Treatment of spontaneous esophageal rupture (Boerhaave syndrome) using thoracoscopic surgery and sivelestat sodium hydrate
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Background: The mortality rate of spontaneous esophageal rupture remains 20% to 40% due to severe respiratory failure. We have performed thoracoscopic surgery for esophageal disease at our department since 1994. Sivelestat sodium hydrate reportedly improves the pulmonary outcome in the patients with acute lung injury (ALI).

Methods: We retrospectively evaluated the usefulness of thoracoscopic surgery and perioperative administration of sivelestat sodium hydrate for spontaneous esophageal rupture in 12 patients who underwent thoracoscopy at our department between 2002 and 2014.

Results: The patient cohort included 11 males and one female (median age, 61 years). The lower left esophageal wall was perforated in all patients. Surgical procedures consisted of thoracoscopic suture and thoracic drainage in six patients, transhiatal suture and thoracoscopic thoracic drainage in five, and thoracoscopic esophagectomy and thoracic drainage in one. The median time from onset to surgery was 8 hours with a surgical duration of 210 minutes, blood loss 260 mL, postoperative ventilator management 1 day, intensive care unit (ICU) stay 5 days, and interval to restoration of oral ingestion 13 days. Postoperative complications included respiratory failure in four patients, pyothorax in three, and leakage in one. There was no instance of perioperative mortality. Regarding perioperative administration of sivelestat sodium hydrate, the postoperative arterial oxygen partial pressure-to-fractional inspired oxygen ratio (P/F) and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels in the administration group were significantly better than those in the non-administration group on postoperative days 4 (P=0.035) and 5 (P=0.037), respectively. In contrast, there was no significant difference between the groups in median time of ventilator management, ICU stay, oral ingestion following surgery, or hospital stay.

Conclusions: Thoracoscopic surgery obtained acceptable results in all patients, including two with a significant time lapse from onset to treatment. Furthermore, sivelestat sodium hydrate was suggested to help improve postoperative respiration and inflammatory response.
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Introduction

Esophageal rupture, including spontaneous esophageal rupture [Boerhaave syndrome, first reported in 1724 (1,2)], is a life-threatening disease. Early diagnosis and treatment is needed for good outcome of the disease (3-5). However, the initial diagnostic accuracy rate for spontaneous esophageal rupture is reported to be approximately 30%. Moreover, the mortality rate is 20% to 40% due to severe respiratory failure (5-7). Surgical treatment is performed mainly for the disease, including primary suture with or without reinforcement, such as an omental patch; pleural, pericardial, or diaphragmatic pedicle flap; or fundic patch, and successive lavage and drainage of the thoracic cavity (4,6,8,9). Conservative treatment is selected in limited patients (10-12). There are few reports of thoracoscopic surgery for the disease (13-16). We have performed thoracoscopic surgery for esophageal disease, mainly for esophageal cancer, at our department since 1994 (17). We also have applied this procedure to benign diseases, including spontaneous esophageal rupture.

Sivelestat sodium hydrate is a selective neutrophil elastase inhibitor and known to suppress the inflammation of the lung due to acute lung injury (ALI) following systematic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). It also reportedly increases pulmonary function, reduces the duration of mechanical ventilation, and shortens the intensive care unit (ICU) stay in the patients with ALI following the SIRS (18-21). In addition, perioperative administration improves pulmonary function and/or clinical course in patients after thoracic surgery (22-25). SIRS and ALI are significant complications of spontaneous esophageal rupture and typically cause death.

In this study, we retrospectively investigated the usefulness of thoracoscopic surgery and perioperative administration of sivelestat sodium hydrate for spontaneous esophageal rupture.

Methods

Patients

We collected clinical data from our database and medical records of all 12 patients who underwent thoracoscopic surgery for spontaneous esophageal rupture between 2002 and 2014. This study was approved by the ethical committee of Tohoku University (accession number 2017-4-18).

Surgical procedure

General anesthesia was performed via one-lung ventilation using a double lumen tube. All patients were placed in the right decubitus position for thoracic surgery. Regarding thoracic drainage and/or thoracoscopic suture, we typically performed the procedure with five thoracic ports inserted into the left thoracic cavity (4,6,8,9). Conservative treatment is selected in limited patients (10-12). There are few reports of thoracoscopic surgery for the disease (13-16). We have performed thoracoscopic surgery for esophageal disease, mainly for esophageal cancer, at our department since 1994 (17). We also have applied this procedure to benign diseases, including spontaneous esophageal rupture.

Sivelestat sodium hydrate is a selective neutrophil elastase inhibitor and known to suppress the inflammation of the lung due to acute lung injury (ALI) following systematic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). It also reportedly increases pulmonary function, reduces the duration of mechanical ventilation, and shortens the intensive care unit (ICU) stay in the patients with ALI following the SIRS (18-21). In addition, perioperative administration improves pulmonary function and/or clinical course in patients after thoracic surgery (22-25). SIRS and ALI are significant complications of spontaneous esophageal rupture and typically cause death.

In this study, we retrospectively investigated the usefulness of thoracoscopic surgery and perioperative administration of sivelestat sodium hydrate for spontaneous esophageal rupture.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro Version 13 (SAS Institute Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Continuous data were assessed using Student’s t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test and categorical data were analyzed using Pearson’s χ² test, Fisher’s exact test, or the Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics and surgical outcome

Patient characteristics and surgical outcome are summarized in Table 1. The patient cohort included 11 males and one female (median age, 61 years; range, 43–74 years). The lower left esophageal wall was perforated in all patients. Surgical procedures consisted of thoracoscopic suture and thoracic drainage in six patients, transhiatal suture and thoracoscopic thoracic drainage in five (four with omental patch reinforcement), and thoracoscopic esophagectomy (two-stage reconstruction) and thoracic drainage in one.
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Median time from onset to surgery was 8 hours (range, 5–48 hours) with a surgical duration of 210 minutes (range, 112–323 minutes), blood loss 260 mL (range, 5–1,320 mL), postoperative ventilator management 1 day (range, 0–26 days), ICU stay 5 days (range, 1–39 days), and interval to restoration of oral ingestion 13 days (range, 5–163 days). Postoperative complications included respiratory failure due to pneumonia or acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in four patients (33%), pyothorax in three (25%), and leakage in one (8.3%), including duplicated cases. Although long-term respiratory management was required in some patients, there was no instance of perioperative mortality.

**Administration of sivelestat sodium hydrate and patient outcomes**

When evaluated according to the perioperative administration of sivelestat sodium hydrate (six administration cases vs. six non-administration cases). There were no significant differences between the groups in sex, age, time from onset to surgery, surgical procedure, surgical duration, and blood loss as background. There was also no significant difference in median time of ventilator management, ICU stay, oral ingestion following surgery, or hospital stay (1 vs. 1, 4 vs. 6.5,

---

**Figure 1** Patient positions and location of thoracic ports. All patients were placed in the right decubitus position for thoracic surgery. Surgery typically was performed with five thoracic ports inserted into the left thoracic cavity.

**Figure 2** Thoracoscopic surgery. (A) Food residue and plural effusion in the thoracic cavity; (B) the arrow indicates laceration of the esophagus. The arrowhead indicates the diaphragm; (C) the arrow indicates the suture line of the mucosal layer; (D) the arrow indicates the suture line of the muscularis propria.
Table 1 Patients' characteristics and surgical outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Past history</th>
<th>Chief complaint</th>
<th>TFOS (hour)</th>
<th>Surgical procedure</th>
<th>Length (cm)</th>
<th>SD (min)</th>
<th>BLIPE (mL)</th>
<th>VM (day)</th>
<th>ICU stay (day)</th>
<th>Oral ingestion (POD)</th>
<th>Discharge (POD)</th>
<th>Postoperative complications</th>
<th>ASSH (POD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>On hemodialysis</td>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic suture, lavage &amp; drainage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16 (transfer)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Aspiration pneumonia/ atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>On hemodialysis</td>
<td>Back pain</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic esophagectomy (secondary reconstruction)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>163 224</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ARDS/pyothorax (anastomotic leakage, recurrent nerve palsy, and cerebral infarction after secondary reconstruction)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Vomiting/shock</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic suture, lavage &amp; drainage</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11 20</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>Vomiting/ left chest pain</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic suture, lavage &amp; drainage</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7 16</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>Vomiting/ left chest pain</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic suture, lavage &amp; drainage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18 33</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Pyothorax</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Cerebral hemorrhage</td>
<td>Vomiting/ left chest pain</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Transhiatal suture/ thoracoscopic lavage &amp; drainage</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12 19</td>
<td>Mediastinal abscess</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Hemorrhagic gastric ulcer</td>
<td>Chest &amp; back pain</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic suture, lavage &amp; drainage</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18 22</td>
<td>Suture line leakage</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tuberculosis/ Mallory Weiss syndrome</td>
<td>Vomiting/ abdominal pain</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Transhiatal suture/ thoracoscopic lavage &amp; drainage</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8 11</td>
<td>Abdominal wound infection</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Infantile paralysis/ gastric ulcer</td>
<td>Cough/ left back pain</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Transhiatal suture/ thoracoscopic lavage &amp; drainage</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>&gt;39 (transfer)</td>
<td>ARDS/ pneumonia</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Alcoholic liver injury</td>
<td>Vomiting/ diarrhea/ abdominal pain</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic suture, lavage &amp; drainage</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13 22</td>
<td>Pneumonia/mediastinal abscess</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Vomiting/ upper abdominal pain</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Transhiatal suture/ thoracoscopic lavage &amp; drainage</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5 10</td>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Surgery of duodenal ulcer</td>
<td>Vomiting/ chest &amp; back pain</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Transhiatal suture/ thoracoscopic lavage &amp; drainage</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>1,320</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>56 68</td>
<td>Pyothorax/pneumonia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, male; F, female; TFOS, time from onset to surgery; SD, surgical duration; BLIPE, blood loss including pleural effusion; VM, ventilator management; ICU, intensive care unit; POD, postoperative day; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; ASSH, administration of sivelestat sodium hydrate.
15 vs. 14.5, and 20.5 vs. 30.5 days, respectively). On the other hand, the postoperative arterial oxygen partial pressure-to-fractional inspired oxygen (P/F) ratio decreased over time in the non-administration group, whereas there was no change in the administration group. There was a significant difference in the P/F ratio between the groups since postoperative day 4 (P=0.035). P/F, pressure-to-fractional inspired oxygen; POD, postoperative day.

A total of 4.8 mg/kg/day sivelestat sodium hydrate was administrated in each patient intravenously.

**Discussion**

Recently, thoracoscopic surgery has become widespread and some studies have reported that thoracoscopic surgery for esophageal cancer was less invasive than open surgery, especially regarding pulmonary function after surgery. There was no perioperative death in our study, but one patient (in 2003) died of pneumonia following cerebral infarction within 7.6 months postoperatively. However, the long-term survival rate was 91.7% (11/12), which was higher than that reported previously. It may be considered that, in addition to recent improvement in anesthesia and multidisciplinary treatment, less invasive thoracoscopic surgery contributed to the treatment outcome. The suture line leak rate was 8.3% (1/12), which was considered acceptable. Patients with a greater interval between onset and surgery tended to experience complications, such as pyothorax, and longer intervals to treatment. This reveals that early diagnosis and early treatment are essential, similar to findings of previous reports. For early diagnosis, we believe that recall of the disease name is important. The chief complaint of vomiting and results of diagnostic imaging may help with recall. If you suspect the disease, do the upper gastrointestinal series to confirm it.

The surgical procedure to be performed is determined by the size of the rupture site, time from onset, brittleness of the tissue due to infection and necrosis, and degree of mediastinitis and intrathoracic contamination. Presently, we believe that thoracoscopic suture will provide adequate results except in the case of intraperitoneal contamination. Direct suture via thoracoscopy is less invasive and thoracoscopy is useful for irrigating the entire thoracic cavity. However, the procedure is relatively advanced and requires practice. In many patients, the laceration width of the mucous membrane was wider than that of the muscularis propria, so we considered that an important point is to watch both edges of the mucous membrane laceration carefully and suture it securely. On the other hand, a transhiatal suture may be considered to increase the security, since it is performed under direct vision, and
several reinforcements are made, such as with an omental patch (6,26). At the moment, the appropriate procedure should be selected according to the condition of the patient and experience and condition of the facility. We intend to keep the quality of surgery constant for following years. However, dexterity improvement and experience gain may influence the quality. Selection of the surgical procedure in this long-term research can also influence the quality. These issues are a limitation of this study, which is very difficult to resolve. In any case, with the spread of thoracoscopy, the use of thoracoscopic surgery for esophageal rupture will increase in the future. Currently, we are trying to introduce into this surgery use of the semiprone position to achieve good access to the posterior mediastinum and of barbed suture material for simpler and quicker suturing (16).

The P/F ratio and CRP levels in the sivelestat sodium hydrate administration group progressed favorably compared to those of the non-administration group. Figures 3,4 suggest that sivelestat sodium hydrate may help improve systematic inflammation rates and maintain the patient’s respiratory condition postoperatively. Since this is a retrospective study, there may be bias that sivelestat sodium hydrate was used in patients with relatively bad respiratory conditions. However, this is thought rather to affirm the results above. There would be more difference if sivelestat sodium hydrate had been administered to more patients with good conditions. Instead, our results revealed that, if there was bias, the respiratory condition could be improved even in patients with worse respiratory conditions. In addition, the clinical efficacy of sivelestat for ALI remains controversial. Pu et al. reported that sivelestat administration for ALI/ARDS might increase the P/F ratio, although it had little or no effect on 28–30 days mortality, ventilation days, and ICU stays similar to this study (27). We believe that the improvement of the postoperative respiration and inflammatory response will help the medical doctors and staffs during the treatment of the disease. In addition, study regarding the optimal dose and administration period of sivelestat sodium hydrate is needed. Of course, a randomized controlled trial is necessary to achieve a high level of evidence, although it is very difficult due to the rarity of patients.

Conclusions

Although thoracoscopic surgery requires separate lung ventilation and surgical tolerance varies among individual patients, acceptable results were obtained for all patients, including two with a significant time elapse from onset to treatment. Furthermore, sivelestat sodium hydrate was suggested to help improve postoperative respiration and inflammatory response.
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