Pulmonary hypertension in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and emphysema patients: prevalence, therapeutic options and pulmonary circulatory effects of lung volume reduction surgery
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Abstract: The exact prevalence of pulmonary hypertension (PH) and cor pulmonale (CP) in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is unknown, and varies considerably from 20–91%. Usually, mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) does not exceed 30 mmHg, and PH is not severe. However, PH and CP are important predictors of mortality in COPD and contribute to disability in this disease. Many factors contribute to the development of PH in chronic lung disease, including reduction of the pulmonary vascular cross-sectional area due to parenchymal loss and accompanying hypoxia, effects of abnormal pulmonary mechanics due to hyperinflation, but also vascular remodeling processes. So far, PH associated with chronic lung disease cannot be treated medically. Therefore, it is indicated to treat the underlying pulmonary disease. Patients with severe PH should be referred to centers experienced in the management of PH and enrollment in clinical trials should be considered. Lung volume reduction surgery (LVRS) theoretically further increases pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) by reducing the vascular bed when resecting lung tissue, however, this might be compensated by better pulmonary mechanics through reduction of hyperinflation, which will be discussed in the present article.
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Introduction

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) group 3, according to the 2003 World Health Organization (WHO) classification of PH (1), summarizes PH associated with disorders of the respiratory system. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), interstitial lung disease (ILD), and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) are most frequently the underlying lung diseases in WHO group 3 PH (2). If chronic lung disease is accompanied by PH, functional capacity and survival will decrease, while complications will increase (2). The present article aims to give an overview of the available literature describing the outcome and potential therapeutic implications for emphysema patients with PH, including lung volume reduction surgery (LVRS), although only limited data is available for this particular question.

Epidemiology of PH in lung disease

In contrast to idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) [mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) >25 mmHg], the threshold in PH group 3 was set at a resting mPAP >20 mmHg in earlier studies (3).

The exact prevalence of PH and cor pulmonale (CP) in COPD is unknown, and varies considerably from 20–91%
(4-12), which can be explained by variation of PH thresholds being used in different studies. In a large study of advanced COPD candidates for LVRS or lung transplantation, the reported prevalence of PH was just over 50% (7). Similar prevalence of 47.6% PH of mPAP ≥25 mmHg is reported from the Organ Procurement and Tissue Network (OPTN) database of 4,930 COPD patients listed for transplant between 1997 and 2006 (13). In contrast to this, in the National Emphysema Treatment Trial (NETT) PH prevalence was as high as 91% in 120 patients where right heart catheterization (RHC) was performed (8). Several studies in patients with previous Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) stage IV showed that only 3% to 5% of patients present with mPAP >35 to 40 mmHg (14-16). The rate of PH progression in COPD has been reported to be normally slow with an increase in PAP between 1.5–2.8 mmHg/year (17,18).

Combined pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema (CPFE) patients develop more frequently PH, in 30% to 50% of the cases with prognostic impact on shorter survival (19-21).

**Pathophysiology**

Several mechanisms may be responsible for the pathogenesis of PH in the setting of COPD and emphysema: reduction of the pulmonary vascular cross-sectional area due to parenchymal loss and accompanying alveolar hypoxia, hypoxemia and hypercapnia, effects of abnormal pulmonary mechanics due to air-trapping and hyperinflation, polycythemia (leading to hyperviscosity and hypervolemia), and inflammation-induced vascular remodeling related to smoking (7,16). Vascular remodeling is further triggered by chronic hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction leading to intimal medial hypertrophy of small pulmonary arteries (22) (Figure 1).

**Right and left ventricular (LV) function in CP**

With rising pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR), the right ventricle (RV) slowly becomes hypertrophic and dilated. Severe emphysema is always associated with hyperinflation, and the low elastic recoil of the lungs compresses the two ventricles into each other (23), hindering the RV to dilate and increase end-diastolic volume and therefore resulting in lower cardiac output (CO) due to decreased RV preload. LV function depends on RV function and is therefore altered too, because changes in RV output must invariably alter LV preload, as the two ventricles are serially linked through the pulmonary vasculature. Furthermore, LV preload can also be directly influenced by changes in RV end-diastolic volume (RVEDV) by the mechanism of ventricular interdependence in a common pericardial pocket.

At this point, LVRS with a decrease of hyperinflation and therefore a decrease in intrathoracic pressure may help to increase cardiac function (24), which will be further discussed in the following paragraphs.

**Clinical relevance and effect of PH on prognosis**

In COPD an accelerated further increase of mPAP is

---

**Figure 1** Vascular remodeling in an emphysema patient with PH (A, hematoxylin eosin staining; B, Elastica van Gieson staining), showing narrowing of the arterial lumen due to eccentric intimal hyperplasia and fibrosis. Courtesy of Dr. B. Vrugt, Institute of Pathology, University Hospital Zurich Switzerland. PH, pulmonary hypertension.
PAP may double to its resting value (26), this means that for those patients even daily activities such as walking or climbing stairs can lead to transient PH. Furthermore, it has been shown that PAP can rise by nocturnal desaturation in COPD patients, but its role in the pathogenesis of PH and CP is not clear (27,28).

Patients with increased PAP also have increased morbidity and adverse events, which has for example been demonstrated (29) to result in a doubling of the hospitalization rate in patients with COPD with PH in comparison to those without PH. Furthermore, PAP and pCO₂ were the only two factors independently predicting hospitalization. Another predictor of hospitalization due to acute COPD exacerbation seems to be larger PA diameter measured in computed tomography (CT) scan (30).

The presence of PH in COPD patients has also an impact on overall prognosis as it has been shown to be of a strong predictor of mortality (Figure 2). Even if only moderate; an inverse correlation between mPAP and/or PVR values and survival has been reported (4,15,31). For COPD patients PH >25 mmHg (Figure 3), the 5-year survival rate is relevantly decreased and it has been demonstrated that pulmonary hemodynamics have a bigger prognostic impact on survival than FEV1 or the degree of hypoxemia or hypercapnia (31). For COPD patients with severe PH >40 mmHg, 5-year survival is only about 15% (14,32).

**Diagnostic assessment**

The routine assessment of PAP in COPD patients is not stated in the most recent GOLD recommendation for diagnosis and initial assessment by the GOLD guidelines (33).

**Clinical features**

Unfortunately, the clinical features are not sensitive and specific: the classical signs of PH and CP like loud pulmonic valve closure (P2), third heart sound (S3) gallop, systolic murmur of tricuspid regurgitation are reduced due to hyperinflation (34).

**Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP)**

In severe cases of PH due to COPD or diffuse parenchymal lung diseases levels of BNP or the N-terminal prohormone of BNP in plasma are elevated. In moderate PH BNP lacks sensitivity as it can also be increased due to left
heart abnormalities (35). However, in a mixed diffuse parenchymal lung diseases population BNP levels showed a strong predictive value for mortality (36).

**Chest radiography**

PH can be diagnosed with 98% sensitivity, if the diameter of the right descending pulmonary artery (PA) is increased (>16 mm, postero-anterior projection) together with an increased diameter of the left descending PA (>18 mm, left lateral projection) (37) (Figure 4).

**ECG**

The sensitivity to detect right ventricular hypertrophy is very low. Poor prognosis is reported if S1S2S3 or right atrial overload pattern, i.e., P wave axis of +90° or more, are present (38).

**Echocardiography**

As non-invasive tool for the diagnosis of PH in COPD Doppler transthoracic echocardiography is the first diagnostic test used. In patients with lung diseases, a positive predictive value of 32% (in comparison to 68% RHC) and a negative predictive value of 93% (compared to 67% for RHC) are stated (39,40). Optimal visualization of the heart for tricuspid regurgitation by Doppler is hindered by hyperinflation and therefore assessment of systolic PAP (sPAP) was achieved only in 38% of patients, as reported for 253 COPD candidates for lung transplantation (41). In cases of important hyperinflation (residual volume >150%), the measurement of sPAP assessment was less feasible. This depends obviously significantly on the examiner's experience. Echocardiographically estimated sPAP showed a sensitivity of 76%, a specificity of 65%, a negative predictive value of 93% and a positive predictive value of 32% for the diagnosis of PH (39). If sPAP assessment was impossible, the values for RV abnormal morphology were 84%, 56%, 96%, and 22% respectively. For the exclusion of PH the negative predictive value is sufficient, but for the diagnosis of PH RHC is required.

**CT scan**

Typical CT systemic vascular characteristics (Figure 5) are: enlargement of central pulmonary vessels, enlargement and hypertrophy of RV, pericardial effusion.

**Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)**

Contrast MRI reflects very well the RV structure and function. The thickness of the RV wall and the mean PAP show a high correlation (r=0.9) (42). One study measured PA cross-sectional area using MRI to assess if pulmonary hyperinflation correlates with PA cross-sectional area in

![Figure 4 Chest radiography AP and lateral, courtesy of Prof. T. Frauenfelder, Institute of Diagnostic Radiology, University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland. AP, anteroposterior.](image-url)
COPD (43) and it has been demonstrated that increased residual volume was associated with a larger PA in COPD.

**RHC**

RHC is still the gold standard for precise PAP measurement. With RHC it is also possible to measure the transpulmonary gradient and CO, and to calculate PVR as well as its reversibility by drugs and oxygen. Exercise induced PH can also be investigated. Severe PH (mPAP >40 mmHg) is rarely seen in COPD and in these cases an additional explanation for PH should be investigated, e.g., left heart disease, chronic thromboembolic disease or idiopathic.

**Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET)**

Exercise testing is an excellent tool to differentiate between an exhausted breathing reserve caused by airflow limitation and an exhausted circulatory reserve caused by PH (44).

**Treatment**

Even though PAP has a strong negative impact on survival in most lung diseases, findings from a limited number of studies suggest that successful treatment of PH does not necessarily improve patients’ outcome. Before starting a PH treatment for patients with chronic lung disease, it has to be considered, whether improving pulmonary hemodynamics in chronic lung disease has an impact on patients outcome, because in most of these patients symptoms are rather caused by limitations in ventilation than by CO, unlike those with idiopathic PAH (2). Management of the underlying lung disease is the primary goal of treatment, as there is currently no medication proven to efficiently treat PH associated with chronic lung disease. In cases of severe PH, patients should be referred to experienced PAH centres and enrollment in clinical trials should be considered.

Over the past 2 decades, a large number of drugs have been approved for WHO group 1 PAH, leading to the temptation to also use these medications in the treatment of PH associated with chronic lung disease (2).

**Therapy of CP**

Treatments include α1-antagonists, β2-agonists, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, nitrates, and theophylline (45). The majority of these drugs influence PH only modestly, as on the one hand they increase CO and reduce PVR, but on the other hand also lead to systemic hypotension and deterioration of ventilation-perfusion mismatch. Whether these alternative treatments lead to a benefit of survival has not yet been shown for any of them (45).

**Oxygen**

In the 1970s, the efficacy of supplemental oxygen to reduce PAP in COPD was first studied. The effects of long-term oxygen therapy (LTO2) on pulmonary hemodynamics, patient function, and survival was assessed in two large multicenter studies. Although it has been demonstrated that mortality was relevantly influenced by the oxygen therapy,
increased survival was not correlated with changes in pulmonary hemodynamics (17). This was further confirmed by the Nocturnal Oxygen Therapy Trial [randomized to overnight (average 12 h/d) or continuous (average 17.7 h/d) LTO2 (46)] which showed nearly double mortalities in nocturnal vs the continuous oxygen therapy group, but showed no differences in mPAP and PVR between the group of continuous oxygen compared to the group who used oxygen only at night. However, oxygen is still vital in the treatment for PH in COPD patients.

**Pulmonary vasodilators**

The use of vasodilators is complicated by the negative effect on oxygenation by inhibiting the ventilation perfusion mechanism. However, small series in well selected patients showed a benefit (47,48).

Nitrite oxide was tested in one long-term study in 40 patients who were additionally under LTO2 (49). Although significant improvement of PAP, PVR and CO was demonstrated, technological and toxicological problems connected to long-term use of inhaled NO still need to be resolved.

Inhaled prostanoids can acutely lead to reduction of mPAP and PVR without negative impact on gas exchange in PH-COPD (50), but long-term effects have not been studied so far.

Controversial data exist about the effect of endothelin receptor antagonists in PH-COPD. Bosentan was assessed in a small randomized controlled trial in COPD patients with no or only mild PH assessed by echocardiography. While gas exchange was even deteriorated, peak oxygen uptake, exercise capacity, and quality of life were also not improved (51). Valerio et al. reported, that Bosentan treatment can improve exercise capacity in PH-COPD patients (52).

Short-term application of phosphodiesterase inhibitor sildenafil was tested in PH-COPD and better hemodynamics, but at the same time worsened gas exchange was documented (53). This is further confirmed by a small randomized controlled trial in patients with severe PH-COPD upon long-term use of sildenafil where a reduction in PAP and an increase in 6-minute walking distance, was observed (54). Taken together, there is no evidence that patients with COPD without severe PH have a long-term benefit from sildenafil treatment.

PH-COPD patients on riociguat medication showed improved pulmonary hemodynamics in the absence of major gas exchange deterioration (55). Further studies are needed to explore this indication for riociguat.

**LVRS**

LVRS significantly improves lung function, gas exchange, exercise capacity, quality of life and even survival for emphysema patients when compared to medical treatment (56,57). Experienced centers with careful patient selection, can reach a 90 days-postoperative mortality rate nearing zero (58,59). PH is still an exclusion criteria for LVRS for emphysema patients (60). This was based on the idea that resection of lung tissue and therefore reduction of the vascular bed would favor PH. However, PVR and therefore PH should improve due to the by LVRS achieved reduction of hyperinflation and air-trapping. It is not clear though if the change of PH after LVRS is caused by reduction in hyperinflation only or rather by improved arterial oxygen tension. In two case series with endoscopic lung volume reduction with valves, it has been shown that clinical and hemodynamic parameters (PH and RV function) improved without increasing morbidity and mortality of the procedure (61,62). Limited data on the influence of LVRS on cardiac function and hemodynamics exist and are controversial.

Haniuda et al. (63) compared the results of seven patients 6 months before and after LVRS at rest and during exercise with the results of eight pulmonary lobectomy patients: after lobectomy, sPAP was strongly increased during exercise, while sPAP at rest or during exercise was not influenced by LVRS. In none of the groups cardiac index was influenced, neither at rest nor during exercise. In the LVRS group the elevation of wedge pressure during exercise even ameliorated (P<0.01). This was further confirmed by Criner et al. (64), in a cardiovascular subanalysis of three out of seventeen centers for the NETT: after LVRS PAP did not increase in comparison with medical therapy. The same results are reported from Kubo and colleagues (65): PAP at rest or during exercise is unaffected six months after bilateral LVRS. A slight, but non-significant decrease in exercising mPAP was shown in a small study including 9 LVRS patients; mPAP at rest was unaffected (66). In contrast to this, another small study (67) reported an increase in mean PAP in 9 subjects from 26.5 to 31.8 mmHg 3 months after surgery, and in 3 patients PAP even rose to 60 mmHg or greater. In another prospective, longitudinal study, nine emphysema patients with an average age of 64.4 yr. treated with LVRS experienced a significant rise of FEV1 from 0.64 preoperatively to 0.99 L postoperatively. In the same study
PAP rose to 47.9±12.4 mmHg after surgery, but the change was not statistically significant (67). In our own cohort, we retrospectively assessed the effect on PH in 119 LVRS patients (68), and we could demonstrate even significant improvement of PH in patients with heterogeneous emphysema. In patients with PH, median sPAP decreased from 41 mmHg [interquartile range (IQR) 39–47 to 37 mmHg (IQR) 36–38, P=0.04]. FEV1 improved in these patients three months after surgery from median 27% predicted (IQR 23–34) to 33% (IQR 28–40, P=0.007) (Table 1). These inverse changes of PAP levels with FEV1 in a subset of patients treated with LVRS have also been documented by other groups (7,8).

In terms on the effect of LVRS on RV function, the results are equally controversial: whereas some groups showed (65,69) that cardiac index, both at rest and during exercise, increased 6 months after LVRS, Sciurba et al. (23) reported that LVRS significantly increased RV systolic function (Figure 6); other groups could not confirm these findings (63,66,67). The improvement in RV function may be explained by several facts: due to the reduction of hyperinflation, a decrease of the intrathoracic pressure might cause an improved RV filling—and therefore improved RV preload—reflected in increased RVEDVs after LVRS as described by Mineo et al. (69). Another explanation is that throughout the reduction of hyperinflation, pressure on the vessels is decreased or—by improvement of elastic recoil—induced tethering of extra-alveolar vessels, a reduction of PVR by capillary recruitment is obtained by LVRS (6,70). This holds particularly true during exercise, as these large intrathoracic pressure swings are a well-known mechanism for emphysematous patients to overcome the loss of lung elastic recoil. In the study by Oswald-Mammosser, a significant decrease of the respiratory swings of the pulmonary diastolic pressure at rest and during exercise was observed after surgery, alongside with a good correlation between the change in mean PAP after LVRS at rest, as well as during exercise, and the change in the resting PA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Median preoperative (IQR)</th>
<th>Median postoperative (IQR)</th>
<th>∆ from baseline (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sPAP &lt;35 mmHg (n=20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (% predicted)</td>
<td>24 [20–30]</td>
<td>29 [23–33]</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (mL)</td>
<td>700 [515–948]</td>
<td>800 [590–990]</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (% predicted)</td>
<td>122 [112–148]</td>
<td>119 [107–137]</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (mL)</td>
<td>7,620 [6,732–9,670]</td>
<td>7,060 [5,500–9,630]</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (% predicted)</td>
<td>231 [180–275]</td>
<td>208 [165–246]</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (mL)</td>
<td>4,785 [4,235–6,443]</td>
<td>4,415 [3,552–5,570]</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC (%)</td>
<td>67 [62–73]</td>
<td>62 [55–66]</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO (% predicted)</td>
<td>31 [22–37]</td>
<td>25 [16–39]</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>0.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sPAP &gt;35 mmHg (n=10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (% predicted)</td>
<td>27 [23–34]</td>
<td>33 [28–40]</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (mL)</td>
<td>675 [635–785]</td>
<td>805 [760–1,020]</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (% predicted)</td>
<td>129 [126–163]</td>
<td>125 [106–143]</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (mL)</td>
<td>7,380 [6,620–9,667]</td>
<td>6,435 [6,115–7,457]</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (% predicted)</td>
<td>266 [224–310]</td>
<td>227 [187–244]</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (mL)</td>
<td>5,710 [4,885–7,915]</td>
<td>4,605 [3,820–5,280]</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC (%)</td>
<td>76 [73–79]</td>
<td>66 [59–71]</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLCO (% predicted)</td>
<td>32 [21–38]</td>
<td>30 [15–41]</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0.686</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DLCO, carbon monoxide diffusion capacity; sPAP, systolic pulmonary artery pressure; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; IQR, interquartile range; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity.
diastolic pressure ($r=0.73$, $P<0.03$ and $r=0.80$, $P<0.02$, respectively) (66). This is further supported by other studies (71-73), showing that diffusion capacity even increased after LVRS. This suggests that the alveolo-capillary gas exchange surface is not negatively influenced; maybe because damage to the vascular bed was only minimal as a result of a well-targeted resection zone, or possibly that more capillaries are recruited after LVRS. Several studies demonstrated that LVRS did not significantly change PaO$_2$ or PaCO$_2$ at rest or during exercise (74).

In a prospective, open, controlled study of LVRS patients with severe emphysema (10 patients) compared to cancer lobectomy serving as control subjects (10 patients), the effect on LV function was assessed by transesophageal echocardiography, and hemodynamics were assessed by RHC before and after surgery. Preoperative LV function was impaired in LVRS patients [lower CI, stroke volume index (SVI)] in comparison to patients undergoing lobectomy for lung carcinoma, and improved postoperatively after LVRS as reflected by an increase in LV end-diastolic morphology, and therefore improved LV function. The pressure reduced LV function in the LVRS groups might be explained again by dynamic hyperinflation decreasing the intrathoracic blood volume, which further generates an intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) (75-78). Indeed, it has been demonstrated that patients with severe emphysema have intrinsic PEEP levels between 5–7.5 cmH$_2$O (76,77), which has been further correlated to impairment of RV and LV end-diastolic dimensions in assessments of patients and volunteers (79-85). Taken together, these results strongly support the idea that LVRS in patients with severe emphysema might increase both RV and LV function after surgery.

**Summary and conclusions**

- Prevalence of PH and CP in COPD patients ranges from 20–91%, but is mostly only moderate with mPAP usually not exceeding 30 mmHg.
- Morbidity and mortality in chronic lung disease is strongly affected by the presence of even moderate PH.
- PH in chronic lung disease is caused by multiple factors including reduction of the pulmonary vascular cross-sectional area, hypoxia, effects of abnormal pulmonary mechanics due to hyperinflation, but also vascular remodeling processes.
- Management of the underlying lung disease is the primary goal of treatment, as there is currently no medication proven to efficiently treat PH associated with chronic lung disease.
- In cases of severe PH, patients should be referred to experienced PAH centres and enrollment in clinical trials should be considered.
- Based on the present literature lacking prospective or randomized data, LVRS has in most series no adverse effect on pulmonary hemodynamics. The decrease of PVR resulting from better pulmonary mechanics with reduction of hyperinflation, and improved elastic recoil might compensate for the anatomic reduction of the vascular bed. If resection areas are well targeted, this effect may be even positive for pulmonary hemodynamics, which needs to be demonstrated in further prospective trials.
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