Introduction

Recent advancements for therapies have increased the short and long-term survival of cardiological and oncological patients significantly. Cancer survival may, however, come with a prize—increased rates of cardiovascular events. Recent developments suggest that cardio-oncology is a distinct subspecialty in cardiology. This refers to the broad spectrum of new and established therapies and the variety of cardiovascular adverse events (1-3). The upsurge in scientific evidence in the field of cardio-oncology could now lead to the establishment of unified diagnostic and therapeutic algorithms, which are currently unavailable for most cancer therapies. Apart from the above-mentioned aspects, optimal cardio-oncology care should consider several essential concepts. This includes the establishment of novel structures, educational fellowship programs, novel detection strategies, ethical considerations, and the assessment of long-term outcomes.

Institutional recommendations

Cardio-oncology requires inter-disciplinary collaboration on multiple levels (4). Rapid detection of cardiotoxicity...
requires access to state-of-the-art cardiovascular imaging from strain echocardiography to magnetic resonance tomography imaging and nuclear cardiology (PET/CT, PET/MRI). Development of new imaging techniques for the detection of fibrosis, amyloidosis and tumor infiltration into the myocardium is one of the major research challenges to cardio-oncology. Furthermore, a close interaction with the treating oncologist must be established on a personal level (interaction for individual decision-making) and through participation in regular conferences that discuss treatment management for patients with cardiotoxicity. Finally, many novel therapies have unknown cardiovascular risk profiles. Systematic assessment of the incidence rates, relative risk and involvement in clinical trials that assess the efficacy of cardiotoxicity treatment in the scope of clinical trial unit are essential part of cardio-oncology research.

**Cardio-oncology fellowships**

Cardio-oncology units have been established at many cardiovascular centers. The basis is a considerable and necessary interaction between the oncology and the cardiology department. Both disciplines have developed highly specialized diagnostic and therapeutic tools over the past decades. The cardiologist needs to be informed about the general indications for specific tumor therapies, life expectancies and possible cardiovascular risks (2,3). This can only be realized through intense interaction with the oncologist at the individual patient level as well as in conferences, which continuously discuss strategies for the management of patients. A cardio-oncology fellowship has been proposed by several cardiac societies. However, it has just been established at very few sites so far. The respective training should provide the skills required for in- and outpatient cardio-oncology care. Several authors argue that the trainee should have treated at least 100 patients over at least one year of training (4,5). Focus should be on the most common cardiovascular events from highly toxic cancer therapies including classical chemotherapy (anthracycline, cyclophosphamide), targeted therapies (tyrosine kinase inhibitors), immune checkpoint inhibitors and the sequelae of radiation. Profound knowledge is required for the combined used of biomarkers and imaging including speckle tracking strain imaging for an early detection of cardiotoxicity as outlined in the special reviews in this edition of the *Journal of Thoracic Diseases*. Furthermore, several cancer treatments may generate acute and severe cardiovascular disease including cardiogenic shock and acute myocardial infarction. Trainees are recommended to be taught in emergency acute cardiac care, intensive care medicine and the management of complex arrhythmia (4).

An additional area of focus is the diagnosis of cardiac tumors. Primary malignant cardiac tumors and metastasis are very rare. Treatment is often realized together with an expert oncologist. This field is also covered by cardio-oncology and should be included in the training process together with a participation in tumor and heart team conferences.

Finally, long-term cancer survivors—a continuously growing group of patients—may present with symptoms suggesting late cardio-toxicity decades after the completion of cancer therapy. These symptoms may be particularly difficult to interpret as they may differ from presentation seen in canonical heart disease (e.g., fatigue and palpitations). Generally, coronary artery disease may become clinically relevant ten years after radiation therapy, while significant valvular heart disease may develop over two decades (6-9). The fellowship program should therefore include the assessment of long-term cancer survivors to provide an early detection and management of heart disease. Apart from the complete spectrum of cardiovascular medicine, the cardio-oncology subspecialty therefore requires more general internal medicine training and a close interaction with the oncology department.

**Ethical considerations**

Prevention of cardiotoxicity is one of the key goals of cardio-oncology. This includes the timely diagnosis of early stages of cardiomyopathy. In anthracycline recipients, cardiotoxicity may be detected using global longitudinal strain from speckle tracking echocardiography imaging. Reduced strain values may become evident months before left ventricular ejection fraction is reduced to levels that implicate toxic cardiomyopathy (10). Clinical signs may be completely absent at this stage (11). This may, however, advance into clinically apparent heart failure. Questions remain regarding the consequent management of these patients. Withdrawal of cancer drugs can be associated with significantly impaired survival. Heart failure therapies using beta-blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors have been tested in several trials with inconclusive benefit (12-15). The cardio-oncology teams therefore needs to discuss with the oncologist the following issues: (I) follow-up intervals, (II) dose reduction/application form of chemotherapy, (III) temporary or permanent termination of therapy, (IV) alternative strategies, and (V) heart failure therapy.
This does not only hold true for classical cancer therapy. BRAF/MEK inhibitors and immune checkpoint inhibitors are novel therapies that are of particular importance for the treatment of advanced stage melanoma (16). Using these options, average survival for melanoma stage IV can be prolonged by several months in these patients (17-20). BRAF/MEK and other small molecule inhibitor therapy may induce heart failure, while immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy has been associated with myocarditis (21-23). Particularly the latter is difficult to diagnose and may require the combined use of biomarkers, nuclear imaging (PET) and endomyocardial biopsy (24). In case of severe forms of myocarditis or heart failure, administration of both cancer drug entities should be terminated until cardiovascular disease has been managed. However, withdrawal at every marginal sign of cardiotoxicity may exempt many patients from therapy and thus reduce life expectancy. The decision for the temporary or permanent cessation of cancer drugs can therefore only be made in cardio-oncology teams together with the oncologists.

Finally, many society recommendations argue in favor of a strict management of cardiovascular risk factors during and after cancer therapy. This relates e.g., to the treatment of hypertension, dyslipidemia and diabetes mellitus (1,25-27). Optimal handling of these cardiovascular risk factors has been associated with a better prognosis in cancer patients. However, the extensive use of risk factor modifying drugs in advance cancer patients e.g., in head and neck cancer with poor prognosis again requires an individual decision-making. Other treatment modalities (nutrition, pain management) may play a much more important role.

Cancer survivorship

The majority of consultation in cardio-oncology is related to patients with complications resulting from an ongoing cancer therapy. However, increasing numbers of survivors of childhood malignancies (particularly leukemia and lymphoma requiring myeloablative chemotherapy in combination with radiation) report to the corresponding cardio-oncology service. At considerable young age, these patients often present with premature cardiovascular disease and yet unusual symptoms. The risk of cardiovascular disease causing significant morbidity and mortality in 30–40 years old patients may be comparable to non-cancer cohort at the age of 60–70 (28). Yet the majority will experience treatment-related consequences at some point in their lives. Significant impairment of left ventricular functions, coronary artery disease and valvular heart disease are the main cardiovascular complications following decades after cancer therapy completion. A systematic approach for these patients is missing. Diagnosis of cancer and the precise treatments may have been lost over the years so that the complete risks for heart disease can often only be estimated. The development of cardiovascular risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidaemia, should also be closely monitored (29). Exposure to radiation provokes cardiovascular disease years or even decades after therapy. Patients with symptoms should be referred to cardiologists for evaluation including imaging and, if indicated, coronary angiography. Systematic assessment of heart disease should be considered 5–10 years after completion of therapy. This includes cardiovascular imaging and ergometric testing. Cardiovascular risk factor assessment and treatment is recommended according to current guidelines.

Detection of cardiotoxicity—novel approaches in cardio-oncology

Many previous strategies have focused on an early detection of cardiotoxicity and a specific treatment hereafter. Conventional cardiac biomarkers and echocardiography have been discussed and a widely applied in cardio-oncology practice. Many novel therapies have yet been incompletely defined regarding their effects for the cardiovascular system. Small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors target aberrant kinase signaling in a wide variety of malignancy. A large group of therapies targets the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) pathway. Comparable kinases are expressed in the cardiovascular system (30). In some patients, VEGF inhibitor administration may lead to hypertension, arterial and venous thrombosis, ischemia, stroke and an increase risk of bleeding (22). It is unclear why some patients are particularly susceptible to VEGF complications while the majority will not have any adverse events. Preclinical studies have tried to recapitulate the kinase system in animal models but the human and rodent kinase pathways show broad differences. Novel approaches try to assess kinase inhibitors and cellular dysfunction in cells and tissues of individual patients. This could provide a pre-treatment diagnostic tool to prevent cardiotoxicity patients at risk as the first specific cardio-oncology diagnostic test (31).

Conclusions

It has been widely accepted for many decades that specific
cancer therapies may induce a profound damage to the cardiovascular system. State-of-the-art cancer therapy now involves a wide variety of classical, targeted and immune therapies in combination with radiation. First, second, third and fourth line treatment options are available for many cancers. This has turned many cancers into chronic diseases. Cancer survivors suffer from cardiovascular disease, which limits the quality of life and prognosis. Cardio-oncology has the goals to quantify the extent of cardiovascular adverse events, detect cardiotoxicity at early stages and provide treatment algorithms.

As outlined in this review, particular focus must be on individual decision-making. Withdrawal of potentially curative drugs to prevent cardiovascular disease progression must be balanced to the caveats of heart disease. Risk factor optimization (hypertension, dyslipidemia etc.) may be an optimal treatment goal in otherwise healthy breast cancer patients. In multimorbid head and neck cancer patients, who might have difficulties to swallow, other goals may be more important (palliative care).

The information about cardio-oncology are constantly growing and can hardly be summarized in one review article. This special issue of the *Journal of Thoracic Disease* therefore compiles individual articles of experts in the field addressing the key issues of imaging, biomarkers, breast cancer, heart failure and the treatment options as realized in cardio-oncology teams.
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