Evaluation of lobar lymph node metastasis in non-small cell lung carcinoma using modified total lesion glycolysis
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Background: Volumetric parameters based on 3-dimensional reconstruction have recently been introduced for cancer staging. We aimed to improve the ability to diagnose hilar lymph node metastasis in patients with non-small cell lung cancer.

Methods: We evaluated 142 patients with non-small cell lung cancer who underwent right upper lobectomy and radical lymph node dissection. Metastatic involvement of right upper lobar lymph nodes was assessed using high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) and 18F-2-floro-2-deoxyglucose-positron emission tomography/computed tomography (FDG-PET/CT).

Results: On receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis, the area under the curves (AUC) for short axis, maximum of standardized uptake value (SUV\text{max}), total lesion glycolysis (TLG) and modified TLG (mTLG) were 0.79, 0.77, 0.76, and 0.87, respectively. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of mTLG, using the optimal cut off value (2.45), for diagnosis of lobar lymph node metastasis were 71%, 88%, 44%, and 96%, respectively. Hilar asymmetric uptake (HAU) of FDG was larger in true-positive cases than in false-negative cases (P<0.01). Furthermore, the size of metastatic foci in the lymph node was smaller in false-negative cases (P=0.012).

Conclusions: Modified TLG is a good parameter to diagnose metastatic right upper lobar lymph nodes. Micrometastasis in the lymph node is difficult to predict using the current diagnostic method. However, more careful evaluation is required in patients with symmetric FDG accumulation at hilar region because hilar lymph nodes respond to various causes such as benign pulmonary diseases.
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Introduction

Clinical diagnosis of lymph node metastasis is a critical input for formulating the treatment strategy for primary lung cancer. Metastatic involvement of mediastinal lymph node is one of the key considerations during evaluation of the indications for surgery. On the other hand, hilar lymph nodes are typically neglected during assessment of surgical indication, regardless of their metastatic involvement. However, the perihilar lymph nodes are surrounded by pulmonary artery, pulmonary vein and bronchus, and its anatomical features require careful surgical procedure. In addition, dissection of hilar lymph nodes with metastasis often requires the use of advanced techniques and invasive
procedures such as pulmonary angioplasty, bronchoplasty, bilobectomy, and pneumonectomy. Furthermore, it is difficult to dissect the upper lobar lymph nodes in right middle or lower lobectomy without upper lobectomy. For these reasons, accurate preoperative detection of metastatic involvement of perihilar lymph nodes confers a distinct advantage to the operating surgeon in terms of safety as well as surgical outcomes.

Swelling of hilar lymph nodes is not specific to carcinoma but may also occur in benign lung disease such as infection, emphysema, silicosis, sarcoidosis, cardiac disease, and non-specific inflammation. Therefore, the diagnostic value of swollen hilar lymph nodes has been widely contested. For instance, Glazer and colleagues (1985) stated that “Accurate staging of the pulmonary hilum in patients with lung cancer is not currently possible using MRI, CT, or oblique tomography” (1). Positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT), which employs both CT (for morphological evaluation) and PET (for evaluation of tumor activity), has been used to assess metastatic involvement of hilar lymph nodes. Studies have shown that PET-CT is useful for evaluation of mediastinal lymph nodes; however, its' efficacy for assessment of hilar lymph node involvement is not well-established (2,3). In addition to PET-CT, use of volume-based parameters measured by 3-dimensional (3D) reconstruction has recently attracted much interest.

Total lesion glycolysis (TLG) is a semi-quantitative parameter of metabolic activity of tumors. TLG is calculated by multiplication of the mean standardized uptake value (SUV\text{mean}) with the metabolic tumor volume (MTV). TLG is a quantitative parameter obtained from MTV and 18F-2-floro-2-deoxyglucose (FDG) accumulation intensity; it has been suggested to better reflect global metabolic activity in whole tumors. TLG has been used as a quantitative parameter of tumor activity in the context of various cancers (4,5). In this study, we investigated the accuracy of clinical diagnosis of hilar lymph node metastasis by using parameters that combined CT and PET.

Methods

Patients

A total of 289 patients underwent right upper lobectomy and radical lymph node dissection, as a complete resection, at the Aichi Cancer Center, Nagoya, Japan in the period from April 2012 to September 2016. Of these, only patients with primary non-small cell lung carcinoma were considered for inclusion in this study. Patients who received any induction therapy were excluded. We also excluded patients for whom complete clinical data or image analysis (due to mismatch with analysis software) was not available. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. This study was approved by the Aichi Cancer Center Hospital Ethical Committee. Our study was performed in full accordance with local Institutional Review Board (IRB) guidelines (No. 2017-1-114). Clinical data was obtained from the hospital records. All radiological images were independently evaluated by two radiologists (Tsuneo Tamaki and Yoshihata Inaba) who were blinded to clinical data. In the same way, all surgical specimens were evaluated by a pathologist (Yasushi Yatabe).

High-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) image analysis

We targeted the right upper lobar lymph node for this study. Lobar lymph nodes were defined as “#12” according to World Health Organization (WHO) Classification (6). Further, we defined right upper lobar lymph node as “#12u” (Figure 1A). CT scan was performed using 64 or 80-detector-row CT scanner (Aquilion PRIME; Toshiba Medical Systems Co., Japan). Whole chest was scanned in 90 seconds after injection of 1,224.8 mg/2 mL/kg of Iopamidol during a breath-hold at deep inspiration phase in supine position; 1-mm thick high-resolution images were reconstructed using standard spatial-frequency reconstruction algorithm. Data was transferred to a commercially available workstation in DICOM format (Synapse Vincent; Fujifilm Medical Co., Japan). 3D images were reconstructed using the work station, and the volumes of primary tumors and #12u lymph nodes were calculated (Figure 1B).

PET-CT image analysis

PET-CT images were acquired according to our standard imaging protocol using dedicated PET/CT scanner (Biograph 40; SIEMENS Healthcare Japan Co., Japan). Patients fasted for at least 6 hours prior to injection of 3.8 MBq/kg of FDG. Subsequently, patients were scanned 90 minutes after injection of FDG. Summary of our protocol is described in our earlier paper (7). SUV\text{max}, SUV\text{mean}, and MTV of tumors and lymph nodes were calculated using a SIEMENS MMWP workstation (Figure 1C).
Figure 1 Radiological image analysis. (A) #12u was defined as adjacent to the right upper lobar bronchus. It is surrounded by right main bronchus, right upper lobar bronchus, A2b of pulmonary artery branch, and right main pulmonary artery; (B) volume of #12u lymph node was measured by Synapse Vincent software; (C) SUV was measured by PET-CT. It was used for calculation of each parameter; (D) a typical image of asymmetric uptake and (E) symmetric uptake. FDG, ^18^F-2-floro-2-deoxyglucose; SUV, standardized uptake value; PET-CT, positron emission tomography/computed tomography.
One technical assistant who was blinded to the clinical data independently calculated these parameters. TLG and modified TLG of a lesion were calculated as $\text{SUV}_{\text{mean}} \times \text{MTV}$ and $\text{SUV}_{\text{mean}} \times \text{volume measured by CT}$, respectively. The difference of FDG uptake between both sides was evaluated by two radiologists with eyeball approach (Figure 1D,E).

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using JMP 12 software (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA). Between-group differences were assessed with Mann-Whitney U-test, Chi-squared test, Student’s $t$-test, and logistic regression. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were performed for variables that were associated with P values <0.05 on univariate analysis using the logistic regression test or the Cox proportional hazards model. All P values are two-sided, and P values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

In total, 142 patients were enrolled in this study. They underwent right upper lobectomy and radical lymph node dissection for non-small cell lung carcinoma, and their clinical data was evaluated. Main reason why 118 patients can’t be completely collected the data was that theses patient had PET examination in another institution (Figure 2).

Seventeen patients were found to have metastatic involvement of #12u lymph node based on histopathological examination after operation (Table 1). Incidentally, no patient was diagnosed with hilar lymph node metastasis with histopathology, such as endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA) and mediastinoscopy, before operation.

The cases of ground-glass nodules (GGN) and small nodules of diameter $\leq 10$ mm were in the #12u non-metastatic group. T-CD and T-SUVmax were significantly higher in the #12u metastasis group as a primary tumor factor (P=0.04 and <0.01, respectively). In addition, pathological N2 metastasis, #12u-short axis, #12u-volume, #12u-SUV$_{\text{max}}$, #12u-TLG, and #12u-mTLG were significantly higher in the #12u metastasis group as a lymph node factor (P<0.01 with each factors). Skip N2 metastasis (N1-negative and N2-positive) were 3 out of 125 cases (Table 2).
None of the patients in this cohort had diabetes.

**ROC analyses of parameters based on 2D and 3D imaging findings of #12u lymph nodes**

Table 3 shows the result of ROC analyses of 2D and 3D image-based parameters. The area under the curves (AUC) of short axis and SUVmax based on 2D image were 0.79 and 0.77, respectively. These are commonly used parameters for assessment of lymph node involvement. Volume, TLG, and mTLG calculated from 3D images were superior to 2D-based parameters. According to AUC, mTLG was found to be the best parameter in this study (Figure 3). AUC, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive...
value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) for #12 lymph node metastasis was 0.87, 71%, 88%, 44%, and 96%, respectively. The optimal cutoff point of mTLG for #12 lymph node metastasis was 2.45.

Analysis of false-positive and false negative cases

We examined the relationship between true-positive and false-positive cases using the cutoff value of 2.45 calculated from the ROC curve. No significant differences were observed between false-positive and true-positive groups with respect to Brinkman index (BI), neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (N/L), carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), dimension of primary tumor on CT with lung and mediastinum setting, and SUV\textsubscript{max} and TLG of primary tumor. Only the number of patients who showed hilar asymmetric uptake (HAU) on PET was significantly higher in the TP group (Table 4).

Furthermore, we examined the lymph nodes showing false negative microscopically. The number of false-negative cases was five. Each total cross-sectional area of metastatic foci in the lymph node was 0.01, 4, 4.6, 10, and 24 mm\textsuperscript{2}, respectively. The number of these cases was significantly smaller than that of true positive cases (P=0.012) (Figure 4).

ROC analysis of mTLG separated the cases with hilar uptake pattern on PET

Forty-six patients had HAU and 96 patients had hilar symmetric uptake on PET. Table 5 showed that the volume calculated using CT scan and mTLG of #12 was a good parameter for making the diagnosis of metastasis. AUC, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV using volume and TLG were 0.85, 0.88, 0.70, 0.44, and 0.96, respectively.

Table 3 ROC analysis of various parameters for #12 metastasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>Cut off</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short axis</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV\textsubscript{max}</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLG</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTLG</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the curves; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; SUV\textsubscript{max}, maximum of standardized uptake value; TLG, total lesion glycolysis; mTLG, modified TLG.

Table 4 Comparison between FP and TP groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>FP (n=15)</th>
<th>TP (n=12)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BI (med)</td>
<td>720.00</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/L (med)</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA (ng/mL, med)</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-CD (mm, med)</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>32.80</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-MD (mm, med)</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-SUV\textsubscript{max} (med)</td>
<td>17.14</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-TLG (med)</td>
<td>76.87</td>
<td>41.47</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAU (n)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FP, false-positive; TP, true-positive; BI, Brinkman index; N/L, neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio, CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; T-CD, tumor consolidation dimension; T-MD, tumor dimension in the mediastinal window setting; T-SUV\textsubscript{max}, tumor maximum of standardized uptake value; T-TLG, tumor total lesion glycolysis; HAU, hilar asymmetric uptake.
mTLG were 0.88 and 0.89, 78% and 79%, 88% and 88%, 73% and 73%, and 90% and 91%, respectively. On the other hand, patients who had hilar symmetric uptake showed that AUC using various parameters such as short axis, volume, SUVmax, TLG, and mTLG of #12u were 0.71, 0.76, 0.53, 0.58, and 0.77, respectively.

**Discussion**

The utility of CT and PET for the detection of metastatic involvement of mediastinal lymph nodes is well-acknowledged; however, their relevance in the context of hilar lymph node involvement is not clear (2,3). We succeeded in improving the accuracy of detection of hilar lymph node involvement with use of volume-based parameters (such as, TLG) determined with combined use of CT and PET. However, MTV for calculation of TLG is greatly affected by partial volume effect; therefore, we must be careful to avoid measurement errors attributable to the latter (8). Kim and colleagues reported a cut off value of SUV for measurement of MTV and expressed it as TLG\_2.5 or TLG\_4.0 (4). To minimize measurement errors attributable to partial volume effect, we measured the volume of lymph nodes using HRCT, and determined SUV of lymph node from PET; in other words, volume and SUV were calculated separately in this study. Then, we defined it as mTLG. As a result, the mTLG was associated with the largest AUC when compared with those of TLG and other currently used parameters (*Table 2, Figure 3*). In the entire cohort, however, false-positive rate of all parameters including mTLG was ≥50%, which is not a clinically negligible value. According to our results, false-positive cases exhibited more symmetric accumulation at the hilar lymph nodes, which was significantly different from that observed in the true-positive group. FDG not only accumulates in the tumor but also in macrophages and reactive fibroblasts in the lymph nodes (9). For that reason, hilar lymph nodes respond to various conditions such as pulmonary emphysema, tuberculosis, non-specific inflammation, silicosis, sarcoidosis, smoking, and air pollution, which may affect the accuracy of staging of lung cancer (3,10-13). In a study by Ose and colleagues, FP findings were more frequently encountered in patients with elevated white blood cell counts and in smokers (14). In this study, significant differences were observed between false-positive and true-positive cases with respect to HAU. HAU is typically associated with tumors because most of benign diseases including tuberculosis are characterized by bilaterally symmetric accumulation (3,10). As shown in *Table 5*, we could distinguish the accumulation of #12u as symmetry or asymmetry using PET, and mTLG and volume were useful for detecting metastasis. According to

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

**Figure 4** Total cross-sectional area of metastatic foci was calculated microscopically in all #12u(+) cases. The mTLG <2.45 cases were significantly lesser the cross-sectional area of metastatic foci than ≥2.45 cases. And all mTLG <2.45 cases were less than 25 mm\(^2\) in size. mTLG, modified total lesion glycolysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>Cut off</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short axis</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV(_{max})</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLG</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTLG</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROC, receiver operating characteristic; PET-CT, positron emission tomography/computed tomography; AUC, area under the curves; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; SUV\(_{max}\), maximum of standardized uptake value; TLG, total lesion glycolysis; mTLG, modified TLG.
the result of this study, in cases with asymmetric uptake, the cutoff value of mTLG and volume for detection of metastasis for #12u were 2.45 and 0.77 cm³, respectively. An imagination of this indication is a sphere with roughly 11 mm in diameter and 3 as SUV_{mean} accumulation. The conventional parameter, short axis, was limited both sensitivity and specificity compare with mTLG. However, it performed pretty much equally when we would select HAU cases (Sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of short axis and mTLG limited to the HAU cases were 64%, 91%, 75% and 85%; 79%, 88%, 73% and 91%, respectively.) In cases with symmetric uptake, no parameter was useful for detection. Currently, we cannot distinguish cancer metastasis from systemic inflammation using radiological images. On the other hand, none of the patients in the #12u metastatic group exhibited mTLG value of zero (i.e., hilar lymph nodes not identifiable on HRCT or no accumulation on PET), or had GGN with <10 mm diameter of the solid part on HRCT. This result is consistent with the current knowledge base and with the results of our previous research (15,16).

In the false negative cases, we examined the metastatic lymph node microscopically. All FN cases showed the total cross-sectional area of metastatic foci was less than 25 mm² in the lymph node. Similarly, it has been reported that metastatic foci smaller than 5 mm can be hard to detect by PET-CT (2). We should recognize that detection of micrometastasis in the lymph node using radiological imaging is currently unavailable. The new method for detecting micrometastasis in lymph nodes should be devised.

As a future prospect, we believe that our findings can be applied to determine the indication for limited resection and aid surgical decision-making for primary lesions in other lung lobes. In recent years, limited resection is increasingly employed for patients with comorbid conditions, elderly patients, those with poor respiratory function or in patients with early-stage non-small cell lung cancer (17-19). Limited resection, especially wedge resection, is a less invasive alternative to lobectomy. However, limited resection does not permit adequate lymph node dissection, which is a limitation (20). Indeed, evaluation of the need for lymph node dissection based on mTLG may help identify candidates for limited resection. Furthermore, we often experience early locoregional recurrence around the #12u after operation for primary lung cancer in the right lower lobe. Yamauchi and colleagues reported a dynamic pattern of post-curative resection recurrence in patients with non-small cell lung cancer, which was characterized by double-peak of hazard rate. There was an early peak at approximately 10 months (21). The undetectable micrometastatic foci may exist in different biologically steady states during tumor dormancy. It does not usually promote tumor growth. However, micrometastasis may transiently accelerate metastatic growth following surgical excision of the primary tumor. The transition from dormancy to active state may be triggered by surgical stimulus (22,23). Patients with suspected #12u metastasis from lung cancer in the right lower lobe may be considered candidates for additional treatment such as #12u lymph node dissection, adjuvant chemotherapy or perioperative radiotherapy. The results of our study may provide a valuable basis for the development of such future lung cancer therapeutics.

Our study has some limitations. First, because this cohort was enrolled from patients who had undergone surgery, it comprised mainly of patients with early-stage lung cancer. Further, histopathological examination to determine contralateral hilar lymph node involvement was not performed in any of the patients. The influence of patient-selection bias on our results cannot be ruled out. Furthermore, a relatively small number of patients had confirmed metastatic involvement of hilar lymph nodes. Next, during radiological evaluation of symmetric versus asymmetric hilar uptake on PET, only the color depth of hotspot at bilateral hilar region was taken into consideration, while the contralateral hilar SUV was not calculated. This might have introduced an element of information bias. Lung cancer staging based on imaging is typically challenging because appearance of lymph nodes tends to differ depending on each station such as right hilar, left hilar, upper mediastinum, and lower mediastinum. Therefore, we investigated only the #12u lymph nodes to improve reproducibility.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, it is difficult to detect metastatic lymph nodes, especially at the #12u station. However, combined use of CT and PET may offer a distinct leverage in this respect and improve the accuracy of preoperative staging. Especially, HAU on PET is important. It is useful not only mTLG but also short axis when we select the HAU cases. Whereas, all parameters couldn’t improve the diagnostic performance in the cases with symmetric uptake. Technological advances have improved the accuracy of
imaging diagnosis over the years.
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