

Early treatment with high-potency statins in patients with acute coronary syndrome—an example of personalized medicine

Emanuel Harari, Alon Eisen

Cardiology Department, Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, Israel and Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

Correspondence to: Alon Eisen, MD, FESC. Cardiology Department, Rabin Medical Center, 39 Jabotinsky St. 49100, Petah Tikva, Israel.

Email: alon201273@gmail.com.

Provenance: This is an invited Editorial commissioned by the Section Editor Hai-Long Dai (Department of Cardiology, Yan'an Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, China).

Comment on: Berwanger O, Santucci EV, de Barros E Silva PGM, *et al.* Effect of Loading Dose of Atorvastatin Prior to Planned Percutaneous Coronary Intervention on Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events in Acute Coronary Syndrome: The SECURE-PCI Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2018;319:1331-40.

Submitted May 20, 2018. Accepted for publication May 28, 2018.

doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.05.185

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2018.05.185>

Statins, together with aspirin, are the cornerstone of secondary prevention treatment in stable coronary artery disease (CAD) and acute coronary syndrome (ACS). The Myocardial Ischemia Reduction with Aggressive Cholesterol Lowering (MIRACL) study was one of the first randomized controlled trials which demonstrated a benefit of high-potency statin therapy in the setting of ACS (1). Compared with placebo, atorvastatin 80 mg given during the first days after an ACS decreased the rate of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) (1). This effect was observed as early as 6 weeks after randomization and was significant at 16 weeks. The prompt effect that was observed with high-potency statins is one of the cornerstones of the plaque stabilization hypothesis, in which a clinical effect is demonstrated well before the low levels of low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-c) can affect plaque progression. This “pleiotropic” effect of statins was addressed by numerous studies suggesting various mechanisms of action such as anti-inflammatory effect, and improved endothelial and platelet function. The effect of high *vs.* low potency statins in ACS was examined by the Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction 22 (PROVE IT-TIMI 22) trial and other studies which demonstrated a dose-response relationship between LDL-c level and MACE (2,3). The PCI PROVE IT, a sub-study of the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 trial, demonstrated

that in patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), those who were treated with high-potency statins had a significantly lower rate of target vessel revascularization [odds ratio (OR) 0.74, $P=0.015$] even after adjusting to LDL-c levels (4).

Current European and American guidelines recommend the administration of high-potency statins as early as possible in ACS, but preloading before PCI is not fully addressed and the timing of statin treatment is a matter of debate (5-8). The concept of loading statins before PCI was addressed in several randomized controlled trials such as the Atorvastatin for Reduction of MYocardial Damage during Angioplasty (ARMYDA) trial which demonstrated a reduction in peri-procedural myocardial infarction (MI) (defined as $> X2-X5$ rise in CK-MB levels) in patients with stable CAD undergoing PCI (9). The ARMYDA-RECAPTURE study showed a reduction in 30 days MACE in patients with stable angina or with non-ST elevation MI who were previously treated with statins and were reloaded with high potency statins (10). In a meta-analysis of 20 randomized controlled trials, comprising 8,750 patients, statins given to naïve patients before PCI decreased the rate of MI at 30 days [OR 0.38, 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.24–0.59; $P<0.0001$], whereas when statins were given post-PCI, their effect was not significant (OR 0.85, 95% CI 0.64–1.13; $P=0.28$) (11). Similar results with statins prior to PCI were demonstrated with MACE at 30 days (OR 0.35,

Table 1 Major trials examining early high potency statin treatment prior to PCI in patients with ACS

Study	No. of patients	Population	Loading treatment	Time of 1 st dose	Primary endpoint	Secondary endpoint	Results	Fol-low-up
ARMYDA-ACS (12)	171	NSTEMI	Atorvastatin 80 mg vs. placebo	12 h prior to PCI	MACE	Increased level of biomarkers > ULN; increase in CRP	Primary endpoint: 5% vs. 17%, P=0.01	30 days
ARMYDA-RECAPTURE (10)	383	Stable angina and NSTEMI	Atorvastatin 80 mg vs. placebo	12 h prior to PCI	MACE	Increase level of biomarkers > ULN; increased CRP; MACE	Primary endpoint: 3.7% vs. 9.4%, P=0.037	30 days
Yun <i>et al.</i> (13)	445	NSTEMI	Rosuvastatin 40 mg vs. placebo	Prior to PCI	Peri-procedural MI	Increase level of biomarkers > ULN or $\geq 20\%$ increase; hsCRP; MACE	Primary endpoint: 11.4% vs. 5.8%, P=0.035	30 days; 12 months
Hahn <i>et al.</i> (14)	173	STEMI	Atorvastatin 80 vs. 10 mg after PCI	Prior to PCI	Infarct size by SPECT (% of LV)	MBG; ST resolution; MACE related to heart failure ANT 6 months	Primary endpoint: 22.2% \pm 15.5% vs. 21.6% \pm 15.4%, P=0.79	30 days; 6 months
Yu <i>et al.</i> (15)	81	NSTEMI	Atorvastatin 80 mg vs. placebo	12 h prior to PCI	MACE	Death, nonfatal MI, TVR, CABG, biomarkers, CRP	Primary endpoint: 2.4% vs. 22.5%, P=0.016	30 days
STATIN STEMI (16)	171	STEMI	Atorvastatin 80 vs. 10 mg	Prior to PCI	MACE	cTFC, MBG, and ST resolution at 90 min after PCI	Primary endpoint: 5.8% vs. 10.6%, P=0.26	30 days; 9 months
REPERATOR (17)	55	STEMI	Atorvastatin 80 mg vs. placebo	Prior to PCI	End-systolic volume index by MRI	LV function, infarct size, biomarkers, TIMI flow, and ST resolution	Primary endpoint: 25.1 vs. 25.0 mL/m ² , P=0.74	3 months
Gao <i>et al.</i> (18)	117	NSTEMI in Females	Rosuvastatin 20 mg vs. placebo	12 h prior to PCI	MACE	Myocardial injury, hs-CRP, IL-1, IL-6, and TNFa	Primary endpoint: 1.69% vs. 12.07%, P=0.026	3 months; 6 months
Wang <i>et al.</i> (19)	125	NSTEMI	Rosuvastatin 20 mg vs. placebo	2–4 h prior to PCI	MACE	Elevation in biomarkers > ULN within 30 days after PCI	Primary endpoint: 8.1% vs. 22.2%, P<0.01	30 days
SECURE PCI (20)	4191	ACS—STEMI and NSTEMI	Atorvastatin 80 mg vs. placebo	2–12 h—NSTEMI. Prior to PCI in STEMI	MACE	Mortality, MI, stroke, revascularization, cardiac death, stent thrombosis, TVR	Primary endpoint: 6.2% vs. 7.1%; HR 0.88; 95% CI, 0.69–1.11; P=0.27	30 days

ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CRP, C reactive protein; cTFC, corrected TIMI frame count; hsCRP, high sensitive CRP; LV, left ventricle; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; MBG, myocardial blush grade; NSTEMI, non-ST elevation myocardial infarction; SPECT, single photon emitted computed tomography; STEMI, ST elevation myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; TVR, target vessel revascularization; ULN, upper limit of normal.

95% CI, 0.20–0.59; P=0.0001) and beyond 30 days (OR 0.52, 95% CI, 0.37–0.73; P=0.002). The meta-analysis did not demonstrate a significant effect of statins on mortality at 30 days or beyond (11). A summary of the sentinel trials on statins use prior to PCI in ACS is depicted on *Table 1*.

The mechanism of the benefit observed with statin loading or re-loading before PCI is probably multifactorial. *In-vitro* models showed that statins given prior to PCI decrease distal embolization and increase circulating endothelial progenitor cells (21), thus potentially increase

endothelial healing following the injury caused by PCI. In addition, patients undergoing elective PCI, which were pre-treated with statins, had less microcirculatory resistance compared to placebo (22). These effects might be responsible for the improved clinical outcomes observed after PCI when preloading with statins, yet other unknown mechanisms are possible.

In the Statins Evaluation in Coronary procedUres and Revascularization (SECURE-PCI) trial published recently in *Journal of the American Medical Association*, two doses of atorvastatin 80 mg prior and 24 h after coronary angiography were examined in a double blind, placebo controlled, multi-center randomized trial in patients with ACS (with or without ST-segment elevation) (20). In this study, which included 4,191 patients, there was no significant difference in the 30-day rate of MACE [hazard ratio (HR), 0.88; 95% CI, 0.69–1.11; P=0.27]. The main results of the trial were consistent among different subgroups, and there was no significant between-groups interaction except for the PCI group. In this pre-specified subgroup analysis, patients who underwent PCI (67% of all patients) and who were randomized to receive early treatment with atorvastatin, had a significant reduction in 30-day MACE (HR 0.72, 95% CI, 0.54–0.96, P interaction =0.02). Furthermore, in a post-hoc analysis of patients with ST-segment elevation MI (STEMI) who underwent PCI, there was a significant reduction in 30-day MACE (HR 0.66, 95% CI, 0.48–0.98; P-interaction with the no-PCI group =0.04) in favor of the loading treatment, whereas in patients with non-STEMI there was no significant interaction by PCI and treatment group. Although the primary outcome of the SECURE-PCI trial was negative, it is certainly reassuring with regards to the safety of early high-potency statin treatment. The results in the PCI sub-group may suggest that in ACS patients undergoing PCI, early statin treatment is more important than in those who are treated medically or by coronary artery bypass graft surgery. The positive results of the post-hoc STEMI sub-group may be related to the fact that the majority of these patients underwent PCI and were not treated conservatively. It might also be influenced by the distal embolization to the microcirculation, which in its extreme form is frequently observed as “no reflow” phenomenon during primary PCI in STEMI.

The choice of “hard” clinical end points in the SECURE-PCI trial and the short-term follow-up, may partially explain the negative results of the study. Unlike biomarkers levels and infarct size, mortality in the first 30 days following MI is usually caused by catastrophic events,

such as cardiogenic shock and mechanical complications. The contribution of minor distal embolization events is probably limited. Improved microcirculation following statin loading might influence infarct size, which could theoretically translate to improved long term outcomes, but would be very hard to detect after 30 days. Nonetheless, in the absence of contraindication to statin treatment, there is no reason to defer the treatment and it should probably be given, as early as possible, particularly in patients treated with PCI. The positive results of atorvastatin loading in ACS patients undergoing PCI and particular in STEMI patients should make clinicians consider early statin loading even before primary PCI in the emergency department. This rationale concept of “the sooner the better” in ACS resembles preloading of other medications, such as clopidogrel, in which the added value of preloading is not clear while there are no safety concerns. Nevertheless, it is important to identify patients who might benefit the most from preloading with high-potency statins. Thus, a personalized approach, rather than a generalized one, is probably the take home message from the SECURE-PCI trial. Future prospective studies are needed to further examine specific populations who might benefit the most from high-potency statins preloading in ACS.

Acknowledgements

None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Schwartz GG, Ganz P, Waters D, et al. Pharmacoeconomic evaluation of the effects of atorvastatin on early recurrent ischemic events in acute coronary syndromes. *Am J Cardiol* 2003;92:1109-12.
2. Murphy SA, Cannon CP, Wiviott SD, et al. Reduction in recurrent cardiovascular events with intensive lipid-lowering statin therapy compared with moderate lipid-lowering statin therapy after acute coronary syndromes from the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 (Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction 22) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:2358-62.

3. Cannon CP, Steinberg BA, Murphy SA, et al. Meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcomes trials comparing intensive versus moderate statin therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:438-45.
4. Gibson CM, Pride YB, Hochberg CP, et al. Effect of intensive statin therapy on clinical outcomes among patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for acute coronary syndrome. PCI-PROVE IT: A PROVE IT-TIMI 22 (Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction 22) Substudy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:2290-5.
5. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:119-77.
6. Roffi M, Patrono C, Collet JP, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: Task Force for the Management of Acute Coronary Syndromes in Patients Presenting without Persistent ST-Segment Elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:267-315.
7. Amsterdam EA, Wenger NK, Brindis RG, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2014;130:e344-426.
8. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2013;127:e362-425.
9. Pasceri V, Patti G, Nusca A, et al. Randomized trial of atorvastatin for reduction of myocardial damage during coronary intervention: results from the ARMYDA (Atorvastatin for Reduction of MYocardial Damage during Angioplasty) study. *Circulation* 2004;110:674-8.
10. Di Sciascio G, Patti G, Pasceri V, et al. Efficacy of atorvastatin reload in patients on chronic statin therapy undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: results of the ARMYDA-RECAPTURE (Atorvastatin for Reduction of Myocardial Damage During Angioplasty) Randomized Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:558-65.
11. Navarese EP, Kowalewski M, Andreotti F, et al. Meta-analysis of time-related benefits of statin therapy in patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol* 2014;113:1753-64.
12. Patti G, Pasceri V, Colonna G, et al. Atorvastatin pretreatment improves outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing early percutaneous coronary intervention: results of the ARMYDA-ACS randomized trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:1272-8.
13. Yun KH, Jeong MH, Oh SK, et al. The beneficial effect of high loading dose of rosuvastatin before percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Int J Cardiol* 2009;137:246-51.
14. Hahn JY, Kim HJ, Choi YJ, et al. Effects of atorvastatin pretreatment on infarct size in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am Heart J* 2011;162:1026-33.
15. Yu XL, Zhang HJ, Ren SD, et al. Effects of loading dose of atorvastatin before percutaneous coronary intervention on periprocedural myocardial injury. *Coron Artery Dis* 2011;22:87-91.
16. Kim JS, Kim J, Choi D, et al. Efficacy of high-dose atorvastatin loading before primary percutaneous coronary intervention in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: the STATIN STEMI trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2010;3:332-9.
17. Post S, Post MC, van den Branden BJ, et al. Early statin treatment prior to primary PCI for acute myocardial infarction: REPERATOR, a randomized placebo-controlled pilot trial. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2012;80:756-65.
18. Gao Y, Jia ZM, Sun YJ, et al. Effect of high-dose rosuvastatin loading before percutaneous coronary intervention in female patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2012;125:2250-4.
19. Wang Z, Dai H, Xing M, et al. Effect of a single high loading dose of rosuvastatin on percutaneous coronary intervention for acute coronary syndromes. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther* 2013;18:327-33.
20. Berwanger O, Santucci EV, de Barros ESPGM, et al. Effect of Loading Dose of Atorvastatin Prior to Planned Percutaneous Coronary Intervention on Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events in Acute Coronary Syndrome:

- The SECURE-PCI Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2018;319:1331-40.
21. Eisen A, Leshem-Lev D, Yavin H, et al. Effect of High Dose Statin Pretreatment on Endothelial Progenitor Cells After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (HIPOCRATES Study). *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther* 2015;29:129-35.
 22. Fujii K, Kawasaki D, Oka K, et al. The impact of pravastatin pre-treatment on periprocedural microcirculatory damage in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2011;4:513-20.

Cite this article as: Harari E, Eisen A. Early treatment with high-potency statins in patients with acute coronary syndrome—an example of personalized medicine. *J Thorac Dis* 2018;10(Suppl 17):S2062-S2066. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.05.185