Introduction

Redo cardiac surgery represents a clinical challenge due to a higher rate of peri-operative morbidity and mortality (1,2). Mitral valve re-operations can be particularly demanding in patients with patent coronary artery bypass grafts, previous aortic valve replacement, calcified aorta or complications following a previous operation (abscesses, perivalvular leaks, or thrombosis). Risk of graft injuries, hemorrhage, the presence of dense adhesions and complex valve exposure can make redo valve operations challenging through a median sternotomy. In this review article we provide an overview of minimally invasive approaches for redo mitral valve surgery discussing indications, techniques, outcomes, concerns and controversies.

Scientific literature about minimally invasive approach for redo mitral surgery was reviewed with a MEDLINE search strategy combining “mitral valve” with the following terms: ‘minimally invasive’, ‘reoperation’, and ‘alternative approach’. The search was limited to the last ten years. A total of 168 papers were found using the reported search. From these, ten papers were identified to provide the best evidence on the subject. Mitral valve reoperations can be safely and effectively performed through a smaller right thoracotomy in the fourth intercostal space termed “mini” thoracotomy or “port access”. The greatest potential benefit of a right mini-thoracotomy is the avoidance of sternal re-entry and limited dissection of adhesions, avoiding the risk of injury to cardiac structures or patent grafts. Good percentages of valve repair can be achieved. Mortality is low as well as major complications. Minimally invasive procedures with an unclamped aorta have the potential to combine the benefits of minimally invasive access and continuous myocardial perfusion. Less invasive trans-catheter techniques could be considered as the natural future evolution for management of structural heart disease and mitral reoperations. The safety and efficacy of these procedures has never been compared to open reoperations in a randomized trial, although published case series and comparisons to historical cohorts suggest that they are an effective and feasible alternative. Ongoing follow-up on current series will further define these procedures and provide valuable clinical outcome data.
Minimally invasive mitral reoperations are nowadays performed through smaller right thoracotomies termed “mini” thoracotomy or “port access” in the fourth intercostal space, with an incision length somewhere between full thoracotomy (20 cm) and an endoscopic port (0.5 to 1.5 cm) (19). Few are the real alternatives. The New York University group has described a left posterior minithoracotomy approach in 40 patients in whom a right thoracotomy was precluded, e.g., right mastectomy/irradiation (21).

To avoid confusion of terminology, Chitwood et al. (22) proposed a classification system whereby minimally invasive approaches are categorized on the basis of whether the surgeon uses direct vision, thoracoscopic visualization, or robotics for any portion of the surgery (Table 1).

CPB for minimally invasive mitral reoperations is usually instituted through the cannulation of the femoral artery and the femoral vein (2,3,15,23,24). Alternative sites of arterial cannulation are the ascending aorta (2,4,25,26) or the axillary artery (23,27). Alternative or accessory sites of venous cannulation are venae cavae (bicaval) (2,4) or the jugular vein (2,23).

In patients in whom the aorta can be safely dissected, myocardial protection can be achieved by means of antegrade cardioplegia after classical aortic clamping. Patients in whom the ascending aorta cannot be safely mobilized the use of the endoclamp (routinely used as first choice in several centers) can facilitate surgery. Possible alternatives are the empty beating heart or ventricular fibrillation/fibrillating arrest (spontaneous after systemic cooling or induced with a fibrillator or, more recently, a pacing Swan Ganz catheter) with an unclamped aorta. During beating heart valve surgery, the heart is kept empty and continues to beat, unless systemic temperature induces ventricular fibrillation. In both cases, myocardial protection is achieved through continuous coronary perfusion. The aim of this procedure is to decrease or eliminate the ischemia-reperfusion injury which follows standard manoeuvres of aortic cross clamping and clamp release (28). The chest cavity is usually flooded with carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) to mitigate intracavitary air. Specialized elongated-shaft instruments are used for tissue handling and suturing. De-airing is accomplished with Valsalva manoeuvres and volume filling of the heart, through a trans-
Figure 1. A right antero-lateral skin is performed under the nipple to obtain the access at the 4° intercostal space. Two ports are introduced to introduce the optic, the CO\textsubscript{2} and the sucker.

Figure 2. Isolation of the femoral artery and vein for ExtraCorporeal Circulation; cannulation is usually performed with the Seldinger technique, without vessel clamping.

Figure 3. Video-assisted examination of a dehiscent mitral ring in a patient with severe mitral regurgitation and previous failed repair.

Our technique

Patients are positioned in a supine position with the right side of the chest slightly elevated. Endotracheal intubation with a double lumen tube and trans-esophageal echocardiogram (TEE) is performed in all patients. External defibrillator pads are applied in all cases. A right antero-lateral thoracotomy is performed through the fourth intercostal space (Figure 1). We prefer the femoral artery for arterial inflow and the femoral vein for venous drainage (Figure 2). Direct aortic cannulation can be used in patients without grafts on the ascending aorta, in case of diffuse aorto-iliac atherosclerosis and in patients with history of multiple femoral accesses (previous operations or angiographic studies). In cases of direct aortic cannulation, a percutaneous femoral venous line is positioned under TEE control. The jugular vein is rarely cannulated to improve venous drainage. With the use of active vacuum on venous drainage, snaring of the venae cave is not always necessary. CO\textsubscript{2} is continuously insufflated into the chest throughout the procedure to displace intracardiac air. At the beginning of our experience, patients were cooled to a temperature of 27 or 28 °C and operations were usually performed under fibrillatory arrest. Subsequently, temperature was maintained between 30 and 33 °C to allow operation on the empty beating heart. An aortic vent is always under continuous suction in the ascending aorta to evacuate air. The left atrium is immediately opened in the atrio-ventricular groove. The mitral valve is exposed using an atrial retractor, paying attention to minimize aortic insufficiency to obtain a reasonably bloodless field (Figure 3). An additional left atrial pump sucker is used to maintain a clear operative field. The mitral valve repair or replacement is performed under direct vision (Figure 4 and Video 1). Upon completion of the open heart procedure, ventilation is resumed and air evacuated using an aortic vent and CO\textsubscript{2} insufflation. In the event of concomitant mild aortic insufficiency, flows on CPB can be decreased and systemic temperature lowered. If aortic insufficiency is significant, this approach may be contraindicated. When necessary rewarming
and cardioversion with external pads is performed and patients weaned off CPB. A drainage tube is placed in the right pleura. Whenever possible, the tip of a second small drain can be introduced in the pericardial space at level of the interatrial groove. Placing temporary pacing wires on right ventricle is often difficult. A possible alternative is through the central venous line. The thoracotomy is closed in a standard fashion.

**Outcomes**

We reviewed outcomes of minimally invasive approach for redo mitral surgery with a MEDLINE search strategy combining “mitral valve” with the following terms: ‘minimally invasive’, ‘reoperation’, and ‘alternative approach’. The search was limited to the last ten years and additional limits were English language citations and human subjects. In addition, the ‘related articles’ function in PubMed was used as a further check of rigor. Where multiple cohort studies were published by a single institution, the largest or most informative study was included. A total of 168 papers were found using the reported search. From these, ten papers were identified to provide the best evidence on the subject. Principal data of the relevant papers are summarized in Table 2.

Although the principal interest in minimally invasive mitral valve approaches has been among patients undergoing elective operations in the primary setting, the avoidance of reoperative sternotomy may represent a more compelling indication for patients with previous cardiac operations (23). In papers reporting outcomes of more than 500 patients undergoing minimally invasive mitral procedures, reoperations represent a variable percentage, from 1% to 35.6% [12 of 1,000 patients, 1%, from 1996 to 2011 in the experience of McClure and colleagues (30); 45 of 1,071 patients, 4.2%, from 1986 to 2008 in the experience of Galloway and colleagues (31); 87 of 789 patients, 11%, from 1999 to 2009 in the experience of Holzhey and colleagues (32); 221 of 1,178 patients, 18.8%, from 1996 to 2008 in the experience of Modi and colleagues (33); and 241 of 677 patients, 35.6%, from 1997 to 2007 in the experience of Ricci and colleagues (26)].

Mean age of patients at reoperation ranged from 61 (26) to 71.8 years (29). Mean time to redo surgery ranged from 5.5 (2) to 15 years (24). Conversion to sternotomy ranged from 0% (23,25,33) to 6.25% (24). Mitral valve repair and replacement ranged from 0% (4) to 65.4% (28) and from 34.6% (28) to 100% (4), respectively. Mortality rates varied but decreased from 11% in the personal experience of Cohn in 2004 (15) to 3% of Arcidi and colleagues in 2012 (23). Stroke rate ranged from 0% of Murzi and colleagues (29) to 5.8% of Ricci and colleagues (26).

Right thoracotomy or mini thoracotomy approach for operation on the mitral valve is not new. It was one of the early approaches to the mitral valve and has been used for more than 60 years. Several previous series have documented its utility and highlighted the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year of publication</th>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>No. of pts</th>
<th>Mean age (years)</th>
<th>Previous surgery</th>
<th>Mean time to redo surgery (years)</th>
<th>ECC &amp; myocardial protection</th>
<th>Conversion to sternotomy</th>
<th>MVP/MVR</th>
<th>Stroke In-hospital/30-day death (%)</th>
<th>Mean hospital stay (days)</th>
<th>Wound infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Romano, 2012, (28)</td>
<td>1996-2011</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>CABG 74.7%, MV 30.2%</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>AscA/FA-Bic; BH</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcidi, 2012, (23)</td>
<td>1996-2010</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>CABG 71%, previous valve 38%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>FA/AA-FV/JV, VF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61.7%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botta, 2012, (2)</td>
<td>2008-2010</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>MVR 52.6%, CABG 36.8%, MVP 10.6%</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>AsA/FA-FV/JF/Bic; VF</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricci, 2010, (26)</td>
<td>1997-2007</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>MVR 38%, MVP 27%, other (non mitral) 35%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>FAAscA-FV Endoclamp/ VF 2.5%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeburger, 2009, (3)</td>
<td>1998-2008</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>CABG 42%, isolated valve 30%, valve + CABG 9%, other 19%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>FA-FV, VF 77.3% Clamp 17.1, BH</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murzi, 2009, (29)</td>
<td>2003-2008</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>CABG + MV 48%, CABG 44%, CABG + AV 8%</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>FA-FV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casselman, 2007, (24)</td>
<td>1997-2006</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>MV 39%, CABG 29%, congenital 10%, other 22%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>FA-FV, endoclamp</td>
<td>6.25%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharoni, 2006 (25)</td>
<td>1995-2002</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>FA/AscA-FV; ext clamp or endoclamp</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohn, 2004 (15)</td>
<td>1992-2002</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>CABG 53.8%, AVR 22.1%, other 24.1%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>FA-FV, unclamped aorta</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson, 2003 (4)</td>
<td>1985-2001</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>MVR 100 (+ CABG 16.6%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>FA/AscA-Bic, BH</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as absolute numbers or percentages. No. of pts, number of patients; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; MV, mitral valve; MVP, mitral valve plasty; MVR, mitral valve replacement; AVR, aortic valve replacement; ECC, extra-corporeal circulation; FA, femoral artery; AscA, ascending aorta; AA, axillary artery; FV, femoral vein; Bic, bicaval; JV, jugular vein; VF, ventricular fibrillation; BH, beating heart; Clamp, aortic clamping; NA, not available.
advantages in reoperative mitral valve procedures (28).

A right thoracotomy facilitates efficient exposure to the mitral valve with only a moderate retraction. From the right chest, the mitral valve can be easily approached in all cases; the increased distance to the valve can be overcome by the use of longer surgical instruments. In addition, this approach is highly suitable to observe valve pathology and function. Through the same approach it is also possible to reach and control superior and inferior vena cava and to enter the right atrium for additional right heart procedures. Treatment of atrial fibrillation with different devices and different lesion set is also possible, even if it requires more extensive dissection of adhesions (26).

The greatest potential benefit of a right mini-thoracotomy is the avoidance of sternal re-entry and limited dissection of adhesions, avoiding the risk of injury to cardiac structures or patent grafts, and limiting the amount of postoperative bleeding (34). This consistently translates into reduced blood loss, less transfusions and faster recovery. There are several important studies describing a right mini thoracotomy approach for reoperative valve surgery (24,25,35-38) and one describing a left posterior approach (21). The case-control studies all demonstrated superiority of the right mini-thoracotomy versus a reoperative sternotomy. The series from Sharony et al. (25) demonstrated equal mortality (5% for isolated mitral operations), fewer wound infections, less blood product utilization, decreased hospital length of stay, and slightly more favorable mid-term. The important message from this study was that all patients interviewed considered that their recovery was more rapid and less painful than their original sternotomy. Onnasch et al. reported 39 patients undergoing redo mitral valve surgery through a right minithoracotomy with a mortality of 5.1% (38). This group concluded that a minimally invasive approach offers excellent exposure and minimizes the need for mediastinal dissection and optimizes patient comfort.

The limitations to the use of right mini thoracotomy approach are mainly related to a prolonged learning curve that can increase the risk of patients at new centres and to the cost of the devices. Embolism of air remains a concern when left cardiac cavities are opened. Careful de-airing, by means of aortic and left atrial vents, removed only after disappearance of echocardiographic evidence of air bubbles, along with gentle external squeezing of the heart, can reduce this risk. Moreover, the operating field can be continuously flooded with CO₂ to mitigate intracavitary air.

The optimal myocardial protection in minimally invasive mitral reoperations still remains controversial. In patients in whom the aorta can be safely dissected, myocardial protection can be achieved by means of antegrade cardioplegia after classical aortic clamping or by means of aortic endoclamping (39). When the ascending aorta cannot be safely mobilized or in case of reduced experience with the use of endoclamp, possible alternatives are performing the redo operation with an unclamped aorta on the empty beating heart or ventricular fibrillation/fibrillating arrest. These procedures have shown good results also in patients with poor ejection fraction or in cases of multiple valve involvement (2,28). Nevertheless, they can be complicated by air embolism, because standard de-airing manoeuvres cannot be performed. In this setting, continuous CO₂ insufflation and ascending aorta suction play a key role. Cerebral monitoring with near infrared spectroscopy and trans-cranial Doppler could be of help to monitor embolism and, if not available, moderate hypothermia rather than normothermia should be preferred. In addition, normothermia reduces organ protection from hypothetical adverse events and requires full pump flow which can increase back bleeding from the aorta, interfering with surgical view and compromising the outcome in case of valve repair. Being able to manage a reoperation without aortic clamping can also be useful in cases of endoclamp malfunctioning as well as in cases of incomplete aortic clamping due to dense adhesion between the ascending aorta and pulmonary artery. This issue has been highlighted and addressed by Romano and colleagues who recently published the outcomes of 450 patients that underwent redo mitral valve surgery via a right thoracotomy from 1996 to 2011 at the University of Michigan (28). Of these, 134 patients underwent redo mitral valve surgery with ventricular fibrillation (core temperature 26 °C), and 316 patients underwent beating heart surgery (core temperature 32 °C). These authors concluded that redo right thoracotomy mitral valve surgery on the beating heart is associated with shorter bypass time, less transfusion requirements, shorter postoperative ventilation, and lower mortality. A possible explanation is that the beating heart approach obviates the need for deeper hypothermia and limits subendocardial hypoperfusion mismatches, which are commonly seen with ventricular fibrillation. During electrically induced fibrillation, oxygen delivery to the left ventricle is markedly reduced and coronary flow is redistributed away from the subendocardium. By keeping the heart in its natural beating state with antegrade coronary flow, the risk of reperfusion injury is potentially mitigated. Also during ischemic arrest, myocardial edema increases in the static diastolic state and may cause cardiac dysfunction. By keeping the heart beating, myocardial edema is decreased and function may be maintained, which may be of particular importance in these patients with already impaired ventricular function.

With increasing numbers of patients undergoing bioprosthetic mitral valve replacement, the numbers of elderly patients
mitral valve re-operations can be safely and effectively performed. Minimally invasive surgical reoperations become the only useful option both for patients and for physicians. When open surgery fail, as referred by Rogers and colleagues in this paper is 1-2%. The reported risk for emergent procedural execution by experienced operators ensuring no risk alternative to reoperation. Meticulous planning and prudent risk assessment percutaneous PVR can be an effective and lower risk alternative to reoperation. Access to mitral PVR can be either retrograde from the aorta, transvenous transseptal or transapical. In their review (10), Binder and Webb conclude that percutaneous closure of PVR can be an effective and lower risk alternative to reoperation. Three-dimensional defect reconstruction is a cornerstone for guiding percutaneous PVR closure. Access to mitral PVR can be either retrograde from the aorta, transvenous transseptal or transapical. In their review (10), Binder and Webb conclude that percutaneous closure of PVR can be an effective and lower risk alternative to reoperation. Meticulous planning and prudent procedural execution by experienced operators ensuring no impairment of the prosthetic leaflets leads to a high success rate of percutaneous PVR repair. The reported risk for emergent surgery and death as a complication of percutaneous PVR repair in this paper is 1-2%.

Nevertheless, if these innovative less invasive alternatives to open surgery fail, as referred by Rogers and colleagues in 2009 (17) and by Argenziano and colleagues in 2010 (18), minimally invasive surgical reoperations become the only useful option both for patients and for physicians.

Conclusions

Mitral valve re-operations can be safely and effectively performed through a smaller right thoracotomy in the fourth intercostal space termed “mini” thoracotomy or “port access” approach.

The greatest potential benefit of a right mini thoracotomy is the avoidance of sternal re-entry and limited dissection of adhesions, avoiding the risk of injury to cardiac structures or patent grafts.

Good percentages of valve repair can be achieved. Mortality is low as well as major complications.

Minimally invasive procedures with an unclamped aorta have the potential to combine the benefits of minimally invasive access and continuous myocardial perfusion.

Less invasive trans-catheter techniques could be considered as the natural future evolution of structural heart disease and mitral reoperations. The safety and efficacy of these procedures has never been compared to open reoperations in a randomized trial, although published case series and comparisons to historical cohorts suggest that they are an effective and feasible alternative. Ongoing follow-up on current series will further define these procedures and provide valuable clinical outcome data.
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