Lee et al. have recently described predictors of transformation into small-cell carcinomas from lung adenocarcinomas under treatment by tyrosine kinase inhibitor (1). Their very interesting work raises several reflections about tumor transformation and the clinical management of tumor drug resistance.

The occurrence of a less differentiated component is described in the course of various neoplasms (Table 1). The genetic hallmark of the primary tumor is kept in the transformed component. Dedifferentiated liposarcomas generally display more extensive chromosomal abnormalities than well differentiated liposarcomas. However, both tumors exhibit the same MDM2 and CDK4 amplifications (2). Most high-grade lymphomas occurring during the course of chronic lymphoid leukemia are clonally related to the preceding disease, thus representing true transformation. Chronic lymphoid leukemia harboring NOTCH1 mutations, or expressing a stereotyped B-cell receptor (BCR) belonging to subset 8, have a significantly higher probability of developing Richter’s syndrome. Chronic lymphoid leukemia treatments (including Bruton’s tyrosine kinase inhibitors) do not seem to affect the risk of transformation. TP53 and MYC abnormalities represent the most frequent genetic lesions and are generally acquired at the time of lymphomatous transformation (3). Blast crisis occurring in the course of chronic myeloid leukemia is associated with additional cytogenetic aberrations to the Philadelphia (Ph) chromosome. A variety of mutations has been associated with progression to blast crisis, especially BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase domain, p53 and p16 mutations (4). The interesting point in this case is that blast crisis can be prevented by an efficient treatment of the chronic disease and elimination of BCR-ABL transcript. Incidentally, a blast crisis has been reported in a patient with chronic myeloid leukemia, after initiation of a treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitor for EGFR mutated lung adenocarcinomas (5). It is noteworthy that both lymphoid and myeloid chronic leukemia are also tyrosine kinase inhibitors sensitive. Molecular mechanisms for tumor transformation are still not completely understood. These examples show that they appear not unique but depend on tumor type. This underlines the importance of studies like that performed by Lee and coll. for EGFR mutated lung adenocarcinomas.

Over the last decades, the incidence of lung adenocarcinoma has raised worldwide to the point that it represents the most common histological type of lung cancer (6). The advances in the understanding of lung tumor biology have disclosed major cancer gene drivers such as EGFR, KRAS, BRAF, PIK3K, ALK, ROS1, RET, HER2, MEK, MET (7-9), which represent, at least in part, the target of innovative therapies. As a consequence, the EGFR inhibitors (erlotinib, gefitinib, afatinib), have now been introduced in the clinical practice of lung cancer harboring EGFR activating mutations and constitute an
effective first-line therapy. Nevertheless, along the treatment, cancer cells acquire a resistant phenotype, through different mechanisms, consisting either in the gain of new EGFR mutations or in the acquisition of other oncogenic drivers bypassing EGFR signaling (10,11). Thr790Met mutation in EGFR gene enhances the affinity of the EGFR for ATP, leading to the continuous activation of EGFR signaling even in presence of the inhibitor (12). The acquisition of T790M represents the most common molecular mechanism of TKI resistance in EGFR mutated adenocarcinoma, occurring in half of the resistant tumors (12). The development of PIK3CA mutations has also been identified as a mechanism of EGFR drug resistance (13) in 5% of cases. MET or HER2 amplification constitute two additional biological mechanisms inducing EGFR inhibitor resistance, accounting for about 15–20% of cases (10,14).

The histological analysis of tissue specimen from patients having developed an EGFR inhibitor resistant tumor has disclosed significant histological modifications, leading to the description of an additional mechanism of drug resistance: the transformation of adenocarcinoma to small cell lung cancer (15). This process, initially, described in case reports (16), has been increasingly characterized in case series, in which repeat biopsies were performed in patients with acquired resistance to EGFR inhibitors. The prevalence of this mechanism of resistance is difficult to evaluate: in a large case series, SCLC was identified in 14% of patients with EGFR mutated adenocarcinoma (17). The genomic analysis of SCLC developed in this setting has disclosed that the EGFR mutations originally detected in adenocarcinoma were retained in SCLC, together with classic oncogenic drivers such as RB1, TP53 and MYC. This finding has raised the question about SCLC origin: are SCLCs the result of EGFR inhibitors treatment or are EGFR mutated adenocarcinomas more likely to evolve toward SCLC? It has been previously reported that the development of small cell lung carcinomas in association with pulmonary adenocarcinoma, either synchronously or metachronously, could be linked to EGFR mutations, regardless of tyrosine kinase inhibitors use (15). The description of synchronous adenocarcinoma and SCLC before the administration of EGFR inhibitors has suggested that SCLC are not the mere consequence of EGFR inhibitors. Moreover, the progression of NSCLC to SCLC has been documented before the introduction of EGFR inhibitors, with a reported frequency of 5% in a case series (18).

The biological mechanisms underlying the transformation of adenocarcinoma into SCLC are largely unknown. The hypothesis about the existence of a “pool” of pluripotent cancer cell, giving rise to a phenotypically distinct cancer population, constitutes an attractive biological mechanism potentially related with cancer cell therapeutic resistance (19,20). Moreover, in the field of pulmonary oncology, the hypothesis of the existence of common cells of origin for lung adenocarcinoma and SCLC is gaining ground, since studies in animal models of lung cancer have provided insights about the capacity of alveolar type II cells to give rise to both SCLC and EGFR-mutated adenocarcinoma (21-23).

The article by Lee et al. provides important advancements about the pathogenesis of such mechanism of EGFR-inhibitor resistance (1). Through the genomic analysis of repeat biopsy of patients diagnosed with EGFR-mutated lung adenocarcinoma, the authors found that the alterations of the cancer gene drivers RB1 and TP53 are present even in early stage lung adenocarcinoma. Moreover, such alterations would be correlated with the risk of SCLC

| Table 1 Examples of various neoplasms transforming into a less differentiated component |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Histological type | Lung adenocarcinoma | Well differentiated liposarcoma | Low-grade lymphoproliferative disorders | Chronic myeloid leukemia |
| Genetic hallmarks | EGFR mutations | MDM2 and CDK4 amplifications | Fusion of BCR and ABL1 |
| TKI treatment | EGFR TKI | Bruston’s TKI | ABL1 TKI |
| Transformed tumor | Small cell carcinoma | Often high grade non-lipogenic sarcoma | High grade lymphoma | Acute leukemia (“blast crisis”) |
| De novo transformation | Combined adenocarcinoma and small cell carcinoma | Dedifferentiation is more often de novo than in recurrences | Acute leukemia with Philadelphia chromosome |

TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.
transformation after EGFR-inhibitors treatment. Those findings strongly support the hypothesis that SCLC is derived from divergent clonal evolution occurring in early stage lung adenocarcinoma.

Otherwise, Lee et al. are making the very pertinent suggestion that induced hypermutation could occur in latent cancer cells and could be reminiscent of stress-induced hypermutation related to phenotypic switch in microbes during antimicrobial treatment, which maximizes their adaptability against selection pressure from the drugs (1). If this hypothesis proved to be true or if some types of tumor drug resistance were similar to drug microorganisms’ resistance, one could imagine fighting against tumor resistance in a similar way than against microorganism resistance. One example could be the use of associated drugs such as multiple targeted therapies against various tumor genetic mutations as for combined therapy against tuberculosis or against Human Immunodeficiency virus.

Eventually, an important finding, carrying potential implication in pathology practice is the possibility to screen lung adenocarcinomas at risk of SCLC transformation by testing RB1 and TP53 expression in cancer tissue (1). Indeed, genetic analysis of those genes is particularly laborious and requires specific skills in genotyping, since mutations are distributed along the gene length. Testing the expression of Rb and Tp53 in cancer tissue specimens by IHC seems to be well correlated with the inactivation of the respective genes and can be routinely performed in pathology facility. Indeed, characterization of tumors, with numerous biomarkers and genetic abnormalities, is becoming more and more complex. However, rapid initiation of appropriate treatments in clinical practice requires molecular and pathological diagnoses with short delays. Long and complex techniques used for research are likely to be replaced by simple and rapid ones for current practice over time. For example, this is especially true for the assessment of lung carcinoma EGFR and KRAS mutational status, which can presently be obtained in few hours with easy to use techniques (24).
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