Long-term survival after surgery for pulmonary metastases from colorectal cancer: an observational cohort study
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Background: Evidence for pulmonary metastasectomy following colorectal cancer (CRC) is scarce. The aim of the study was to investigate long-term survival and identify prognostic factors to aid patient selection.

Methods: We included all patients who underwent pulmonary resections for CRC metastases between January 01, 2004 and December 31, 2015 in a population-based cohort study. The primary outcome measure was all-cause mortality and was ascertained from Swedish national registers. The Kaplan-Meier estimator was used to calculate cumulative survival. We used Cox regression for estimation of hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between patient characteristics and survival.

Results: We included 184 patients. The number of procedures per year increased from 1 in 2004 to 34 in 2015. During a median follow-up time of 3.2 years, 36% (66/184) patients died. Overall survival at 5 years was 60% (95% CI: 50–68%) and was significantly lower compared to an age- and gender-matched Swedish population. Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) level was identified as a prognostic factor for mortality in the age and sex-adjusted analysis (HR, 2.46; 95% CI: 1.15–5.26, P=0.020).

Conclusions: We found a steady increase in the number of pulmonary metastasectomies after CRC during the study period. We identified prethoracotomy CEA level as a prognostic factor for long-term survival, which was consistent with prior reports. The 5-year overall survival rate in our study was 60%, which was high in comparison with prior reports. Although our results indicated that current patient selection criteria were reasonable, definitive evidence of efficacy is pending.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in males and the second in females, with an estimated global 1.4 million cases and 693,900 deaths annually (1,2). In Sweden approximately 6,000 new cases of CRC are diagnosed every year (3).

About 50% will have metastatic disease either at diagnosis or during follow-up (4). The two most common sites for metastatic growth are the liver and the lungs affecting roughly 35% and 5% to 15% of patients, respectively (5,6). In recent years, advances have been made in the field of diagnosis, staging and oncologic systemic therapy. The effect can be seen with improved survival in patients with CRC and in patients with liver metastases, whom previously had a reported 5-year survival of about...
25% compared to 50% today (4). The progress in the management of CRC has made it feasible to perform surgery as a curative treatment in metastatic disease in the liver. In an attempt to achieve improved long-term survival even in patients with metastatic disease in the lung, the practice of pulmonary metastasectomy has become common and widespread. However, the evidence for this practice consists mostly of retrospective trials with variable results (7). Prognostic factors suggested from previous data include disease-free interval (DFI), number and laterality of metastases, hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes (t-LN), previous metastatic disease in the liver, staging of primary tumor, prethoracotomy carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), K-RAS gene status, carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) and location of the primary tumor (8-11). However, no consensus has been reached as to what factors should be taken into consideration when recommending surgery or not.

This study aims to characterize the practice of lung metastasectomy at Karolinska University Hospital and to identify prognostic variables that might contribute to future pooled data analysis and clinical decision-making.

Methods

The study was approved by the regional Human Research Ethics Committee, Stockholm, Sweden (Dnr: 2014/129–31/1). The need for informed consent was waived by the Ethics Committee.

Study design

Observational population-based cohort study.

Patients and outcomes measures

Patients who underwent surgical resection of pulmonary metastases from CRC at Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden, between January 01, 2004 and December 31, 2015 were included in the study. Karolinska University Hospital is the only referral center for thoracic surgery in Stockholm County and serves approximately two million inhabitants or 20% of the total Swedish population. Patient data were collected from institutional databases and patient charts. The primary outcome measure was all-cause mortality. Vital status was determined on January 15, 2017 by using the Swedish personal identity number (12) and the continuously updated Swedish population register (13).

The general criteria to accept patients for surgery at multidisciplinary team conferences were in line with clinical practice guidelines (3,14). Patients were in general recommended pulmonary metastasectomy according to the following conditions: radically treated primary tumor, without extra-pulmonary metastasis except synchronous liver metastases amenable to radical treatment, having one or more resectable metastases, and a general condition allowing a safe operation. Radical treatment of the primary tumor and the liver metastases was usually performed before the pulmonary resection.

Definitions

Comorbidity was defined as any current or prior major medical condition that required ongoing treatment or could influence prognosis e.g., hypertension, atrial fibrillation, coronary artery disease, diabetes, or stroke. The extent of lung resection was divided into two groups: sublobar resection vs. lobectomy. Smoking status was divided into four categories; current, former, never, and unknown. Current smoker was defined as an active smoker or a person who had stopped smoking within one month of surgery. Former smoker was defined as a previous smoker who had stopped smoking more than one month before surgery. Never smoker was defined as a person who had never been an active smoker.

Statistical analyses

Baseline characteristics were described with frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and mean and standard deviation for continuous variables. Person-time in days was counted from the date of pulmonary resection until the date of death or the end of follow-up (January 15, 2017). The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate cumulative survival. We used Cox proportional hazards regression with and without multivariable adjustment to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between patient characteristics and all-cause mortality. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata version 14.2 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA).

Results

Patient characteristics

We included 184 patients with a mean age of 64.8 years and 46% were women. Patient characteristics are shown in
Table 1. Most patients (93%) had performance status 0, i.e., free from symptoms, and 38% had at least one comorbidity. A positron emission tomography–computed tomography was performed preoperatively in 29%. In 59%, the location of the primary CRC tumor was in the rectum, and 49% had additional metastases. In 46%, the pulmonary resection was performed by video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS), and a sublobar resection was done in most patients (78%).

Number of operations

The number of operations per year increased during the study period as shown in Figure 1. During the study period, the number of pulmonary metastasectomy procedures increased from 1 in 2004 to 34 in 2015, with a peak of 45 procedures in 2014.
During a median follow-up time of 3.2 years, 36% (66/184) patients died. The Kaplan-Meier estimated survival at 5 years was 60% (95% CI: 50–68%) (Figure 2). Figure 3 shows the observed overall survival and 95% CI in patients who underwent pulmonary metastasectomy for CRC compared to the expected survival of an age and gender matched Swedish population. Initially, the survival was similar in the study population and the age and gender matched Swedish population, but after approximately 1 year, the survival in the study population was significantly lower than the matched reference population.

**Prognostic factors for survival**

The unadjusted association between selected patient characteristics and mortality is shown in Table 2 and Figure 4. We found a statistically significant association between a CEA level above 4 µg/L and mortality (HR, 2.30; 95% CI: 1.09–4.85, P=0.029). Other selected characteristics was not significantly associated with mortality.

The age and sex adjusted association between selected patient characteristics and mortality is shown in Table 2. We found a statistically significant association between a CEA level above 4 µg/L and mortality (HR, 2.46; 95% CI: 1.15–5.26, P=0.020). Other selected characteristics was not significantly associated with mortality after adjustment for age and sex.
Table 2 Prognostic factors for survival in patients who underwent pulmonary metastasectomy after colorectal cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Crude, HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Age and sex, adjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age above 65 years</td>
<td>1.17 (0.71–1.90)</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>1.18 (0.72–1.94)*</td>
<td>0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>1.07 (0.66–1.73)</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>1.08 (0.67–1.76)**</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any comorbidity</td>
<td>1.58 (0.97–2.60)</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>1.56 (0.94–2.59)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other metastases (liver or lung)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.76–2.00)</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>1.26 (0.77–2.06)</td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver metastases</td>
<td>1.45 (0.87–2.42)</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>1.45 (0.87–2.43)</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one pulmonary metastasis</td>
<td>1.39 (0.82–2.35)</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>1.42 (0.84–2.41)</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of pulmonary metastases 20 mm or more</td>
<td>1.00 (0.59–1.67)</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.98 (0.58–1.65)</td>
<td>0.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease free interval &lt;24 months</td>
<td>1.11 (0.68–1.81)</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>1.14 (0.70–1.87)</td>
<td>0.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA above 4 µg/L</td>
<td>2.30 (1.09–4.85)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>2.46 (1.15–5.26)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, only adjusted for sex; **, only adjusted for age. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen.

Figure 4 Overall Kaplan–Meier estimated survival in patients who underwent pulmonary metastasectomy for colorectal cancer according to differences in clinically relevant patient characteristics study period. CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen.
Discussion

The aims of this study were to characterize the practice of pulmonary metastasectomy at Karolinska University Hospital and to identify prognostic factors that might be of value in clinical decision making, in particular when deciding to offer surgery or not.

During the study period lasting from 2004 to 2015 the number of pulmonary metastasectomy procedures increased considerably. The incidence of CRC in Europe and USA has started to decrease, so this cannot explain the manifold increase of procedures in this relatively short time (15). There has been no formal change of protocol regarding pulmonary metastasectomy at our clinic during the study period. We speculate that this dramatic increase in a relatively short time reflects a general belief among oncologists and thoracic surgeons that aggressive surgery after relapse might be beneficial for the patient in terms of survival and quality of life. It might also be an effect of increased effectiveness and vigilant use of diagnostic methods such as PET-CT scans and measurement of CEA-levels that generates more patients for referral in an earlier stage where surgery might still be an option.

To date no randomized controlled trial has published results to inform and guide clinical practice, and strong evidence supporting surgery is lacking. As discussed by Åberg and colleagues, some of the survival benefit might be attributable to other factors such as selection bias, lead-time bias and staging migration (16). Currently, a randomized controlled trial (the pulmonary metastasectomy in colorectal cancer, PulMiCC trial) is enrolling patients, and the community eagerly awaits its results (7).

In our study, 5-year survival was 60%. This was in line with previously reported overall survival data after pulmonary metastasectomy. In a systematic review and meta-analysis by Gonzalez et al., overall 5-year survival ranged from 27% to 68% (11). A recent prospective cohort study presented a disease specific 5-year survival of 46.1% (95% CI: 38.5% to 53.7%) (10). The generally small but present differences might be explained in part by different selection criteria for surgery at different centers. If only patients with long DFI, solitary unilateral tumor, negative t-LNs on PET-CT and low CEA are accepted for surgery, the 5-year survival would most likely be in the upper end. In the study by Embun et al., 69% of patients had a prethoracotomy CEA of ≤5 and 35% had a DFI >12 months (10). In our study 51% had a CEA below 4 and 48% had a DFI >24 months. In a retrospective study including 199 patients by Zampino et al., overall 5-year survival was reported to be 43%. Fifty-two percent had a CEA of ≤5, and 86% had a DFI of ≥12 months (8). The cut-off for normal vs. elevated CEA level at our hospital was 4 ng/mL, although other studies have used a cut-off level of 5 ng/mL (17-20).

A particular difficult situation can arise with the use of CEA as previously discussed by Fiorentino and colleagues (21). High CEA levels after primary tumor resection might lead to the discovery of lung metastases and referral to thoracic surgery. Then the same test results might be used as a reason to decline surgery due to its negative prognostic value. Prethoracotomy CEA >4 µg/L was the only prognostic factor that reached statistical significance in our study. In the meta-analysis by Gonzalez et al., 25 studies with a total of 2,925 patients showed a pooled outcome with increased CEA prognostic of an increased risk of death (HR, 1.91; 95% CI: 1.57–2.32). Thirteen of the included studies reported normal CEA as a prognostic factor for survival (11). In a retrospective study by Suzuki et al. including 94 patients, the only prognostic factor identified in multivariate analysis was elevated prethoracotomy CEA. Sixty-three percent had normal CEA and a 5-year survival of 57% compared to 30.9% in the elevated CEA group (P=0.038) (9). Although high CEA is consistently reported in the literature as a negative prognostic factor it is generally not used as a strict contraindication for surgery. We believe that the role for CEA in pulmonary metastasectomy is primarily in detecting recurrent disease early, thereby increasing lead-time and enable rapid intervention. Until comparative studies such as randomized controlled trials can show effectiveness of surgery, metastasectomy should be performed if radical resection is feasible or if a reduction of symptoms can be achieved.

In our study DFI did not reach statistical significance as a prognostic factor. There are conflicting results in the literature regarding the potential prognostic value of DFI. In the reports by Gonzalez and Embun, a short DFI was prognostic of decreased survival-rate (10,11). However, in several other studies, DFI did not reach statistical significance (8,9,22). It seems reasonable to speculate that a short DFI would reflect a more aggressive disease or a more advanced primary tumor, which in turn would be detrimental to survival. It is possible that our study was underpowered to detect a small but clinically relevant difference in survival between the two groups.
Limitations

The size of this study comprises both its main strength and weakness. In general terms, a study with 184 patients cannot be considered a large study with a high likelihood of picking up small intergroup differences. However, in the literature of this subject, many studies contain small patient numbers. In the meta-analysis by Gonzalez et al., 13 of 25 included studies had a study population of less than 100 patients (11). Thus, the findings in our study might be of sufficient power to contribute in future pooled data analyses.

Although not the aim of this study, one of the most important questions in this field that needs an answer is the true effectiveness of pulmonary metastasectomy. This cannot be answered satisfyingly by descriptive retrospective studies, but needs to be approached by randomized controlled trials.

Other limitations of this study are the problem of missing data, bias and confounders inherent in retrospective studies. One example of missing data was prethoracotomy CEA that was unknown in 40% of the patients.

This might skew the results, however, it is the authors belief that a full data-set on that variable would show a stronger prognostic value, as supported by previous studies discussed above. There was a low frequency of missing data and follow-up regarding survival status was complete. This is due to the high quality Swedish national registers which safeguards against missing information in regards of survival even if the patient moves to a different county or country.

Our institution is the sole practitioner of cardio-thoracic surgery in our county so any referrals for recurrent metastatic disease in the lungs would come to us. This results in a low risk for loss to follow-up regarding recurrent surgery. It is possible however that a few patients moved to a different county during the study period and hence was lost to follow-up regarding recurrent disease. It was not possible to determine when and if that was the case from our dataset.

Conclusions

We found a steady increase in the number of pulmonary metastasectomies after CRC during the study period. We identified prethoracotomy CEA level as a prognostic factor for long-term survival, which was consistent with prior reports. The 5-year overall survival rate in our study was 60%, which was high in comparison with prior reports. Although our results indicated that current patient selection criteria were reasonable, definitive evidence of efficacy is pending.
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