Comparison of the perioperative efficacy between single-port and two-port video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery anatomical lung resection for non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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**Background:** With the development of novel surgical techniques and instruments over the recent years, more and more surgeons consider single-port video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) as a feasible option. However, whether single-port thoracoscopic surgery has more potential advantages than two-port thoracoscopic surgery for non-small cell lung cancer remains unknown. We conducted this systematic review and meta-analysis to compare the perioperative efficacy between single-port and two-port VATS anatomical lung resection for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

**Methods:** Eleven studies were identified from the databases of The Cochrane Library, PubMed, Embase, Web of science, and China Biology Medicine disc (CBMdisc). Prospective studies and retrospective studies that evaluated the perioperative efficacy of single-port VATS compared with two-port VATS were analyzed. We used 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to calculate the odds ratio (OR), and the weight mean difference (WMD).

**Results:** A total of 11 studies (3 prospective studies and 8 retrospective studies), including 1,592 patients, were included. We found that the duration of the operation in single-port VATS anatomical lung resection for NSCLC was shorter \((P=0.02)\). Also, the bleeding volume amount was lower \((P=0.01)\), the length of postoperative drainage was shorter \((P<0.00001)\), the amount of postoperative hospital stay was lower \((P<0.0001)\), and the visual analogue score 24 and 72 h after operation time was lower \((P<0.0001, P<0.00001)\). However, the number of lymph nodes retrieved \((P=0.92)\) and the rates of complications \((P=0.15)\) had no statistical differences between the two groups.

**Conclusions:** These studies show that single-port VATS anatomical lung resection has certain advantages in the treatment of NSCLC compared with two-port VATS. It may be an alternative option for surgeons.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is one of the tumours with the highest risk in the world, and its morbidity and mortality is increasing year by year. At present, surgery is still the first choice for the treatment of lung cancer. However, with the rapid development of minimally invasive concepts, the surgical approach to lung cancer has shifted from traditional thoracotomy to thoracoscopic surgery with minimal trauma and quick recovery. In the last three decades, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) has gradually become a standard option in the diagnosis and treatment of non-small cell lung cancer. Compared with traditional open thoracotomy, the main advantages of VATS cover reduction of postoperative pain (1), shortened postoperative hospital stay (2) and a better perioperative result (3). In 1998, Yamamoto et al. first reported a single-port thoracoscopic surgery (4). Since then, several surgeons have been willing to explore the new area of minimally invasive thoracic surgery.

Two-port thoracoscopic surgery: 3–5 cm along the intercostal incision in the 5th intercostal space of the anterior iliac crest as the only operation port, and a 2 cm thoracoscope observation hole in the 7th intercostal space or the 8th rib of the midline of the iliac crest. Single-port thoracoscopic surgery: 3 to 5 cm along the intercostal incision in the 5th intercostal space at the anterior iliac crest, no insertion of the distractor, no secondary operation ports and separate observation ports. In 2011, Gonzalez-Rivas et al. first reported a single-port VATS lobectomy (5). More and more surgeons regard uniport VATS as a feasible option for non-small cell lung cancer. Reduced postoperative pain with paresthesia, improved patient satisfaction (6), reduced surgical trauma (7), and better cosmetic results (8) are the most significant features of the single-port technique.

However, few studies have compared single-port with two-port VATS anatomical lung resection in patients with early-stage NSCLC, and whether uniport VATS is associated with a higher number of potential advantages remains controversial. The purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to compare the perioperative efficacy between single-port and two-port VATS anatomical lung resection in patients with early-stage NSCLC. The evaluation index included the duration of operation, bleeding volume, number of lymph nodes retrieved, duration of postoperative drainage, postoperative hospital stay, postoperative pain using the visual analogue scale (VAS), and complications.

Methods

Data sources

We searched for eligible studies published before 1st December 2018 in The Cochrane Library, PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and China Biology Medicine disc. In order to maximize the sensitivity of the search and identify all of the relevant studies, the following groups of keywords or MeSH terms were used, “uniportal” or “uniport” or “single-port” or “single port” or “single-incision” or “single incision”, and “two port” or “two-port” or “two incision” or “two-incision”, and “VATS” or “video-assisted” or “video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery” or “thoracic surgery” or “thoracoscopic surgery” or “video-assisted”, and “non-small cell lung cancer” or “non-small cell lung carcinoma” or “non-small cell lung neoplasms” or “lung adenocarcinoma” or “lung squamous cell carcinoma” or “large cell lung cancer”. Simultaneously, we scrutinized the references that were included in any of the identified literature to determine the comprehensiveness of the literature search.

Study inclusion

Studies were considered to be eligible and were included if they met the following criteria: (I) they discussed patients who were diagnosed as early NSCLC referring to the stage I-II according to the staging criteria of the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC) 2018 eighth edition (9); these patients did not received neoadjuvant therapy before surgery; (II) they were randomized or non-randomized controlled trials of single-port and two-port VAT; (III) the pathological diagnosis was early non-small cell lung cancer (including preoperative biopsy, intraoperative frozen section, or routine postoperative pathology); (IV) their data was comprehensive and complete; (V) they were published in Chinese or English.

Studies were excluded if they met the following conditions: (I) it was evident from the indications that the patients were not early NSCLC (and were likely small cell lung cancer, or lung carcinoid); (II) they were reviews, case reports, letters, comments, or meta-analyses; (III) they contained irrelevant or incomplete data; (IV) they were animal experiments; and (V) the tumor described was a...
metastatic lesion of other tumors.

**Data extraction and critical appraisal**

All relevant data were extracted independently by two reviewers (G Zhang and W Yang) from the text, and figures and tables were derived from identified papers. The information gathered included the first author, year of publication, country or region, study type and period, and TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors (TNM). In addition, the subjects’ baseline characteristics including the number of cases in each group, mean age, gender, tumor location, pathological type and size, and outcome measures were gathered. These outcome measures included duration of operation, perioperative bleeding volume, number of lymph nodes retrieved, duration of postoperative drainage, length of postoperative hospital stay, pain scores on days 1 and 3 postoperatively, and complications. If the two researchers disagreed on the statistical results, they intensely discussed the issue and eventually reached a consensus. The final results were adjudicated and reviewed by a senior investigator (S Pan).

A meta-analysis of the data included in the literature was performed using the Cochrane Collaboration RevMan5.3 software (Cochrane Collaboration, Software Update, Oxford, UK; [http://review-manager.software.informer.com/5.3/](http://review-manager.software.informer.com/5.3/)). We estimated the effective values of the dichotomous variables or continuous variables by odds ratio (OR) or normalized mean differences (SMD) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), respectively. Heterogeneity testing was performed on the included studies using the Chi-square and I^2 tests (10). If each study was homogenous (P>0.05 or I^2 <50%), a fixed-effects model was used for meta-analysis; otherwise, the random-effects model was used. The standard for evaluation in all of the available studies was NOS quality scale, with scores ranging from 5 to 8. A detailed summary of the study characteristics is presented in Table 1.

Results

This paper follows the guidelines for a systematic review and meta-analysis. Our electronic retrieval strategy identified a total of 421 studies from 5 electronic databases. After deleting duplicates, irrelevant articles, comments, case reports, letters, and meta-analyses, 15 papers were identified and further evaluated by screening the full text. After excluding 4 studies that did not provide sufficient data, 11 studies (11-21) were included for a final evaluation and were considered suitable for a quantitative meta-analysis. The article selection process is shown in Figure 1.

**Study characteristics and risk of bias assessment**

The included studies were published before December 1st, 2018. All 11 studies, containing 3 prospective studies and 8 retrospective observational studies, comprised a total of 1,592 patients of whom 854 (53.6%) and 738 (46.4%) underwent single-port VATS and two-port VATS respectively. Among these studies, one article used a propensity score or a matched pair method (12). If studies were homogeneous (P>0.05 or I^2 <50%), a fixed-effects model was used for meta-analysis; otherwise, the random-effects model was used. The standard for evaluation in all of the available studies was NOS quality scale, with scores ranging from 5 to 8. A detailed summary of the study characteristics is presented in Table 1.

This meta-analysis used Review Manager Version 5.3 to assess publication bias, in which no fewer than 10 studies were included. Publication bias was evaluated for the number of lymph nodes retrieved, duration of postoperative drainage, length of postoperative hospital-stay, and complications. The results, summarized in Figure 2, illustrate that there was less publication bias in our meta-analysis according to symmetrical funnel plots.

**Operative outcomes**

**Duration of operation**

Eleven studies, including a combined total of 1,592 patients, reported comparable data relating to the operating time in the treatment of early NSCLC. We detected high heterogeneity between the single-port and two-port groups (P=0.0002, I^2=74%), and used random models for analysis. A forest plot suggested that single-port VATS was associated with less operative time than the two-port VATS groups. There was a significant difference between the single-port and two-port VATS groups (SMD =−0.30; 95% CI: −0.54, −0.06; P=0.02). The detailed results of this analysis are shown in Figure 3A.

**Bleeding volume**

A total of 10 studies reported comparable data relating to bleeding volume, with a combined total of 1,332 patients. High heterogeneity was detected between the single-port
and two-port VATS groups (P<0.00001, I^2 =83%), and a random effects model was used to finish the analysis. From the forest plot, we discovered that single-port VATS was associated with a reduced bleeding volume when compared with two-port VATS. The difference between the groups was statistically significant (SMD =−0.40; 95% CI: −0.72, −0.08; P=0.01). The specific results are given in Figure 3B.

**Number of lymph nodes retrieved**
Comparable data from a combined total of 1,349 patients from 9 studies were used for statistical analysis. Moderate heterogeneity was observed between the single-port and two-port VATS (P=0.06, I^2 =49%). Therefore, a fixed effects model was used for analysis. As shown in the forest plot, there was no significant difference between the single-port and two-port VATS groups (SMD =−0.01; 95% CI: −0.13, 0.12; P=0.92). The detailed results of this analysis are shown in Figure 3C.

**Duration of postoperative drainage**
Eleven studies reported comparable data relating to the duration of postoperative drainage, with a combined total of 1,506 patients. High heterogeneity was detected between the single-port and two-port VATS groups (P=0.0002, I^2 =74%), and a random effects model was used to finish the analysis. A forest plot suggested that single-port VATS was associated with less operative time than two-port VATS groups. There was significant difference between the single-port and two-port VATS groups (SMD =−0.33; 95% CI: −0.45, −0.21; P<0.00001). The specific results are given in Figure 4A.

**Postoperative hospital-stay**
Data relating to hospital stay were obtained from 9 articles, including a combined total of 1143 patients. We detected high heterogeneity between the single-port and two-port groups (P=0.002, I^2 =70%), and used random effects models for analysis. Compared with the two-port VATS groups, single-port VATS had a shorter postoperative hospital stay. The difference between the groups was statistically significant (SMD =−0.29; 95% CI: −0.43, −0.15; P<0.0001). The results of this analysis are summarized in Figure 4B.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country or region</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>TNM (8#edition)</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Age, years (mean ± SD or range)</th>
<th>Gender (M/F)</th>
<th>Quality score</th>
<th>VAS 24 h after operation</th>
<th>VAS 72 h after operation</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liu (11)</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td>T1-3M0NO</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>63.4 [22–84]</td>
<td>89/77</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SMD = −1.02; 95% CI: −1.15, −0.88; P&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>SMD = −1.13; 95% CI: −1.24, −1.02; P&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>NR, not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koek (12)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>2006–2015</td>
<td>T1-3M0NO</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>62.9 [33–84]</td>
<td>132/71</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dai (13)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>2013–2015</td>
<td>T1-3M0NO</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>48/32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang (14)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>2014–2015</td>
<td>T1-3M0NO</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57.12±6.43</td>
<td>31/42</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin (15)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>2013–2014</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>59±7.3</td>
<td>13/8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang (16)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td>T1-2M0NO</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>58.5±11.5</td>
<td>29/17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang (17)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>2014–2016</td>
<td>T1-3M0NO</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>64.85±6.37</td>
<td>46/43</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai (18)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>55.79±15.58</td>
<td>46/61</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie (19)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>2014–2017</td>
<td>T1-3M0NO</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>62±5.2</td>
<td>23/15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma (20)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>2014–2016</td>
<td>T1-3M0NO</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>51.2±10.13</td>
<td>15/12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han (21)</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>58.85±6.32</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>15/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** NR, not reported; SD, standard deviation; TNM, TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors; VATS, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery.

**Discussion**

Endoscopic surgery for non-small cell lung cancer is currently the mainstream method for the treatment of this disease. Due to the traditional surgical trauma caused by traditional porous laparoscopic techniques, even postoperative rehabilitation is affected due to severe trauma. Therefore, the single-port, two-port, and other less traumatic endoscopic surgical methods have been vigorously developed (22). Single-port thoracoscopic...
surgery has only one operation hole. The thoracoscope and the surgical instruments all enter from one incision site. Surgeons who perform single-port thoracoscopic surgery generally have extensive experience in minimally invasive surgery and gradually shift from three-port to two-port and then into the field of single-port thoracoscopic surgery; this familiarizes them with the structure of the thoracoscopic lung anatomy. Due to the limited operating space and lack of fine operation on blood vessels, the incidence of major bleeding complications is relatively high in the early stage of learning. Therefore, the rate of conversions to thoracotomy or multiport thoracoscopic is relatively high. With the accumulation of experience, the need to place the second or the third port to fix the pulmonary veins gradually reduces. Therefore, the success rate of single-port VATS lobectomy has significantly improved, while the operation time remains relatively fixed (23,24).

Single-port thoracoscopic surgery may have certain restrictions on the operating angle, but it also has advantages. First, the visual field is the same as the projection surface of the instrument, and the optical depth is preserved. Second, vision and operation surface are on the same sagittal plane, which helps to accurately operate at the distance. Last, the operation fulcrum is located in the chest, forming an operation triangle near the target area, and the operation effect is similar to the traditional thoracotomy (25). However, there are still concerns about the thoroughness of single-port thoracoscopic treatment of lung cancer, and it is believed that there may be a risk of incomplete resection of the lesion. To clarify the feasibility of clinical application and the advantages of single-port thoracoscopic surgery, this study compared it with two-port thoracoscopic surgery, specifically in terms of macroscopic surgical efficiency.

In the treatment of early NSCLC, surgeons are increasingly using single-port VATS as a minimally invasive alternative to two-port VATS. From the meta-analysis, we can conclude that there is a statistically significant reduction in the duration of the operation time, bleeding volume, length of postoperative drainage, postoperative hospital-stay, VAS 24 h after operation, and VAS 72 h after operation.

**Figure 2** Funnel plots for results in studies included in the meta-analysis including the number of lymph nodes retrieved (A), duration of postoperative drainage (B), length of postoperative hospital stay (C), and complications (D).
in patients who underwent single-port VATS compared with two-port VATS. However, there were no significant differences in the number of lymph nodes retrieved and the rate of complication. This suggests that single-port VATS can achieve relatively similar or better results during perioperative periods than two-port VATS.

In theory, because of the small incision, the limited intercostal space, and the inevitably substantial interference between the thoracoscope and the instrument, what is considered to be the main disadvantage of single-port VATS arises: patients may have a longer operation time (5). However, in our meta-analysis, findings indicated that single-port VATS was associated with a shortened duration of surgery. One possible reason for this is that a single-port thoracoscopic approach can provide direct vision, just like thoracotomy. Also, the surgery in the single-port VATS group was performed by skilled surgeons due to the difficulty involved in operating thoracic surgery through one intracostal space, while the surgery in the two-port VATS group was performed by less skilled surgeons due to the ease afforded through being able to use both hands.

Duration of operation and bleeding volume are the two main aspects we are concerned with. Our meta-analysis shows that single-port VATS is associated with less length of operation time and bleeding volume. Although the difference was statistically significant (duration of surgery).
Figure 4 Forest plot of postoperative efficacy for uniport VATS and two-port VATS groups, including duration of postoperative drainage (A), length of hospital-stay (B), VAS 24 h after operation (C), VAS 72 h after operation (D), and complications (E). VATS, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery; VAS, visual analogue scale.
operation: P=0.0002; bleeding volume: P<0.00001), clinical differences may not be apparent. We also found that single-port VATS has a shorter duration of postoperative drainage and postoperative hospital stay, indicating that single-port VATS is beneficial for patient recovery. The method of placing the drainage tube under the subcutaneous ribs in the original incision of the single-hole group can still retain the advantage of the single incision and can also effectively reduce the postoperative tube time and improve the infection of the incision. These are in line with the concept of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) (26). Huang (27) conducted a preliminary study of fast track surgery combined with single-port thoracoscopic surgery for lung cancer. The clinical data of 83 patients with early-stage non-small cell lung cancer were retrospectively analyzed. Among these patients, 38 patients in the ERAS group underwent single-port VATS and completed a series of ERAS measures. The control group underwent three-well VATS and routine perioperative measures. The results showed that all patients completed the operation. The visual analog scale (VAS) was better on the third day after operation in the ERAS group, and the chest tube indwelling time and hospital stay were shorter (P<0.05). ERAS combined with single-port VATS is considered safe and feasible for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer, and can promote the recovery of patients and shorten the length of hospital stay.

However, the accuracy of these parameters is difficult to evaluate because there are no gold standard criteria, and it is challenging to have the same or similar surgical procedures in different national medical institutions.

Lymph node dissection in lung cancer surgery is an essential part of radical resection. Surgical cleaning of a sufficient number of lymph nodes can better control staging and primary tumors and has guiding significance for diagnosis and adjuvant therapy. Single-port VATS lymph node dissection can meet the minimum requirements (28), reduce the damage to patients, reduce the inhibition of lymphocytes, inhibit the reaction of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and play an essential role in protecting the cellular immune function of postoperative patients.

Pain score is an essential factor affecting the overall treatment effect, the patient's subjective comfort level, and postoperative recovery rate. The pain score of thoracotomy is significantly higher than that of thoracoscopic surgery, and the traumatic effects of different operations in thoracoscopic surgery are also quite different. Single-port thoracoscopic incision takes into account the location of the lesion and drainage of the incision, which reduces the chest wall incision and reduces tissue trauma without increasing the difficulty of surgery. Single-port VATS is superior to two-port VATS in terms of duration of VAS 24 h after operation and VAS 72 h after operation. This may be due to the single incision: the damage to the surrounding tissue and the intercostal nerve is relatively small, so the inflammatory response is weaker, which is beneficial to the postoperative recovery of the patient. Although our results show a reduction in pain scores (measured by VAS) (VAS 24 h after operation: P<0.00001; VAS 72 h after operation: P<0.00001), it is clear that standardized and objective pain management programs are needed to assess the advantages of the single-port VATS for the pain management approach.

The primary complications of lung surgery include prolonged air leak, pneumonia, atelectasis, wound infection, etc. It has been found that to reduce the incision, the infection rate of the incision is increased after the drainage tube is directly placed in the traditional single-hole group. The healing time is prolonged, and the drainage tube placement time is longer than that of the two-hole group. The method of placing the drainage tube under the subcutaneous ribs in the original incision of the single-hole group can still retain the advantage of the single incision, and can also effectively reduce the postoperative tube time while improving the infection of the incision. The results of our study showed that the incidence of postoperative complications was lower in the single-port group than in the two-port group, but there was no significant difference between the two groups (P>0.05). It indicates that single-port VATS is independent of higher surgical risk and can meet safety requirements. Single-port VATS can be a first-line approach for elective thoracoscopic surgery (29).

Despite our considerable efforts, there are still several shortcomings in our systematic review and meta-analysis. First, it has the time limit, with a mortality rate of 0% in both groups. Since most of the single-port VATS cases that we statistically calculated were recently performed, systematic review and meta-analysis of long-term survival rates have not yet been carried out. Second, these data were indirectly extracted from the original article. Due to the lack of raw data of lobectomy and segmentectomy, there may still be some subjective bias and error. Third, geographical limitations are a factor that cannot be ignored. All the documents selected for this analysis are from Asia (China and Korea). Due to differences in physician experience and technology, our analysis results might have been greatly affected. Therefore, the results of this meta-analysis cannot necessarily be universally applied, and the value of the study...
for surgeons worldwide will vary. Fourth, this paper contains a total of 11 articles, with only 5 of these (11-13,19,21) indicating that all the operations were performed by one surgeon, with the other six (14-20) not clearly stating the surgeon number. Also, whether the surgeon had extensive experience in performing single-port and two-port thoracoscopic surgery was not mentioned, and this may have a significant impact on our analysis results. Another limitation is the specification of surgical procedure type, including lobectomy, segmentectomy, and sub-segmental resection of the lung. Because of the lack of uniform standards in the choice of surgical methods in each region, our system analysis may not be representative. Finally, of all the studies we analyzed, only 3 were prospective studies while 8 were retrospective studies. The small number of prospective studies included in this metaanalysis, along with the high heterogeneity found in the analysis of the results between the two groups for each of the outcome variable selected, may represent strong biases regarding a correct interpretation of the results. In the future, we need to collect more prospective and randomized controlled studies to arrive at more objective and accurate conclusions.

Conclusions

In summary, our meta-analysis provides crucial evidence that single-port VATS anatomical lung resection may be superior to two-port VATS in terms of duration of operation, bleeding volume, duration of postoperative drainage, postoperative hospital-stay, VAS 24 h after the operation, and VAS 72 h after operation. Our results suggest that single-port VATS anatomical lung resection for non-small cell lung cancer may be a better treatment choice for skilled thoracic surgeons because of its better perioperative efficacy.
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