Surgical management of advanced non-small cell lung cancer
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Abstract: More than 75% of the cases of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) are diagnosed in advanced stages (IIIA-IV). Although in these patients the role of surgery is unclear, complete tumor resection can be achieved in selected cases, with good long-term survival. In this review, current indications for surgery in advanced NSCLC are discussed. In stage IIIA (N2), surgery after induction chemotherapy seems to be the best option. The indication of induction chemotherapy plus radiotherapy is debatable due to potential postoperative complications but recently reported experiences have not shown a higher postoperative risk in patients after chemo and radiotherapy induction even if pneumonectomy is performed. In cases of unexpected N2 found during thoracotomy, lobectomy plus systematic nodal dissection is recommended mostly for patients with single station disease. In stage IIIB, surgery is only the choice for resectable T4N0-1 cases and should not be indicated in cases of N2 disease. Favorable outcomes are reported after extended resections to the spine and mediastinal structures. Thorough and individualized discussion of each stage IIIB case is encouraged in the context of a multidisciplinary team. For stage IV oligometastatic cases, surgery can still be included when planning multimodality treatment. Brain and adrenal gland are the two most common sites of oligometastases considered for local ablative therapy.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is still the leading cause of cancer death amongst men in most European countries. Due to the rapid course of the disease after diagnosis, standardised mortality rates are quite similar to those of incidence for both sexes (1) and in more than 75% of the cases the disease is diagnosed in advanced stages (2). Both for patients with locally advanced or distant spread of the disease, radio and chemotherapy alone or in different combination regimens have demonstrated considerable improvements in terms of long-term survival and control of symptoms (3,4) but surgery still has a paramount role in the therapy of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). In this review, we are focusing on the role of therapeutic surgical interventions in cases of NSCLC patients with clinical stage IIIA, IIIB or IV (5). Without the intention of performing a systematic review of the literature, we have conducted a Pubmed-based search including manuscripts published in English from 2000 to 2014 combining the following key words: “non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)”, “advanced clinical stage”, “clinical stage IIIA or IIIB or IV”, “oligometastatic disease” and “extended lung resection”. Whenever existing, we have reviewed first systematic reviews and meta-analysis; then randomized clinical trials and well-designed studies of cases and controls. Case series have been reviewed only for the infrequent situations of extended operations including the spine or large thoracic vessels.

Surgery alone or as a component of multimodality therapy regimens in clinical stage IIIA (N2) NSCLC

The appropriate therapy for stage IIIA (N2) NSCLC...
In experienced hands, VATS lobectomy seems to be comparable with thoracotomy to achieve complete resection is selected cases without the necessity of conversion to open surgery (13,14).

Combined protocols of surgery, chemo and radiotherapy

The efficacy of chemotherapy induction followed by complete resection has been studied in several meta-analysis of published randomized clinical trials. As published by Manser et al. (15), long-term and disease-free survival achieved by surgery alone is lower compared to preoperative chemoradiotherapy followed by resection. On the contrary, Nakamura et al. (16) conclude that the benefit of induction therapy for stage IIIA patients is unclear since none of the hazard ratios at any time after surgery resulted significant. These differences could be a consequence of heterogeneity in selected chemotherapy protocols (17). Standardization of the chemotherapy schemes used for induction in clinical stage IIIA cases would be welcome.

The role of induction using concurrent or sequential chemoradiotherapy is also debatable. In a recently published meta-analysis (18) the authors conclude that given the lack of survival benefit and the potential disadvantages of induction chemoradiation, these regimes should be considered only in the context of randomized clinical trials. In fact, in a randomized trial by the German Lung Cancer Cooperative Group (19) the authors found that preoperative chemoradiation in addition to chemotherapy increased mediastinal downstaging, but did not improve survival. In addition, a caveat against pneumonectomy was stated since treatment-related mortality reached 14% in patients treated by pneumonectomy.

More recently, some Japanese studies (20,21) have reported a high rate of down staging in stage IIIA patients treated with induction chemo radiotherapy—including S-1 and cisplatin—followed by surgery. These series included also pneumonectomies without increased mortality. Although the reported rates of 5-year overall survival are good (around 60%), probably the series are biased by very strict case selection criteria.

Extended resection in cases of IIIB tumours

Despite subsequent revisions, the current UICC staging system defined as IIIB tumors lesions classified T4N2 and all T4N3 diseases (5). Surgery in this context seems to be anecdotal and debated considering such categories as
unresectable diseases with a dismal prognosis of about 7% (22). However, there may be a different biologic behavior in patients with local T4 disease and those with N3 nodal diseases within the stage classification. Although there are very few data reporting long-term survivors from extended resections of lung cancers involving the superior vena cava, aorta, left atrium, main pulmonary artery, or esophagus, it has been well accepted that very selected patients with mediastinal invasion along with SVC invasion but without mediastinal node involvement can be viewed as candidates for surgery. The factors that are found to possibly affect survival are the completeness of resection, the lymph node status, and the subclavian artery involvement (23).

Of course, variations exist in the surgical results for patients with tumor invading the adjacent organs. Each case should be discussed at the tumor board to plan the best treatment strategy. If T4N0-N1 (IIIA) patients should be treated with aggressive multidisciplinary therapy in a manner that maximizes the chance for long-term cure, it is not the case for T4N2 disease (IIIB). This subgroup should be considered as a potential contraindication to resection of T4 tumors (Figure 2). The 5-year survival rates are 43% vs. 17% for T4N0-1 and for T4N2-3 respectively (24). These results have also been confirmed by other reports (25-27).

Multiple nodal station and especially with a N2 disease is a worsen prognosis factor for T4 tumor (28). Advances in the perioperative management and postoperative care, along with a careful patient selection, will likely make the operative mortality and morbidity less prohibitive and yield a more favorable prognosis. Sleeve lobectomy has to be considered whenever possible because pneumonectomy is still a contraindication in this setting, particularly when it is a right resection (29).

Another aspect of surgery for IIIB disease stands on the concept of “salvage resection”. In fact, for stage IIIB, many patients are treated with definitive CRT. The current protocol includes concomitant CRT with radiation exceeding 59 Gy. In this context, 24% to 35% of patients with locally advanced NSCLC experience isolated local relapse (30-32). The results of salvage lung resection have been reported by Bauman et al. (33), reporting on 24 patients with stage IIIB in more 35% of patients. The median duration of surgery was 5.5 hours (2 to 9 hours). Median estimated blood loss was 250 mL (0 to 4,400 mL). The median hospital length of stay was 8 days (4 to 46 days). In-hospital mortality was 4% with a 58% morbidity rate. Median overall survival was 30 months and the estimated 3-year survival was 47%. Salvage lung resection after definitive radiation for NSCLC seems to be technically feasible, with acceptable toxicity even when performed at a delayed interval (34). Although oncologic outcomes are encouraging, with a subset of long-term survivors, determination of efficacy requires prospective validation in a rigorously defined population.

**Role of surgery in stage IV disease**

Despite recent progress in oncologic therapy, a vast number of patients with NSCLC will develop distant metastasis. The standard therapy for metastatic cancer is systemic therapy. As reviewed recently (35), the use of emerging therapies such pemetrexed or monoclonal antibodies for patients with nonsquamous histology and good performance status and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors for patients having an EGFR mutation, is slowly improving the rate of medium-term survivors in stage IV.

The current UICC classification defined as stage IV tumors all M1a disease with existence of a controlateral lung nodulesand M1b disease with distant metastases (5). If there is an indubitably place of surgery for bilateral synchronous lesions (M1a), there is also a place for stage M1b when distant metastases are limited (or oligometastases) and seem accessible to a curative resection. Patients with solitary foci of metastatic disease represent a subgroup with a better prognosis instead of others stage IV patients. Studies have indicated that surgical resection may enhance the survival rate of patients in this setting (34,36,37). Patients who have resectable primary tumors and a solitary site of metastasis, based on a thorough metastatic work-up, benefit from surgical resection (primary tumor and solitary metastasis).
Table 1 Prognosis of oligometastases. Adapted from Niibe et al. (47)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Favorable</th>
<th>Relatively favorable</th>
<th>Relatively unfavorable</th>
<th>Unfavorable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oligorecurrence 1 or 2 (brain and adrenal gland)</td>
<td>Oligorecurrence 3 to 5 (brain and adrenal gland)</td>
<td>3 to 5 (brain, adrenal gland, others)</td>
<td>&gt;5 or polymetastases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sync-oligometastases 1 or 2 (brain and adrenal gland)</td>
<td>Sync-oligometastases 3 to 5 (brain and adrenal gland)</td>
<td>&gt;5 or polymetastases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The role of adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation depends on the individual and patient setting. There have been several case series indicating an improvement in the long-term (5-year) survival rates of patients after surgical resection of solitary metastases of the brain, adrenal gland, liver and other sites (38-44). Local ablative therapies include surgical resection, stereotactic body radiation therapy, and radiofrequency ablation. Brain and adrenal glands are the two most common sites of oligometastases considered for local ablative therapy.

The concept of oligometastases was proposed first by Hellman and Wechselbaum in 1995 (45) and revised in 2006 as synchronous and metachronous oligometastases (46). Synchronous oligometastases (sync-oligometastases) are defined as 1 to 5 distant metastases that can be treated by local therapy to achieve long-term survival and indicate a state of oligometastases with active but controllable primary lesions. The most important prognostic factor for sync-oligometastases is the status of the primary lesion. Metachronous oligometastases has been proposed by Niibe et al. (47) for NSCLC (oligo-recurrence). Oligo-recurrence is thus defined as 1 to 5 distant metachronous metastases that can be treated by local therapy, under conditions of a controlled primary lesion. More favorable subgroups of oligometastases have subsequently been classified (47) (Table 1).

For solitary brain metastases, the standard of care should include consideration of surgical resection and ablation. Favorable criteria include control of the primary tumor, a negative metastatic survey, good performance status, and a significant metachronous interval (38). Patients who have symptomatic synchronous brain lesions should undergo brain local ablative therapy (surgery, stereotactic or whole brain radiation) first to prevent neurologic complications. Subsequent platinum-based chemotherapy followed by resection of the primary tumor should be performed only if there is no evidence of mediastinal lymph node involvement and absence of progression of the disease. Complete resection of all disease should be performed. Operative mortality and morbidity for this combined approach is low. An adjuvant whole brain radiation could be discussed according to the number of brain lesions. Such multimodal treatment results in acceptable long-term outcome. Median, 1-year, and 2-year survival are 22.5 months, 69%, and 42% respectively (38). Response to preoperative chemotherapy before focal treatment was the main favorable prognostic factor (38,39).

According to the current classification, stage IV disease includes also M1a defined as contralateral lung nodules (5). Most of the time, the lesions are synchronous at diagnosis. Distinguishing synchronous primary lung cancers from advanced disease is important because prognosis and treatments are very different and a surgical approach may result in survival similar to solitary cancers. Determining this distinction with certainty, however, is challenging. Studies have suggested that bilateral surgical resection may enhance the survival rate of patients in this setting (48-50). A study from Marseille (51) reports their experience after resection of 125 patients with synchronous lung cancer. Resection for bilateral synchronous lung cancer was performed in 31 patients. The overall 5-year survival for the whole group was 34%. Survival after resection of bilateral lung cancer was near 35%. De Leyn et al. published the result of 57 patients who were planned for bilateral resection for bilateral synchronous lesions proven or suspicious for lung cancer. The median survival after bilateral resection of synchronous bilateral pathological proven lung cancer was 49 months, with a 5-year survival rate of 38% (52). These results suggested that selected patients with bilateral lung cancer may benefit from an aggressive approach, with acceptable morbidity and mortality, and rewarding long-term survival. Mun et al. (53) described their experiences with single-stage surgical treatment in 19 patients with synchronous bilateral lung cancer. They reported an excellent 5-year survival rate of 76%. Finley et al. reported that the gender female could affect positively the overall survival (54).
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