Lung nodules are among the most common findings associated with lung cancer. Computed tomography (CT) is the diagnostic test with the highest sensitivity for lung nodules, with wide range of size and density. Yet the specificity of CT is low in dichotomizing malignant from benign nodules, especially on the basis of a single time-point (1).

Heidinger et al. analyzed a specific type of pulmonary nodule, namely the pure ground-glass nodule (pGGN) (2), which is mainly associated with histological adenocarcinoma (3). They addressed the CT characterization of resected pGGN adenocarcinoma in the three categories of stromal infiltration: adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS), minimally invasive adenocarcinoma (MIA), and invasive adenocarcinoma (IAC) (3). This is one of the few reports in non-Asian population (only 10% of patients were Asian), compared to a majority of Asian studies related to the quite common surgical approach to pGGN (Table 1) (4-12). Notably, the reported results detail the CT appearance through the continuum of adenocarcinoma invasiveness and show the direct association between radiological size of pGGN and conspicuity of stromal invasion on histological specimen (e.g., number and size of invasive foci). The larger the nodule the larger the invasion of adenocarcinoma, yet small size of pGGN does not warrant absence of infiltration: foci of invasion were seen in almost 10% of adenocarcinomas within pGGN <10 mm, including a 5% of IAC. Nevertheless, it should be underscored that this population was gauged to histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma, hence the proportion of invasion is expected to drop when including resected pGGN with histological evidence of pre-malignant lesion (e.g., atypical adenomatous hyperplasia) or benign finding (e.g., focal fibrosis).

In this series, the association between pGGN size and stromal infiltration was substantially similar for manual caliper and manual volume. This observation offers a practical approach, which is particularly convenient for the clinical practice: manual caliper is the simplest and most available method for nodule quantification (13), meanwhile it seems to be as good as volumetry for risk stratification, the latter is a more complex method and has limited availability in the clinical workflow. As the authors report, the manual caliper finds its application in the single time-point assessment of the pGGN. Conversely, pGGN density at a single time-point was not associated with conspicuity of stromal infiltration. This observation confirms the results from previous studies (10,14). Alternative quantitative metrics of pGGN density (e.g., entropy, homogeneity) were found to be predictive of malignancy, yet their analytic nature, the absence of consolidated threshold, and the issues with standardization still prevent an intuitive implementation in clinical practice (10). In contrast to this set of relatively simple quantitative metrics, there is evidence that visual classification of minor density difference is associated with nodule malignancy (15). For instance, subsolid nodules with subtle solid component on the sole lung window might be more likely
to grow to overt part-solid nodules with increased risk of malignancy (15). Beyond size and density characterization, visual features of pGGN are predictors of malignancy, for instance, sharpness of the pGGN margin, air bronchogram, and abnormality of the adjacent vessel (7,14). Guidelines for nodule management include qualitative descriptors that increase the suspicion of malignancy (e.g., effect on surrounding tissue, internal nodule structure, border characteristics, etc.) (16). However, there are known limitations from the inter- and intra-observer variability in such qualitative features of pulmonary nodules (17). The attempt to reduce subjective variability in the definition of such qualitative features is being endorsed by software developers because subtle morphological differences in pGGN can play a significant role in prognostication, and it is particularly relevant when assessing the nodule on a single

Table 1 Summary of scientific studies describing resected pGGN reflecting pre-malignant or malignant histology: CT characteristics associated with invasion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Number of resected pGGN</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>CT characteristics associated with invasion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heidinger (2)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>AIS: 28 (44%); MIA: 25 (40%); IAC: 10 (16%)</td>
<td>Diameter correlates with size and number of invasive foci; 10% of pGGN &lt;10 mm shows foci of invasion; weak relation between density and lepidic growth pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiang (4)</td>
<td>205*</td>
<td>AAH: 40 (20%); AIS: 95 (46%); MIA: 70 (34%)</td>
<td>Diameter: 6.5 mm to discriminate AAH from AIS and MIA; density: −520 HU to discriminate AAH and AIS from MIA; air bronchogram favored the diagnosis of MIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu (5)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>AAH: 25 (24%); AIS: 18 (17%); MIA: 24 (22%); IAC: 38 (36%)</td>
<td>Diameter: 12.5 mm to discriminate non-invasive (AAH and AIS) from invasive lesions (MIA and IAC); dilation of vessel segments crossing the pGGN and spiculated margins favored the diagnosis of invasive lesions (MIA and IAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eguchi (6)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>AIS: 47 (47%); MIA: 30 (30%); IAC: 24 (23%)</td>
<td>Diameter: 11 mm to discriminate AIS from invasive lesions (MIA and IAC); density: −680 HU to discriminate AIS from invasive lesions (MIA and IAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin (7)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>AAH: 7 (8%); AIS: 14 (15%); MIA: 35 (37%); IAC: 38 (40%)</td>
<td>Diameter: 10.5 mm to discriminate non-invasive (AAH and AIS) from invasive lesions (MIA and IAC); lobulated and spiculated margins, and air bronchogram favored the diagnosis of invasive lesions (MIA and IAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitami (8)</td>
<td>77*</td>
<td>AAH: 10 (13%); MIA: 30 (39%); IAC: 19 (25%); IAC: 18 (23%)</td>
<td>Diameter: 10 mm to discriminate non-invasive (AAH and AIS) from invasive lesions (MIA and IAC); density: −600 HU to discriminate non-invasive (AAH and AIS) from invasive lesions (MIA and IAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang (9)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>AAH: 15 (22%); AIS: 24 (36%); MIA: 28 (42%)</td>
<td>Diameter: 8.18 mm to discriminate non-invasive lesions (AAH and AIS) from MIA; volume: 330 mm³ to discriminate non-invasive lesions (AAH and AIS) from MIA; density: −602 HU to discriminate non-invasive lesions (AAH and AIS) from MIA; round/oval shape and smooth/notched margins favored the diagnosis of non-invasive lesions (AAH and AIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwang (10)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>AAH: 16 (24%); AIS: 25 (38%); MIA: 14 (21%); IAC: 11 (17%)</td>
<td>Diameter, volume and mass are higher in IAC than in the pre-invasive lesions (AAH, AIS, and MIA); entropy is higher in IAC compared with pre-invasive lesions (AAH, AIS, and MIA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lim (11)</td>
<td>46*</td>
<td>AIS: 19 (41%); MIA: 9 (20%); IAC: 18 (39%)</td>
<td>Diameter: 16.4 mm to discriminate AIS and MIA from IAC; mass: 472 mg to discriminate AIS and MIA from IAC; air bronchogram favored the diagnosis of IAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* pGGN ≤10 mm; * 1/78 pGGN represented lymphoproliferative disorder; ^ pGGN ≥10 mm. AAH, atypical adenomatous hyperplasia; AIS, adenocarcinoma in situ; MIA, minimally invasive adenocarcinoma; IAC, invasive adenocarcinoma.
time-point. Translation of morphologic descriptors of nodule from visual to computerized analysis was reported to be extremely accurate for risk stratification of both pGGN (18) and other types of nodule (19).

The importance of risk stratification of pGGN follows the progressive increase in detection of nodules by CT (20). Management of pGGN is particularly debated between resection or active surveillance (21,22). Single time-point assessment and short-term follow up are discouraged for pGGN (23) because a sizeable proportion of such nodules maintain growth potential even after several years of stability (24). The surgical yield of pGGN is relatively low and resection of <10 mm pGGN might have overall clinical detrimental effect, even in case of ascertained adenocarcinoma (25). For instance, surgical resection of a centrally located pGGN might technically require lobectomy, which is disproportionate compared to the clinical risk of clinical relevance of pGGN. Overall, a pGGN on CT has relatively slow progression rate even when it represents adenocarcinoma (26), therefore it may be included in the “reservoir” of clinically silent malignancy, like it is known for indolent lesions of epithelial origin (IDLE) of thyroid or prostate (27,28). Therefore, the subtle clinical balance of pGGN management should be carefully pursued by active surveillance (Figure 1). The reports from lung cancer screening trials showed that conservative management of pGGN provides optimal balance between risk and benefits. In asymptomatic subjects at high risk of lung cancer, pGGN did not progress to advanced stage lung cancer in several years after first documentation on
CT (29-32). Therefore, long-term active surveillance by low-dose CT (LDCT) is encouraged until the evidence of nodule progression. Heidinger et al. report almost 50% of AIS among the resected pGGN, hence the questions are: how many of these patients might have been managed with active surveillance in spite of resection? Shall manual caliper be trusted for longitudinal assessment of pGGN in clinical practice? So far, it has been shown that density and volume can provide comprehensive characterization in the longitudinal assessment of subsolid nodules, including pGGN (10,33). The mass doubling time (MDT) was proposed as the most appropriate radiological predictor of malignancy in the assessment of pGGN by multiple LDCT time-points (33,34). MDT is calculated by a combination of volume, density and time, which allows quantitative comprehensive view on the nodule evolution (33). For instance, pGGN may increase in mass despite a reduction in volume, in this case MDT is a robust indicator of overall nodule growth. It was reported that MDT is independent from the nodule features at first detection (35). Furthermore, the appearance of a solid component is quoted as good trigger to surgical action, without survival decrease (22). Lee et al. recently reported the strategy of “follow-up and surgical action after interval growth”, even for part-solid nodules which are known to have the strongest association with malignancy compared to any other kind of pulmonary nodule (22). In this regard, it is fostered that future studies will test temporal characterization of pGGN by simple CT metrics in the histological continuum of pulmonary adenocarcinoma. In particular, the multi time-point evolution of manual caliper and density should be tested against volumetric parameters for characterization of AIS, MIA, and IAC. From the clinical perspective (e.g., out of the highly specialized workflow of lung cancer screening), it is interesting to understand how far the manual caliper should be trusted for pGGN monitoring and which cases might take advantage of more complex radiological characterization.

Finally, there is evidence that subjects with lung adenocarcinoma are more likely to develop a second lung cancer (36). Also, non-pulmonary oncologic comorbidities or non-oncologic comorbidities (e.g., cardiovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, etc.) may hamper the clinical relevance of pGGN (25). Given the indolent nature of stable pGGN, its conservative management should be pursued until the evidence of growth progression with the aim of reducing the pulmonary function damage (22).

In conclusion, the awareness that pGGN of any size may harbor foci of stromal invasion should not panic the thoracic oncology multi-disciplinary team, yet it should drive the management of pGGN through active surveillance by LDCT. The correlation between manual caliper and extent of stromal infiltration does not warrant absence of invasion in smaller nodules, yet signs of growth during surveillance are expected to point out pGGN with more aggressive behavior. Simple parameters for clinical practice are fostered for the longitudinal characterization and management of such lesions with relatively low rate of progression.
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