Introduction

Lung cancer continues to be one of the most common forms of cancer with an estimated incidence of 228,150 new cases and 142,670 deaths in the U.S. in 2019 (1). The landscape of treatment for metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) has exponentially grown in the past decade with prominent roles for personalized treatment with targeted therapy and immunotherapy. These advances are ideal in simple clinical scenarios where next-generation sequencing (NGS) testing reports a single sensitizing actionable mutation in patients. However, treatment strategies are more complex in cases where patients have multiple cancer-driving actionable mutations that are gained and lost over the course of cancer treatment. Within this subset of patients who display an actionable mutation such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) as well as high programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) expression, standard therapeutic options are unclear. Moreover, the sequence...
of treatment regimens is another significant component to consider in these patients to avoid adverse interactions and rapid progression of disease. Long-term treatment strategies in patients with synchronous EGFR mutations and high PD-L1 expression remain largely undefined but ongoing and future studies continue to develop in this field. This review will explore the roles of EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) and immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) in the current climate of lung cancer, antagonistic effects of these two therapies in patients whose cancer expresses both mutations, and future directions for this population of patients in NSCLC.

**EGFR TKIs and immunotherapy**

EGFR mutations are found in roughly 13% to 22% of patients and have become a significant therapeutic target in NSCLC (2). There exist various generations of drugs that inhibit the EGFR tyrosine kinase domain and research has established their superiority over chemotherapy in EGFR-mutated NSCLC patients (3,4). Within the past two years, the phase III FLAURA trial compared the efficacy of EGFR TKIs and discovered that osimertinib, a third-generation irreversible EGFR TKI, was associated with significantly superior progression-free survival and fewer grade 3 or higher adverse events (AEs) compared to various first and second-generation TKIs like erlotinib and gefitinib (5). This landmark study transformed standard-of-care treatment in EGFR-mutated lung cancer with the approval of osimertinib as first-line treatment. This is especially significant in light of increasing importance of ICIs in NSCLC.

Interest in immunotherapy has drastically grown over the years across all cancer types (6-9). Multiple trials have demonstrated the superiority of immunotherapy over chemotherapy in terms of overall survival and progression-free survival in previously treated, metastatic NSCLC (10-13). More importantly, two groundbreaking trials, KEYNOTE-024 and KEYNOTE-042, reported efficacy of first-line treatment with pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy and pembrolizumab monotherapy in metastatic NSCLC patients depending on PD-L1 expression by tumor proportion score (TPS) (14,15). Patients undergoing treatment with anti-programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) or anti-PD-L1 antibodies report better quality-of-life and less toxicities that are associated with chemotherapy (16).

Multiple subgroup analyses of patients with both EGFR sensitizing mutations and high PD-L1 expression were conducted retrospectively in numerous breakthrough trials and indicated poor response rates to immunotherapy (17-19). This was further evaluated in several retrospective studies evaluating response rates in EGFR-mutated patient populations and found that immunotherapy was associated with failed treatment response (20,21). A recent phase II study in EGFR-mutated NSCLC patients with no prior EGFR TKI treatment underwent treatment with pembrolizumab to guide treatment strategies (22). The investigators wanted to examine possible ICI efficacy and the results demonstrated no response utility from pembrolizumab (22).

Notably, a significant retrospective study was recently reported regarding the significance of therapy sequence on immune-related adverse event (irAE) incidence in a subset of patients (23). The study identified 126 NSCLC patients with an EGFR mutation who were treated with ICIs and EGFR TKIs. Patients who underwent treatment with immunotherapy followed by osimertinib were correlated with severe irAEs but this correlation was absent in patients who underwent EGFR TKI treatment then immunotherapy. This incidence was not noted in patients treated with any other EGFR TKIs and ICIs. In current treatment standards, osimertinib is the superior first-line treatment in EGFR-mutated NSCLC. This study is especially relevant in today’s climate with the high rates of osimertinib use and PD-1 or PD-L1 blockade in metastatic NSCLC.

**Complex clinical scenario**

A 55-year-old male with no smoking history but reported occupational inhalational exposure presented with a chronic cough, scantily production of phlegm, and considerable dyspnea. A chest X-ray was performed showing multiple bilateral pulmonary nodules and a right lung mass. Further workup included a chest computed tomography (CT) that demonstrated a 2.7 cm × 2.6 cm right upper lobe lung mass, multiple bilateral pulmonary nodules, subcarinal, supraclavicular, and paratracheal adenopathy, and multiple bone metastases. Pathology from the CT-guided biopsy of the right upper lobe mass revealed moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma with predominant micropapillar pattern, clinical stage IVB, cT1cN3M1b. Molecular testing by immunohistochemistry (IHC) revealed an EGFR exon 19 deletion, PD-L1 (22C3) expression of 95%, and PD-1 positive in 50% of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes. The patient was originally planned to enroll in a clinical trial but due to worsening left posterior chest wall pain and
shortness of breath, he was started on erlotinib 150 mg per day. Restaging imaging showed a good response to erlotinib but due to grade 2 rash as well as severe fatigue, the dose was reduced to 100 mg po daily. Guardant 360 liquid biopsy was ordered in the meantime but reported no mutations. Patient had a continued response to treatment and erlotinib was dose reduced again to 75 mg daily due to side effects.

Unfortunately, 11 months after starting erlotinib, restaging chest CT demonstrated progression of disease in the lungs and bones and a biopsy of the lung mass as well as NGS testing was ordered. From the biopsy specimen, Foundation Medicine reported EGFR exon 19 deletion, amplification, and the presence of T790M, a known mechanism of resistance to EGFR TKIs (24). The report also found KIT I571L, AKT2, ARFRP1, CCNE1, GNAS, and MCL1 amplification, TP53 R213L mutations, and PD-L1 high expression of 90%. Liquid biopsy testing demonstrated similar results with the detection of EGFR exon 19 deletion, T790M, KIT I571L and a FGFR2 G817S mutation. Due to the presence of EGFR T790M mutation, the patient was subsequently started on osimertinib with a continued response noted on restaging imaging for the duration of 10 months until a CT chest, abdomen, pelvis scan showed slightly enlarged lung nodules. A PET scan and a liquid biopsy were requested to confirm true progression of disease, but showed overall continued response and decreased tumor burden. Liquid biopsy results only identified EGFR exon 19 deletion mutation. Thus, the patient continued with osimertinib until 3 months later where restaging imaging demonstrated true progression of disease in bilateral pulmonary nodules and lymph nodes.

Once again, a biopsy of one of the enlarging nodules, tissue and liquid NGS, and PD-L1 IHC testing were requested. Liquid biopsy detected EGFR exon 19 deletion, but no presence of T790M mutation. It also discovered an ERBB2 exon 20 insertion (Y772_A775dup) and TP53 R213L mutations with a PD-L1 expression of 70% on the biopsy specimen. Our institutional NGS testing on the biopsy specimen reported EGFR exon 19 deletion, MET, TERT, AKT2, and CCNE1 amplification, TP53 R213L, and 98% PD-L1 expression. Due to the high expression of PD-L1, his treatment was switched to carboplatin, pemetrexed, and pembrolizumab. Pembrolizumab was eventually held during the third cycle due to fatigue. During treatment, the patient was hospitalized for shortness of breath and was found to have pulmonary emboli in the lungs, treated with enoxaparin sodium. After three cycles of the regimen, a CT scan unfortunately demonstrated rapid progression of disease and the patient was immediately started on docetaxel and ramucirumab. Although the patient exhibited a partial response to treatment after two cycles, it was discontinued due to hospitalization for sepsis with hypotension and tachycardia. We considered gemcitabine or dacomitinib, a selective and irreversible inhibitor of EGFR, but decided to move forward with dacomitinib due to loss of EGFR T790M on previous NGS testing. The patient tolerated dacomitinib well but after 3 months on treatment, restaging imaging demonstrated progression of disease in the lungs, lymph nodes, and new bilateral adrenal nodules suspicious for metastases. Liquid biopsy at that time reported a reemergence of EGFR T790M as well as a BRCA2 K437fs mutation with other consistent mutations seen from previous NGS reports including EGFR exon 19 deletion, ERBB2 exon 20 insertion, TP53 R213C, and CCNE1 amplification.

It was then decided that the patient should re-start docetaxel and ramucirumab at a reduced dose since he previously responded to the regimen although it was not well tolerated. Unfortunately, the patient developed confusion, difficulty speaking, and forgetfulness in the meantime. An MRI of the brain was ordered and demonstrated innumerable intracranial metastases. He was then admitted to start whole brain radiation therapy for a total dose of 24 Gray over 8 fractions. The patient tolerated the treatment well but his general condition quickly deteriorated. He was transferred to hospice care and subsequently passed away. Treatment history is summarized and visualized in Figure 1.

**Discussion and future directions**

With the increase of interest in immunotherapy across cancer types, many questions remain about long term side effects, its role in cancers with actionable mutations, and more. Recent published data, including a phase II trial of pembrolizumab in TKI naïve NSCLC patients with an EGFR mutation and subgroup analyses from multiple landmark phase III trials, demonstrate a lack of response in EGFR-mutated cancers with monotherapy ICIs (10,17,18,20,22). However, proven treatment strategies still remain unclear.

In this complex clinical case, the patient underwent multiple lines of therapy starting with two EGFR TKIs with which he exhibited a prolonged response for an average of 12 months until he progressed. Following erlotinib and osimertinib therapy, the patient was placed on...
Figure 1 Clinical, radiographic, and molecular events throughout treatment history. The left column timeline displays clinical and radiographic information while the right column timeline indicates treatment regimens and molecular testing data (each line between circles represents 3 months).
carboplatin, pemetrexed, and pembrolizumab. Although the patient’s PD-L1 was high, pembrolizumab monotherapy was not considered due to poor response in EGFR- and ERBB2-mutated NSCLC (17,25). Pembrolizumab was not well tolerated and he quickly progressed after 3 cycles of combination cytotoxic chemotherapy and immunotherapy. The average duration of response was significantly higher with the EGFR TKIs versus the combination regimen of ICIs and chemotherapy. On the other hand, Kunimasa et al. published a case report of a patient diagnosed with metastatic lung adenocarcinoma who was found to have an EGFR exon 19 deletion and high PD-L1 expression, similar to our patient (26). Unlike our patient, the authors reported that their patient experienced rapid progression of disease to erlotinib but managed an almost complete response to anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody pembrolizumab. This discrepancy needs to be addressed in a validated clinical trial setting.

Treatment regimen order has become increasingly important as seen with the recent retrospective analysis on irAEs from treatment with ICIs and EGFR TKI osimertinib (23). Severe AEs were observed in patients who received ICI therapy followed by osimertinib but none were reported if the treatment order was reversed (23). The patient described above received osimertinib initially but due to progression of disease was switched to carboplatin, pemetrexed, pembrolizumab and was hospitalized after the first cycle from pulmonary emboli. This event is attributed to disease progression and subsequent restaging imaging after three cycles confirmed rapid disease progression and increased tumor burden when compared to baseline CT chest imaging from 3 months prior (Figure 2). Our patient experienced no irAEs, severe or otherwise, however he experienced acute disease progression following treatment with osimertinib then ICIs. This lack of response is consistent with reported low response rates in patients with parallel molecular mutations (17). However, even the addition of chemotherapy to pembrolizumab did not promote response efficacy in this patient. Interestingly, after this regimen, the patient exhibited a partial response to chemotherapy agent docetaxel and antiangiogenic vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR)-2 inhibitor, suggesting the utility of chemotherapy and other inhibitors in later treatment lines in patients with multiple driving mutations. Further research is necessary to correctly classify patient populations that would be affected by rapid progression.

Figure 2 Rapid progression of disease on immunotherapy following osimertinib treatment. (A) CT chest scan showing progression of disease in the lungs after 13 months on osimertinib treatment; (B) CT chest scan showing rapid progression of disease in the lungs 3 months after discontinuing osimertinib and after 3 cycles of carboplatin, pemetrexed, and pembrolizumab; (C) representation of tumor heterogeneity and burden throughout treatment. (A,B) Axial CT scanning. CT, computed tomography.
progression from sequential TKI and immunotherapy treatments.

Consistent molecular testing via liquid or tissue biopsies and routine restaging imaging play a significant role in decided treatment choice and monitoring response in patients. Serial liquid and tissue biopsies were necessary in detecting mutations of resistance as well as tumor heterogeneity and clonal evolution (Figures 2C, 3). NSCLC is a complex disease and the additional of temporal molecular sequencing of blood and specimen was vital in observing sub-clonal populations, emergence and disappearance of resistance mutations, and tumor heterogeneity (27).

Conclusions

Despite many advances in the treatment of metastatic NSCLC, treatment approaches or combinations for several cohorts of patients remain ambiguous. We demonstrated a complex clinical case of a patient reported to have EGFR-mutated metastatic lung adenocarcinoma and high PD-L1 expression who underwent multiple lines of therapy including three different EGFR TKIs, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy. This clinical scenario revealed that EGFR TKIs were associated with a longer duration of progression free survival while the treatment combination with immunotherapy resulted in rapid progression of disease. However, additional studies of patients who have synchronous cancer-driving mutations is needed to confirm the utility and sequence of various agents. There was no documentation of severe irAEs that are seen in patients who undergo sequential PD-1 blockade followed by osimertinib EGFR TKI treatment, consistent with results reported in the retrospective study by Schoenfeld et al. (23). Further analysis and evaluation is necessary to clearly define therapeutic options and sequence for this subset of patients.
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