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Superior vena cava replacement combined with venovenous shunt for lung cancer and thymoma: a case series
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Background: Superior vena cava (SVC) replacement is infrequently performed and technically challenging in low-volume centers. Venovenous shunt (VVS) technique is used to reduce SVC pressure during SVC replacement and has not been well reported. This study aimed to add information on this subject and evaluate the surgical outcomes of patients who underwent SVC replacement combined with VVS in our center.

Methods: A retrospective analysis of six patients who underwent SVC replacement combined with VVS from September 2011 to February 2017 was performed. Clinical characteristics, pathological features, operative characteristics, postoperative outcomes, and the survival of the six patients were reviewed.

Results: There were four males and two females with a median age of 44 years (range, 35–69 years). There were three lung cancer patients and three thymoma patients at a stage from IIIA to IVA. Five patients underwent induction therapy. Complete resection was performed on five patients. One patient underwent internal VVS, and the other five patients underwent external VVS. Prosthesis grafts were employed in five cases and autologous pericardium in one case. Three patients underwent single-vein reconstruction, and the other three patients underwent double-vein reconstruction. The median SVC clamping time was 75 minutes. There were no postoperative deaths or major complications. All patients were alive at follow-up, and no thrombosis was found in any of the grafts.

Conclusions: SVC replacement combined with VVS is technically feasible and safe. Although VVS technique is not a must, it may make SVC replacement safer in inexperienced centers. Surgery-based multidisciplinary treatment for selected patients with type T4 lung cancer and SVC involvement or thymoma and SVC involvement may achieve a favorable long-term outcome.
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Introduction

Invasion of the superior vena cava (SVC) by lung cancer or thymoma is always considered locally advanced disease. Surgery for these diseases is controversial, due to poor prognosis (1,2). However, with improved surgical techniques and use of neoadjuvant therapy, studies have suggested that SVC resection and reconstruction in locally advanced lung cancer or invasive thymoma with SVC involvement is not only technically feasible, but also has good immediate and long-term outcomes (2-4).

SVC replacement for lung cancer or thymoma is infrequently performed and technically challenging, especially in a center lacking in relevant experience. One of the major obstacles is how to reduce the clamping time of the SVC to reduce neurological complications. Although SVC replacement has been well-documented, there are no data showing which method should be best used to reduce SVC clamping time (2-4). A venovenous shunt (VVS), i.e., a vena cava bypass or caval shunt, as reported by Yoshimura (5), is one of these methods. VVS technique has been reported in published studies involving a few cases (3-6). The indications and details for performing VVS have not been clearly elucidated.

In this study, VVS technique, including external VVS between the internal jugular vein (IJV) and femoral vein and internal VVS between the right innominate vein (RIV) and right atrium (RA) during SVC replacement, is described. The aim of this study is to introduce our experience with patients who undergo SVC replacement combined with VVS, and to analyze the immediate and long-term outcomes of this extended surgery.

Methods

Patient selection

From September 2011 to February 2017, six patients underwent SVC replacement combined with VVS, including three lung cancer patients and three thymoma patients, at Sichuan Cancer Hospital. Clinical characteristics, pathological features, operative characteristics, postoperative outcomes, and long-term survival were retrospectively analyzed. The study was approved by the Ethics Committees of Sichuan Cancer Hospital (No. SCCHEC-02-2017-032). Individual consent was obtained from all patients.

Staging and classification

The pathologic stages of the lung cancer patients were based on the seventh edition of the TNM classification, and thymoma patients were classified according to the Masaoka staging system. The 2004 World Health Organization system for histologic typing of lung tumors and thymic epithelial tumors was used.

VVS technique

VVS included external VVS between the IJV and femoral vein and internal VVS between the RIV and RA. External VVS was usually instituted before surgery, and internal VVS was instituted during surgery. Another catheter was inserted into the IJV to monitor the central venous pressure when instituting external VVS. The IJV pressure was continuously monitored during surgery and was recorded every 15 min during SVC clamping. Specific operating procedures are presented in Figure 1.

SVC replacement procedures

SVC replacement included simple SVC resection and reconstruction with a single graft anastomosed between the distal and proximal SVC stumps, and complicated SVC resection and reconstruction involved two grafts anastomosed between the RA and left innominate vein (LIV) and between the RIV and proximal SVC stump. The lengths and diameters of grafts were variable, depending on the degree of SVC involvement and the diameter of the reconstructed vein (SVC or innominate vein). The anastomosis between the graft and vein stump was performed with continuous 4-0 Prolene sutures. Resections and reconstructions were performed with the cross-clamping technique (7). For double-vein reconstruction, the graft was first anastomosed between the right atrial appendage and LIV to reduce the clamping time (8). Part of the SVC replacement procedures is presented in Figure 2.

Intraoperative and postoperative management

Intravenous sodium heparin (0.5 mg/kg) was used before SVC clamping. Vasoactive agents were used to obtain an arterial-venous pressure gradient more than 40 mmHg during SVC clamping. Long-term anticoagulation
therapy using subcutaneous low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) for one month and maintained by oral warfarin was used for patients who underwent SVC replacement with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) Gore-Tex synthetic prostheses. Short-term anticoagulation therapy using subcutaneous LMWH for one month was administered to patients who underwent SVC replacement with autologous pericardium.

Follow-up

All patients were followed up with chest computed tomography (CT) and patency of the graft was routinely assessed after surgery. Mortality was defined as death occurring within 30 days of surgery or within the initial postoperative hospitalization if this exceeded 30 days. Survival time was defined as the time from surgery to death or last follow-up.

Results

Clinical and pathological characteristics

The median age was 44 years (range, 35–69 years). There were three lung cancer patients and three thymoma patients in this study, including two patients with tumor recurrence. No patient presented with SVC syndrome. Five patients underwent preoperative chemotherapy or chemoradiotherapy, and the response was partial response (PR) in three patients and stable disease (SD) in two patients. All tumors in the present cases invaded more than 30% of the circumference of the SVC. The clinical and pathological characteristics of the six patients are shown in Table 1. The imaging characteristics of patients 1 to 4 are presented in Figure 3.

Operative characteristics

The median time to institute VVS was 50 min. The
Figure 2 SVC replacement. (A) Reconstruction of SVC with a ringed PTFE prosthesis (size 14 mm) between the distal SVC stump and the proximal SVC stump; (B) reconstruction of left SVC system with a PTFE prosthesis (size 12 mm) between the RA and LIV; (C) autologous pericardium was made tubular with continuous 4-0 Prolene sutures; (D) reconstruction of SVC with autologous tubular pericardium. SVC, superior vena cava; PTFE, polytetrafluoroethylene; RA, right atrium; LIV, left innominate vein.

Table 1 Clinical and pathological characteristics of six patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt No.</th>
<th>Age (years), sex</th>
<th>Tumor</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Response after induction</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35, M</td>
<td>Thymoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>CRT + S + CT + S</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>B2 thymoma</td>
<td>IVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>46, M</td>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>CT + S + CT</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Adeno</td>
<td>pT4N2M0, IIIb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>37, F</td>
<td>Thymoma</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>CRT + S + CT</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>B2 thymoma</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>42, F</td>
<td>Thymoma</td>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>S + S + CRT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>B1 thymoma</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>54, M</td>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>CT + S + CRT</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Sq.</td>
<td>pT4N2M0, IIIb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>69, M</td>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>S + CRT + S + CT</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Sq.</td>
<td>pT4N0M0, IIIA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adeno, adenocarcinoma; CRT, chemoradiotherapy; CT, chemotherapy; F, female; M, male; PR, partial response; Pt No., patient number; RT, radiotherapy; S, surgery; Sq., squamous cell carcinoma; SD, stable disease.

The median SVC clamping time was 75 min and the median operative time was 290 min. The median volume of blood loss during the operation was 1,000 mL. Four of the six patients had a blood transfusion, and the median transfusion volume was 800 mL. Complete resection was achieved in five patients and incomplete resection in one patient. The highest mean IJV pressure of patients who received single-vein reconstruction and double-vein reconstruction during SVC clamping when the VVS was open was 15.5 and 47 cmH2O, respectively. The IJV pressure of patient 6 was unavailable because of the internal VVS without IJV pressure monitoring. The IJV
Figure 3 Tumors invading SVC on chest CT. (A) Type B2 thymoma in patient 1; (B) right upper lobe adenocarcinoma in patient 2; (C) type B2 thymoma in patient 3; (D) type B1 thymoma in patient 4. SVC, superior vena cava; CT, computed tomography.

Table 2 Operative characteristics of six patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt No.</th>
<th>Surgery, margin</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>SVC graft</th>
<th>VVS</th>
<th>SVC reconstruction</th>
<th>T (min)</th>
<th>CT (min)</th>
<th>OT (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ETT, R2</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Preo, E</td>
<td>LIV-RA, RIV-SVC</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lobectomy, R0</td>
<td>RPLT</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Preo, E</td>
<td>SVC-SVC</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ETT, R0</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Preo, E</td>
<td>LIV-RA, RIV-SVC</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ETT, R0</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Intra, E</td>
<td>LIV-RA, RIV-SVC</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pneumonectomy, R0</td>
<td>RPLT</td>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>Intra, E</td>
<td>SVC-SVC</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pneumonectomy, R0</td>
<td>RPLT</td>
<td>Pericardium</td>
<td>Intra, I</td>
<td>SVC-SVC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT, clamping time of superior vena cava; E, external venovenous shunt between internal jugular vein and femoral vein; ETT, extended resection of thymoma; I, internal venovenous shunt between right innominate vein and right atrium; Intra, intraoperative; LIV, left innominate vein; MS, median sternotomy; OT, operative time; Preo, preoperative; Pt No., patient number; R0, no residual tumor; R2, macroscopic residual tumor; RA, right atrium; RIV, right innominate vein; RPLT, right posterolateral thoracotomy; SVC, superior vena cava; T, time to institute venovenous shunt; VVS, venovenous shunt.

pressures of patients 1 to 5 during SVC clamping when the VVS was closed were also unavailable, because we usually opened the VVS prior to SVC clamping. The operative characteristics for the six patients are shown in Table 2 and Figure 4.

**Immediate and long-term outcomes after surgery**

The median length of postoperative hospital stay was 19 days. There were no postoperative major complications or deaths. All patients were alive at follow-up, with a good
quality of life, although one patient has a recurrent tumor. There was no thrombosis in all grafts. Detailed information about the immediate and long-term outcomes after surgery for all six patients is presented in Table 3.

**Discussion**

SVC replacement is technically challenging and is always performed at a high-volume center. Most of the procedures are performed with SVC blocking. The maximum clamping time for the SVC is still unclear. Some authors stated that the SVC could be safely clamped for 60 min without neurological complications, and that routine VVS techniques were unnecessary, because a previous chronic SVC system obstruction had led to extensive collateral circulation (9-11). Our center began to perform SVC replacement surgery in 2011. The median SVC clamping time was 75 min, much longer than the time reported in the literature (2-4,12,13). Because of inexperience and concern about intraoperative emergencies, we often instituted a temporary VVS before surgery and removed it afterwards, to maintain stable hemodynamics during SVC clamping.

In the present series, VVS techniques are used to reduce central venous pressure during SVC clamping. However, the maximum venous pressure that a person can tolerate during SVC remains unknown. Nakahara et al. (14) stated that temporary VVS should be considered if the IJV pressure exceeded 40 cmH2O during SVC clamping. For other authors (15), routine VVS technique was not used because high central venous pressure was well tolerated even if the IJV pressure reached 50 mmHg (68 cmH2O), as long as the arterial-venous pressure gradient was well maintained during the SVC clamping time. In most cases, IJV pressure will rise gradually when the SVC is clamped if there is no VVS. And the lower the IJV pressure is, the safer the surgery will be. As shown in Figure 4, all five patients had a mean IJV pressure less than 50 cmH2O during SVC clamping when the VVS was open, which could greatly guarantee the safety of surgery particularly when the SVC clamping time was longer than 60 min in inexperienced centers.

In our study, one patient underwent internal VVS, and the other five underwent external VVS, including three cases of preoperative planned external VVS and two cases of intraoperative, urgent external VVS because of the unexpected finding of SVC involvement. Intraoperative, urgent external VVS prolongs the operative time and increases the risk of postoperative complications. Therefore, this situation should be avoided. Based on this study, our experiences with VVS are summarized as follows. First, instituting external VVS preoperatively is recommended when a center just begins to perform SVC replacement, especially in patients without a chronic SVC system obstruction. Second, preoperative planned external VVS is
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**Figure 4** Median IJV pressure of patients 1 to 6 before, during, and after release of SVC clamping. SVC, superior vena cava; IJV, internal jugular vein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt No.</th>
<th>Complications (≥ grade III)</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th>Postoperative hospital stay (days)</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Graft patency</th>
<th>Survival (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pt No., patient number.
Table 2. Even for preoperative induction therapy, with down-staging achieved and incomplete resection was achieved in one patient, most probably because the patients were relatively young and most of the patients (83.3%) underwent second surgery, pneumonectomy, or SVC replacement. However, there were no postoperative deaths or major complications. Therefore, surgery-based multidisciplinary treatment for selected patients with type T4 lung cancer and SVC involvement or thymoma and SVC involvement may achieve long-term survival.

In conclusion, SVC replacement combined with VVS is technically feasible and safe. Although VVS technique is not essential, it may make SVC replacement safer in inexperienced centers. Surgery-based multidisciplinary treatment for selected patients with type T4 lung cancer and SVC involvement or thymoma and SVC involvement may achieve a favorable long-term outcome.
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