Thoracoscopic primary repair with mediastinal drainage is a viable option for patients with Boerhaave’s syndrome
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Background: Spontaneous esophageal rupture (Boerhaave’s syndrome) is an emergency that can cause life-threatening conditions. Various procedures have been used to treat Boerhaave’s syndrome. However, a standard surgical procedure has not been established. Herein, we report our experience with primary suture of the ruptured esophagus via a thoracoscopy or laparotomy.

Methods: Between November 2002 and May 2015, 11 patients with Boerhaave’s syndrome presented to our department and were managed using one of two surgical procedures based on the surgeon’s discretion. Six patients underwent a thoracoscopic primary suture and drainage (group A); 5 patients underwent a primary suture via laparotomy followed by thoracoscopic drainage (group B). Patient medical records were retrospectively reviewed.

Results: The mean interval between initial perforation and surgery was 13.7 h (group A) and 17.2 h (group B) (P=0.7307). The mean operative time was 190 min (group A) and 249 min (group B) (P=0.106). Patient baseline characteristics and surgical outcomes were similar for both surgical procedures. One patient in each group experienced postoperative leakage that did not require surgical intervention.

Conclusions: The results suggest that thoracoscopic esophageal repair, as well as suturing via laparotomy, is a good surgical alternative for patients with Boerhaave’s syndrome.
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Introduction

Spontaneous esophageal rupture was first reported by Boerhaave in 1724 (Boerhaave 1724) and typically occurs in conjunction with vomiting, retching, or swallowing a large food bolus. Any delay between perforation and treatment leads to severe mediastinitis and represents a life-threatening condition. Patient mortality following rupture is relatively high, especially with late diagnosis and treatment (1). Conventional surgical repair is recommended within 24 h of initial onset (2-5). However, some reports recommend surgery regardless of the time interval since onset (6-8). Thoracoscopic surgery has been introduced as a minimally invasive surgical approach for repairing a ruptured esophagus. Various surgical procedures, conservative to esophagectomy, have been utilized in
clinical practice. These methods include open thoracotomy, thorascopic surgery, or the addition of laparotomy for reinforcement, using the greater omentum flap. However, a standard surgical procedure has not been established. Herein, we report our experience with thorascopic surgery and primary suture of the ruptured esophagus via a thoracotomy or laparotomy.

Methods

Between November 2002 and May 2014, 11 patients with Boerhaave’s syndrome presented to our department and were treated with thorascopic mediastinal drainage. Another 2 patients were treated during the study period using other methods and were excluded from analysis: one was treated with mediastinal drainage via an open thoracotomy because of a tension pneumothorax following the introduction of general anesthesia, and the other was treated with thorascopic drainage and thorascopic esophagectomy because of a long tear in the esophagus. Medical records of the included patients were retrospectively reviewed. Six of the 11 patients were treated using a left thorascopic primary suture and mediastinal drainage (group A), and the remaining 5 patients were treated using a primary suture with omentopexy via a laparotomy followed by left thorascopic mediastinal drainage (group B). Patients in group A underwent surgery between 2002 and 2014, and patients in group B underwent surgery between 2009 and 2014. Seven surgical operators have contributed to their operations of group A or B in this period. The surgical procedure of group A or B was selected with consideration of each surgeon’s usual preference. All patients of the group B were also selected to undergo thorascopy instead of laparotomy with consideration of those surgeons’ usual preference. This choice was not influenced by radiological findings or clinical status. Preoperative signs, time interval between perforation and surgery, and treatment outcomes were compared between groups.

Perioperative treatment

Computed tomography (CT) scans were evaluated for the presence of pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum, or leakage into the pleural or mediastinal cavity. Contrast esophagography was performed in all patients, and confirmed extravasation to the outside of the esophagus. All patients initially received hydration and broad-spectrum antibiotics in the emergency room or intensive care unit (ICU), and were admitted to the ICU after surgery. Two patients in group B received enteral nutrition via tube jejunostomy, which was placed during the operation. Total parenteral nutrition was administered for all other patients until resumption of oral intake. Unless a leak was identified on contrast esophagography performed on postoperative day 7, the esophageal rupture was considered healed, and an oral diet was initiated.

Surgical procedure

Group A

General anesthesia was administered under differential lung ventilation, using a double-lumen endobronchial tube. The patient was placed in the standard right lateral decubitus position and was fixed in place using surgical beanbags. Six ports were inserted in the third and fifth intercostal space (ICS) on the anterior axillary line, fifth ICS on the middle axillary line, and fifth and seventh ICS on the posterior axillary line. The surgeon stood on the patient’s posterior side, with the assistant and camera operator on the patient’s anterior side. Monitors were placed on the patient’s anterior and posterior sides. Thus, the assistant and camera operator could see an upside-down image of the surgeon’s viewpoint. The mediastinal pleura were incised. Then, the widening thoracic cavity and mediastinum were irrigated and drained, followed by primary suture of the esophageal tear. Debridement and excision of necrotic and inflamed tissue was performed if necessary. Suturing of the tear consisted of an entire layer or a 2-layer suturing of the mucosal and muscularis layers with absorbable thread. Three drain catheters were inserted, with one catheter placed close to the esophageal suture line, and others placed along the anterior and dorsal chest wall.

Group B

The ruptured lower esophagus was sutured and re-enforced using an omentum patch placed via an open laparotomy performed with the patient in the supine position. Then, the patient was placed in the right decubitus position, and the thoracic cavity and mediastinum were irrigated with physiological saline. The number and placement of the inserted ports and operator’s standing position were the same as those for group A.
Statistics

All analyses were implemented using JMP Pro version 11 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Group comparisons were performed using the Mann-Whitney or chi-square test, followed by a two-tailed Fisher test for the variables of interest. Group comparisons were performed using repeated measures of analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Ethical statement

Given the retrospective nature of the non-intervention study, review by the local ethics committee of Tohoku University Hospital was not required.

Results

The study included 10 male and 1 female (in group B) patients, with an overall mean age of 59.9 years. Patient characteristics and the time interval between symptom onset and surgery are presented in Table 1. All parameters were similar between the two surgical groups. The range of time between symptom onset and surgery was 5–45 h for group A and 8–47 h for group B, with median times of 8.5 h and 10 h, respectively. In all patients, the perforation was located in the lower third of the esophagus. The mean length of the esophageal perforation was 3.1 cm in group A and 4.5 cm in group B. Although the mean duration of the surgery was shorter for group A (190.5 min) than for group B (249.4 min), this difference was not statistically significant.

Postoperative complications occurred in 4 patients in group A and in 3 patients of group B. Suture line leakage occurred in one patient from each group and was
successfully managed with a conservative approach, without any additional surgical intervention. One patient in group A with minor leakage revealed on contrast esophagography was managed using antibiotics and resumption of oral food was delayed an additional 7 days until the disappearance of the leakage along the suture line. Another patient of group B with thoracic abscess due to leakage along the suture line was well-managed by a thoracic tube placed during surgery, and his esophagothoracic fistula was closed by the postoperative day 34.

Pneumonia occurred in 50% of the patients of group A and in 40% of those in group B (Table 3). There were no significant differences in postoperative pulmonary complications, ICU stay, days until resumption of a solid diet, or the length of hospital stay (Table 3). There were no deaths in either group during the acute phase. One patient was transferred to another hospital for the purpose of rehabilitation; all other patients were discharged home. The mean hospital stay was 32 days (range, 17–75 days) and 29 days (range, 11–68 days) in groups A and B, respectively. At discharge, all patients were on a normal diet without dysphagia. No cases required re-surgery or re-hospitalization in relation to the treatment for this disease.

**Discussion**

Spontaneous esophageal rupture (Boerhaave's syndrome) is a medical emergency that can cause life-threatening conditions including mediastinitis, pleuritis, and sepsis. Acute mortality has been reported in approximately 10% of cases when treated within 48 h, and in 25–40% of cases treated beyond 48 h (9). This disease requires early diagnosis and should be followed by prompt and appropriate management.

Boerhaave's syndrome can be successfully treated using a conservative approach such as continuous thoracic drainage, administration of antibiotics, and hyperalimentation (9,10); however, non-surgical management requires longer hospital stays than successful surgical management (4,5,9). Furthermore, successful closure using endoscopic ligation with a snare loop, over-the-endoscope clips (11,12), or endoscopic sponge therapy (13) have been reported. However, only a small number of such reports exist, and larger studies are required to clarify the indications for these endoscopic treatments. Schweigert et al. (14) reported that endoscopic stent insertion offers no advantage in terms of morbidity, ICU, or length of hospital stay. Therefore, a non-operative approach should be considered in well-selected cases.

In the present study, a patient in group A who underwent surgery after 45 h experienced acute respiratory distress syndrome and was discharged on postoperative day 34. However, a patient of group B who underwent surgery after 48 h experienced an uneventful postoperative course and was discharged on postoperative day 15. Surgery within 24 h of onset is traditionally and generally recommended (2-5), since any delay in management promotes tissue necrosis and edema and prevents successful esophageal suturing (15). However, similar mortality rates have been reported for patients who underwent surgery in less than or greater than 24 h after onset (7,8,15). Furthermore,
some reports of successful surgery after 48 h exist (6,8,16). Therefore, primary esophageal repair for patients with this disease should be considered as a surgical alternative based on operative findings and the patient’s status, rather than the time frame alone.

Widening the mediastinum for drainage and suturing the esophageal tear have generally been performed via thoracotomy. Recently, thoracoscopic drainage of the mediastinum or thoracic cavity while suturing the tear has been reported. Cho et al. (6) reported that for 7 patients treated with thoracoscopic surgery and 8 patients treated with open thoracotomy, mean hospital stay and frequency of postoperative complications did not differ between the two groups. The time required for thoracoscopic surgery is less than that for open surgery (6), and is expected to cause less surgical trauma and pain, thus likely prompting better postoperative recovery (17).

It has been reported that persistent leakage, if present, is significantly decreased by reinforcement using a gastric patch or fibrin glue (18). However, these patients underwent open thoracotomy, and there are few studies comparing the usefulness of reinforcement with thoracoscopic surgery. Yamashita et al. (19) reported the successful treatment of two patients for whom thoracoscopic suturing was used to close an esophageal tear and reinforce the greater omentum; however, an omental flap was formed via laparotomy followed by thoracoscopic irrigation of the thoracic and mediastinal cavity and required re-thoracotomy repaired with omentopexy. Performing omentopexy after the thoracoscopic procedure required an additional operative position to minimize the prolongation of the operation and anesthesia times. On the other hand, additional laparotomy might cause further surgical stress. We did not reinforce the suture of the esophagus in group A; however, reinforcement using fibrin glue may be favorable. This procedure is easy to perform and is considered to be noninvasive (18).

There are some published case reports that mention good results following laparotomy or laparoscopy with primary suture (20,21). Surgical procedures, such as those used in group B in the present study could be selected as an alternative given the good surgical outcomes we experienced following this procedure. As a hand operation might not reach the upper end of the tear when the upper end is high in the mediastinum or in the middle and upper esophagus, it is important to diagnose the preoperative localization of the lesion. Suitable operative procedures should be selected with consideration for the length and location of the tear.

Each surgical procedure has its own advantages. Therefore, both procedures should be considered as suitable when selecting the approach used to treat Boerhaave’s syndrome with regard to patient condition, status of the esophageal tear, and the surgeon’s skills. Limitation of this study includes that small series as the data is insufficient to make changes in clinical practice. However, in the present study, patient baseline characteristics and surgical outcomes were similar for both surgical procedures, with results no worse than that which has been previously published. Although further studies are required in the future to establish the indications and efficacy of this therapeutic approach, thoracoscopic esophageal repair, as well as suturing via laparotomy, is a good surgical alternative for patients with Boerhaave’s syndrome.
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