating surgeon stood ventral to the patient.

A television monitor was placed above the head of the patient. All procedures were performed by using thoracoscopic vision. The access incision (initially, 3 cm in length) was placed at 4th or 5th intercostal space on the anterior axillary line. At the 6th or 7th intercostal space on the posterior axillary line, the thoracoscopic port was placed. One additional port was placed at the 6th intercostal space on the anterior axillary line. These ports were covered with an XXS size wound retractor (Alexis Wound Retractor, Applied Medical, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, USA), and the access port with an XXS or an XS size retractor. In any lobectomy, the dense interlobar fissure is finally divided by staplers. On completion of the pulmonary resection, the specimen was placed into an endovascular bag and retrieved through the access port. If necessary, the incision was enlarged to a length of 4–5 cm, depending on the specimen size. A rib-spreader was never used at any point during the operation. A drainage tube was placed in the thorax through the 6th intercostal port on the anterior axillary line at the end of operation.

Right upper lobectomy

Figure 1 demonstrates the procedure of thoracoscopic fissureless right upper lobectomy.

While the upper lobe is retracted towards the back, the upper lobe vein and the anterior PA trunk to the upper lobe are exposed and divided. After the division of right upper...
lobe (RUL) bronchus by a stapler, the ascending artery is divided. However, it is better to dissect and divide the ascending A2 prior to RUL bronchus when the ascending A2 branches from a comparatively proximal portion.

Right middle lobectomy
While the middle and the lower lobe are retracted towards the back, the middle lobe vein and the bronchus are divided by a stapler. The middle lower PA lies right behind the bronchus, and is divided.

Right or left lower lobectomy
The inferior pulmonary vein (IPV) and the lower bronchus are divided after dissection of the pulmonary ligament while the lower lobe is retracted towards the head. The pulmonary arteries lay right behind the bronchus. We should identify common basal and superior segmental arteries, and then divide each. Prior to the division of lower bronchus, the sheath of pulmonary arteries should be dissected. This procedure will avoid the injury of the branches of the cranial PA.

Left upper lobectomy
While the upper lobe is retracted towards the back, the superior PV is divided. And then, the anterior segmental PA is divided by a stapler. After the division of left upper lobe (LUL) bronchus by a stapler, the lingual segmental pulmonary arteries are exposed and divided. And then, the apicoposterior segmental arteries are finally exposed and divided while the upper lobe is retracted towards the abdomen.

We demonstrate a right upper lobectomy and a left lower lobectomy in the attached video (Figures 1, 2).

Postoperative management
Thoracic drainage started with a suction or a single chamber water seal used simple gravity, depending on the patients, after the operation.

The chest tube was removed at the time when air-leakage disappeared or total volume of pleural effusion became under 300 mL/day on postoperative day 2 or later.

In cases that persistent air-leakage lasted longer than 4 days, postoperative pleurodesis was performed.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using independent t-test or Fisher’s exact test for comparison of perioperative results. Differences were considered significant at P<0.05.

Calculations and statistical tests were performed using the Statview 5.0 software package (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).
Results

Table 1 demonstrates the list of diseases in the fissureless technique group. Eight patients with primary lung cancers are composed of cT3N0M0 (pT3N0M0) in 3, cT2bN1M0 (pT2bN1M0) in 1, cT2aN0M0 (pT2aN1M0) in 1, cT2aN0M0 (pT2aN0M0) in 1, cT1bN0M0 (pT1bN0M0) in 1, and cT1aN0M0 (pT1aN0M0) in 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>The number of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary lung cancer</td>
<td>8 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic lung cancer</td>
<td>3 (21.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory disease</td>
<td>2 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>1 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 demonstrates the ratio of each lobectomy in the two groups.

Table 3 lists the patients’ characteristics and perioperative results in the two groups.

Although the fissureless technique group had longer operation time than the traditional technique group (fissureless technique group: 270±49 min vs. traditional technique group: 222±60 min, P=0.0045), there was no significant inter-group difference about blood loss (fissureless technique group: 80±70 mL vs. traditional technique group: 90±195 mL, P=0.85), occurrence rate of intraoperative massive bleeding (fissureless technique group: 1, 7.1% vs. traditional technique group: 19, 11.8%, P=0.6) or conversion rate to thoracotomy (fissureless technique group: 0, 0% vs. traditional technique group: 11, 6.8%, P=0.31). According to postoperative results, there was no significant inter-group difference in duration of chest tube drainage (fissureless technique group: 3.9±1.9 days vs. traditional technique group: 3.5±2.6 days, P=0.56), length of postoperative hospital stay (fissureless technique group: 15.4±20.5 days vs. traditional technique group: 9.2±14.5 days, P=0.14), or morbidity rate (fissureless technique group: 5, 35.7% vs. traditional technique group: 32, 20%, P=0.16). No mortality occurred in either group.

Discussion

Several authors have reported the efficacy of fissureless lobectomy since Temes and colleagues first reported fissureless lobectomy as a procedure to avoid postoperative PAL (19). A trial conducted by Gómez-Caro et al.
demonstrated that the use of a fissureless technique significantly reduced the incidence and duration of air leakage (12). Similarly, Ng et al. found that the use of a fissureless technique reduced the duration of chest tube drainage and shortened the length of hospital stay (11). In Stamenovic’s report studying thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomy prospectively, the thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomy group was also significantly superior to the conventional thoracoscopic lobectomy group about the occurrence rate of PAL, the duration of chest tube drainage or the length of hospital stay (14). Therefore, Stamenovic and colleagues concluded that a thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomy appears to be a superior technique to conventional thoracoscopic lobectomy in terms of preventing PAL and reducing the length of hospital stay. And, there was no significant difference about operation time in Stamenovic’s report.

In our study, operation time in fissureless lobectomy group was longer than that in traditional lobectomy group although there was no significant difference between the two groups in any other parameter including duration of chest tube drainage and length of postoperative hospital stay. In the patients’ population of our study, the fissureless lobectomy group was composed of only the patients with fused fissure, while the traditional lobectomy group was composed of the patients with completely or almost divided fissure. The patients with fused fissure are likely to have pulmonary comorbidities including chronic obstructive lung disease, interstitial pneumonia, or intrathoracic adhesion. For these patients, we usually have some difficulties in performing surgery or treating postoperative care. We speculate these difficulties of the patients in the fissureless technique group led the longer operation time than that in the traditional technique group. In addition, we were unfamiliar with fissureless techniques in a totally thoracoscopic approach even if we were familiar with a fissureless lobectomy in thoracotomy approach. We consider this unfamiliarity is another reason why the fissureless technique group had the longer operation time.

Regarding duration of chest tube drainage, this study does not demonstrate the superiority of fissureless lobectomy while previous studies showed that a fissureless technique is superior to a traditional technique about the occurrence rate of PAL. We consider that this result was caused by the bias of patients’ fissural grade. Therefore, it might be valid this study demonstrates the non-inferiority of fissureless technique about the avoidance of PAL even if any patients in the fissureless technique group had dense fissures while any patients in the traditional technique group had no dense fissures.

In fissureless lobectomies, dominant bronchus is usually resected prior to dominant pulmonary arteries. Therefore, the procedure sometimes seems to be not safe for the surgeons who are not familiar with it. Especially in either lower lobectomy, the PA that should be resected is located right behind lower bronchus, which potentially leads a catastrophic pulmonary arterial injury when the connective tissue between the lower bronchus and the PA is dissected. To avoid this pulmonary arterial injury, we usually dissect the sheath of the PA enough to proceed through the tissue between the lower bronchus and the PA smoothly for forceps or staplers. We consider this technique is most important to avoid the accidental injury of the PA in either lower lobectomy. In addition, this technique leads the sufficient dissection of lymph nodes around the bronchus. It is sometimes criticized that a fissureless lobectomy potentially causes insufficient lymph nodes dissection for malignant diseases. However, the bronchus can be almost separated from the PA by using this technique. Therefore, we consider that we can perform enough lymph nodes dissection for malignant diseases even in the fissureless lobectomy by using the technique that the sheath of the PA is dissected prior to division of the bronchus.

Although the patients who underwent thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomies had longer operating times, no significant differences were observed between the groups in the other perioperative results, including the duration of postoperative drainage. This study is limited by its retrospective character and selection bias of the patients. However, these non-inferior results might indicate that a thoracoscopic fissureless lobectomy is feasible and safe, and useful to avoid postoperative PAL in patients with dense fissures.
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