Prediction of mediastinal lymph node metastasis in adenocarcinoma of the esophagogastric junction
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The optimal surgical management of adenocarcinoma of the esophagogastric junction (AEG) is challenging and complex. While Siewert type I tumors are treated according to the algorithm of esophageal cancer the optimal approach for Siewert II/III tumors is still under debate (1). In particular, the best operative approach and the extent of lymph node dissection were investigated in several studies without clear consensus (2,3).

In The Annals of Thoracic Surgery, Kyle Mitchell and colleagues report their findings from a single-center study investigating predictive factors for mediastinal involvement in neoadjuvant treated patients with AEG II/III tumors. Analyzing 204 patients they find that rather the pretreatment tumor extension into the esophagus than the Siewert classification is an independent predictor of mediastinal lymph node involvement. The authors calculate a best cut-off value of a proximal tumor extend of more than 15 mm as discriminative and suggest to use this parameter for the operative planning of patients with AEG II/III tumors.

The association between proximal tumor extend and lymph node involvement has been described by other authors in the past (4) but in the current study only patients who received trimodality therapy were included. Since the introduction of neoadjuvant therapy different techniques of preoperative staging to detect metastatic lymph nodes have been investigated. Because of the low accuracy of CT imaging position emission tomography (PET) has been applied to detect suspicious lymph nodes. While this method has a high specificity of more than 95% the sensitivity is only about 67% (5) and thereby reducing the diagnostic value for treatment decisions (6).

The study by Mitchell et al. has its major limitation at this point. The authors report a retrospective cohort of patients and state that staging included PET and endoscopic ultrasound with fine-needle aspiration (EUS/FNA) according with the guidelines in effect at the time of treatment. It remains unclear how many patients underwent which preoperative work-up and this limits the comparability of the data. Because the operating surgeon made the decision of the approach individually for each patient, the authors do not have pathological data for patients who did not undergo a mediastinal lymphadenectomy. To compensate this, they define histopathological tumor evidence in mediastinal lymph nodes or a mediastinal recurrence within two years after the resection as mediastinal lymph node positive. This composite endpoint is on the one hand an elegant way in comparing the two different operative approaches but on the other hand carries a lot of potential bias. It would be interesting to know how many of these patients showed localized mediastinal recurrence and how many patients were diagnosed with mediastinal lymph node recurrence as part of a multifocal recurrence. Additionally the quality of the thoracic lymph node dissection is not described in detail. Different studies have shown that the number
of resected lymph nodes correlates with the accuracy of staging and survival (7) and that a transthoracic approach leads to a higher number of resected lymph nodes (8). To get a better idea, the extent of lymph node dissection with the number of removed lymph nodes in each group would be very informative for this study.

The question if patients with Siewert II tumors should undergo a thoracic lymphadenectomy is still controversial and the current study adds more evidence for the clinical decision-making. Over ten years ago, Sasako et al. reported no benefit of a transthoracic approach for patients with cardia or subcardia cancer (9). At the same time, Omloo et al. published the first randomized controlled trial with long-term results on this question (10). This study did not show a survival benefit by a transthoracic approach in patients with AEG II in general, but was suggesting a benefit for patients with a high number of positive lymph nodes. Since publication of this study, several groups have shown that patients with AEG II tumors could benefit from an extended transthoracic lymphadenectomy but all studies are limited because of the small subgroup of patients with AEG II tumors (2). A recently registered international randomized controlled trial (CARDIA Trial DRKS00016923) will start recruitment this year and will generate high evidence data for the best operative approach in patients with AEG II tumors.

In the last years different authors have reported that not only the tumor type according to the Siewert classification, but also the tumor extent into the esophagus is an independent prognostic factor of mediastinal lymph node involvement and survival. Koyanagi et al. describe an invasion of more than 25 mm above the GEJ as independent risk factor for mediastinal involvement (11). For the patient cohort published in the current study Mitchell et al. calculate a best cut-off value of 15 mm above the GEJ as a predictive marker but report even patients with a lower tumor extend at risk for mediastinal metastasis. While the authors focus on the mediastinal lymph node involvement, they do not discuss the problem of distal tumor extend and abdominal lymphadenectomy. In a similar pattern to the risk of mediastinal lymph node metastasis, other groups have investigated the correlation between the distal tumor extend and abdominal lymph node metastasis in Siewert type II tumors. Mine et al. recommend an abdominal lymphadenectomy if the distal tumor extend is more than 30 mm from the GEJ (12).

In summary, the current study by Mitchell et al. provides surgeons with additional evidence for planning the operative approach in patients with Siewert II/III tumors. If the distance of 15 mm should be seen as a sharp cut-off value is even questioned by the authors themselves and it therefore provides another piece of information for our decision about the operative approach. Future studies like the above-mentioned CARDIA trial will hopefully shed additional light into this question.
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