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Prevalence and risk factors of aortic aneurysm in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
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Background: Patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) manifest an excess of chronic co-morbidities and present a high prevalence of cardiovascular disease such as congestive heart failure and ischemic heart disease. Aortic aneurysm (AA) also shared the risks of those diseases and its rupture is an important cause of death. However, since AA progresses almost silently, the prevalence of AA in patients with COPD remains unclear. The aim of this study was to determine AA prevalence and risk factors in patients with COPD.

Methods: With computed tomography (CT) screening, we tested for AA in 231 COPD patients, and assessed emphysema by Goddard classification and aortic wall calcification in abdominal artery, respectively. We also evaluated that of thoracic artery using our original methods, which we assessed the extent of calcification in the thoracic artery as well as which defined as “aortic calcification index (ACI) in thoracic artery”.

Results: In 231 patients with COPD, 27 (11.7%) had AA determined by CT imaging and another 6 patients with previously diagnosed AA and a history of repaired operation (2.6%). In this total of 33 patients (AA group), the age of 95% confidence interval (CI) was 75.8 to 80.1 years and the prevalence of AA in patients aged 76 to 80 years was 26.8%. A low attenuation area and aortic wall calcification were more severe in the AA group than in the non-AA group, but forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) was not significantly different in those patients. The Goddard score of nine and ACI in the thoracic artery of 25.0% were determined to identify the most appropriate cut-off levels for discriminating between AA and non-AA groups.

Conclusions: Our analysis indicated that sizeable under-recognition of AA seems likely in COPD. Especially for patients with severe lung destruction and aortic calcification verifiable by chest CT, abdominal CT would be beneficial for detecting AA.
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Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. The characteristic feature is progressive airflow limitation related to a chronic inflammatory response in the lung (1). Recently, epidemiological studies have demonstrated that COPD patients manifest an excess of chronic co-morbidities, mainly cardiovascular, which are well-known as important factors that determine prognosis and functional capabilities (2-7). The prevalence of coronary artery diseases and heart failure in patients with COPD has been reported to be associated with airflow obstruction and the extent of emphysema. Moreover, the percentage of cardiovascular-
related mortality is up to twofold higher than in a matched population without COPD (2,3).

Aortic aneurysm (AA) is a common and potentially life-threatening condition. Advanced age and smoking are risk factors that connected with COPD as they are for other cardiovascular diseases. Some studies reveal that COPD is associated with the development of AA and appears to convey an added risk of rupture in patients with small aneurysms (8,9). Furthermore, in the 4-year Understanding Potential Long-Term Impacts on Function with Tiotropium (UPLIFT) trial, AA rupture was reported as one of the common causes of death as well as myocardial infarction and colorectal cancer. That is, the incidence of AA was twice or more as frequent as that of pulmonary embolism or prostate cancer (10). Together, these previous reports suggest that COPD patients, like those with coronary artery disease and heart failure, have a comparatively higher risk of AA than non-COPD smokers. To determine its prevalence and risk factors, we performed the cross-sectional, case-control study in a major Japanese medical institution.

Methods

Patient population and study design

We recruited participants from patients who had a prior diagnosis of COPD and regularly visited the Department of Respiratory Medicine at Kameda Medical Center (Chiba, Japan) between April 2011 and March 2012. The inclusion criteria were: aged >40 years, a smoking history of at least 20 pack-year, and a post-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁)/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio <70% at inclusion. In this study, we also included patients whose AA was previously diagnosed, but excluded patients with a history of lung resection or other significant respiratory diseases. Then, finally, 231 patients were enrolled and underwent CT scanning of the chest, abdomen and pelvis, in addition to the regular COPD workup that including physical examination, pulmonary function testing, and chest radiographs.

The presence of AA was diagnosed by one radiologist and independently confirmed by two pulmonologists (KA and NK) on the basis of CT findings such as its size, length, location and shape, etc. Then, we designated 33 patients whose AA was documented either by our current CT scans (n=27) or former diagnoses (n=6) as “patients with AA (AA group)”, and classified the remaining 198 without AA of 231 patients initially enrolled into a non-AA group. We compared both groups’ backgrounds and CT data. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Kameda Medical Center, and written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Pulmonary function tests

Pulmonary function tests were performed according to American Thoracic Society standards, with a Chestac-65V (Chest MI Corp, Tokyo, Japan). FEV₁ for each patient is expressed as a percentage of the predicted value (FEV₁ % predicted), which was calculated based on reference values from the Japanese population (11).

Analyses of CT images

All CT examinations were performed with commercially available CT scanners (X-force SH, Toshiba Medical, Tokyo, Japan), and non-enhanced CT scans were obtained with 5-mm section thickness. The severity of emphysema was visually assessed on CT images of the chest by two pulmonologists (KA and NK), and its extent, the percentage of lung destruction, was classified according to the Goddard scoring system (12): score 0, normal; score 1, ≤25% affected; score 2, ≤25% and ≤50% affected; score 3, 50% and ≤75% affected; and score 4, >75% affected. Six images were analyzed in three slices, which were obtained from 1 cm above the upper margin of the aortic arch, 1 cm below the carina, and 1 cm above the right diaphragm, and a total score of six images was calculated in each person.

Meanwhile, the aortic calcification index (ACI) was recently reported as a useful marker for evaluating abdominal aortic calcification and in association with the prevalence of cardiovascular disease (13-16). To assess ACI, we selected ten slices from each patient at 1 cm intervals from the common iliac bifurcation upward and calculated the mean percentage of the calcification area (divided into 12 sectors).

Although this index is the accepted method for assessing the abdominal artery, it has never been reported for evaluating the calcification visible in a chest CT. Then, to determine a useful, simple method applicable in routine COPD workups, we posited that calcification in an abdominal artery resembled that in a thoracic artery. Therefore, for each patient, we selected a slice with the most severe aortic wall calcification in thoracic artery, excluding the aortic arch, and a slice in which the aortic arch was longitudinally delineated. ACI (%) measurements in the thoracic artery and aortic arch were then recorded. When the findings were not agreed upon by consensus
between two pulmonologists, the other pulmonologist finally determined its severity.

**Statistical analyses**

We used the Chi-squared test, paired t-test or Mann-Whitney test, as appropriate, to compare the two groups of patients. All data were subjected to Spearman’s rank correlation analysis. To determine independent factors associated with AA, we used logistic regression multivariable analysis. We also analyzed Akaike’s information criterion (AIC), which is a statistical value known to provide a superior fit, to obtain the most appropriate cut-off level (17,18). A smaller AIC value indicated a more reliable model for predicting the existence of AA. These analyses were performed with SPSS Version 21. Data were expressed as means with standard deviations (SD). For all statistical analyses, a P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**

The mean age was 73.3 years (8.7) among the patients, of whom 94% were men, and 14% were current smokers. The mean FEV1 was 1.86 liters (83.7% of the predicted value), and patients whose COPD was classified as stage I, II, III, or IV; according to criteria of the GOLD guideline, comprised 59%, 26%, 13% and 2% of patients, respectively. The mean points of Goddard classification for lung damage were 7.4 (6.0). Of the 238 patients, 120 (52%) were given a long-acting inhaled muscarinic receptor antagonist (LAMA); 91 (39%) received a long-acting inhaled β2-agonist (LABA), for 89 (39%) a corticosteroid inhalant was administered, 76 (33%) had a theophylline compound, and 28 (12%) received oxygen supplementation. As for co-morbidities, on the other hand, 149 patients (65%) had hypertension, 68 (29%) had hyperlipidemia, 42 (18%) had diabetes mellitus, 58 (24%) had cardiovascular disease other than AA, and 16 (7%) had cerebrovascular disease.

In six patients, abdominal AA had already been diagnosed and a repair operation was performed before this study. Meanwhile, our CT screening newly detected AA in 27 patients (Table 1), of whom 5 had thoracic AA, 18 had abdominal AA, 2 had common iliac AA, 1 had both thoracic and abdominal AA, and 1 had both abdominal and common iliac AA. Of 33 patients in this overall AA group, the maximal aortic diameter of 18 patients (55%) was less than 4 cm; another 7 (21%) had 4 to 5.5 cm, and 6 (18%) had more than 5.5 cm. Two patients whose AA was detected in our CT screening and who manifested an aortic diameter more than 5.5 cm underwent a repair operation after this study.

**ACI in thoracic artery**

To assess the reliability of ACI in aortic arch and thoracic artery, we correlated those values with that of the previously established ACI in an abdominal artery (Figure 1). Indices for the current patients had strongly positive correlations with ACI in abdominal artery (ACI in aortic arch; r=0.709, P<0.001: ACI in thoracic artery; r=0.706, P<0.001).

**Patients’ characteristics associated with AA**

Comparisons of patients’ characteristics between the AA group (n=33) and non-AA group (n=198) designated here are shown in Table 2. The AA group were older (77.9 vs. 72.5 years, P<0.001), had a more excessive smoking status (pack-year, 77.4 vs. 63.8, P=0.032), higher Goddard score (10.8 vs. 7.1, P<0.001), elevated ACI in aortic arch, thoracic and abdominal arteries (26.0% vs. 12.2%, P<0.001; 28.5% vs. 12.1%, P<0.001; 24.9% vs. 17.6%, P=0.005, respectively).
and far greater proportion of patients with cardiovascular
disease (55% vs. 19%, P<0.001). Additionally, the AA group
had a lower overall value for FEV\textsubscript{1} (1.58 vs.
1.90 liters, P=0.012) and FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC (49.2% vs.
53.9%, P=0.035) than the non-AA group. Gender, body mass index,
cop-morbidities except for cardiovascular disease, FEV\textsubscript{1} %
predicted, and proportions of patients who were classified
according to GOLD guideline were similar for both groups.

In contrast, however, age correlated negatively with body
mass index (r=–0.184, P=0.005), FVC (r=–0.427, P=0.001),
FEV\textsubscript{1} (r=–0.341, P<0.001) and FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC (r=–0.138,
P=0.036), although greater age correlated positively with
aortic calcification (P<0.001). Smoking status was also risk
factor in both COPD and AA, and it correlated with aortic
calcification as well as the FEV\textsubscript{1} % predicted and LAA (data
not shown). Accordingly, our univariate analysis detected
several characteristics of COPD shared with AA, but the
influence of age differed notably between these two groups of
patients.

**Comparison of subjects with equivalent age**

Based on our results, age was one of the most important
factors contributing to AA in all patients with COPD.
Accordingly, we next examined the clinical characteristics in
individuals from both groups of comparable ages.

The ages yielding a 95% confidence interval (CI) in
patients with AA was 75.8 to 80.1 years (range, 62-88 years).
Therefore, we selected subjects of 76-80 years; 15 patients
in AA group (78.5±1.1 years) and 41 patients in non-AA
group (78.1±1.3 years) and compared data for the two groups
(Table 2). As a result, Goddard scores and ACI in aortic arch
and thoracic artery were higher in the AA group than in
the non-AA group (Goddard score; 11.2 vs. 7.2, P=0.045:
ACI in aortic arch; 30.0% vs. 15.2%, P= 0.001: ACI in
thoracic artery; 36.1% vs. 14.0%, P=0.001). However, FEV\textsubscript{1}
was not significantly different (1.54 vs. 1.70 L, P=0.287;
% predicted, 75.3% vs. 83.0%, P=0.292) in these two
groups. In the multivariate analysis among those three
significant parameters (data not shown), on the other hand,
the two factors that were independently associated with the
existence of AA were ACI in the thoracic artery [odds ratio
(OR), 1.87; 95% CI, 1.08 to 3.25; P=0.026] and LAA (OR,
1.14; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.28; P=0.033). However, ACI in
aortic arch did not prove to be statistically significant (OR,
0.98; 95% CI, 0.51 to 1.86; P=0.943).

From those results, we plotted patients’ data according to
ACI in the thoracic artery and Goddard scores, followed by
calculating AIC to determine the most appropriate cut-off
level (Figure 2). As a result, the smallest AIC value for the
most appropriate cut-off levels of ACI in thoracic artery and
Goddard scores were 25.0% and 9 (AIC =–9.14 and −5.31),
respectively. Of 28 patients with Goddard score ≤9 and ACI
≤25.0%, only one patient had AA (3.6%), constituting a
significantly lower prevalence in patients with low scores,
i.e., Goddard score >9 or ACI >25.0% (50.0%, P<0.001).

Finally, to determine whether patients with COPD have
a higher risk of AA than controls who are matched in age
(76.8±4.5 years) and smoking status (packs/year, 87.3±22.7),
we performed CT screening for 27 participants without
COPD (Table 2). However, we did not detect AA in any of
the latter participants (0%). Although the number of our
control subjects was small, clearly the prevalence of AA
in patients with COPD reached a statistically significant
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**Figure 1** Correlation of ACI in the chest CT with that in the abdominal artery. ACI in both the aortic arch (A) and thoracic artery (B) correlated strongly with that previously established for the abdominal artery. (ACI in aortic arch; r=0.709, P<0.001; ACI in thoracic artery; r=0.706, P<0.001). ACI, aortic calcification index; CT, computed tomography.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical variable</th>
<th>All COPD patients</th>
<th>Subjects with 76-80 years</th>
<th>Control (N=27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA group (N=33)</td>
<td>Non-AA group (N=198)</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, year (SD)</td>
<td>77.9±6.0</td>
<td>72.5±8.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, gender n [%]</td>
<td>30 [91]</td>
<td>188 [95]</td>
<td>0.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>22.5±3.2</td>
<td>22.9±3.1</td>
<td>0.449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history</td>
<td>77.4±36.3</td>
<td>63.8±33.7</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of disease since diagnosis, year</td>
<td>6.3±4.8</td>
<td>4.9±3.8</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities, n [%]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>26 [79]</td>
<td>123 [62]</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirometry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (liters)</td>
<td>3.22±0.79</td>
<td>3.48±0.80</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; (liters)</td>
<td>1.58±0.57</td>
<td>1.90±0.68</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;/FVC [%]</td>
<td>49.2±12.5</td>
<td>53.9±12.3</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; % predicted</td>
<td>79.5±27.5</td>
<td>84.5±25.6</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLD stage, n [%]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>16 [48]</td>
<td>120 [61]</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>10 [30]</td>
<td>50 [26]</td>
<td>0.527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of acute exacerbation</td>
<td>0.55±1.48</td>
<td>0.15±0.59</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAA (Goddard classification)</td>
<td>10.8±6.2</td>
<td>7.1±5.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic wall calcification score [%]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic arch</td>
<td>26.0±17.4</td>
<td>12.2±12.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic artery</td>
<td>28.5±19.6</td>
<td>12.1±15.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal artery</td>
<td>24.9±16.7</td>
<td>17.6±17.0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plus-minus values are means ± SD. SD, standard deviation; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; AA, aortic aneurysm; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; LAA, low attenuation area.
higher level than in our control group without COPD (P=0.002).

**Discussion**

In our prospective CT evaluation, we demonstrated for the first time the prevalence of AA and the specific characteristics of patients with AA among those who are afflicted with COPD. We found that (I) 33 of 231 COPD patients (14.3%) newly or previously diagnosed with AA represented a greater general prevalence of AA than previously reported (1-2%) [19]; (II) The age of 95% CI in the AA group was 75.8 to 80.1 years, and the prevalence of AA in patients of those ages was 26.8% (15 in 56 patients); (III) Comparison between those with COPD and separated into AA and non-AA groups of equivalent age indicated that LAA and ACI values evaluated by chest CT were higher in the AA group than those of the non-AA group, but FEV$_1$ was not significantly different. Accordingly, our data indicate that COPD patients over 75 years of age have a high prevalence of AA and that severe lung destruction as well as aortic wall calcification is a risk factor for the acquisition of AA in these patients. Our data suggest that abdominal screening in addition to the routine COPD workup is a valuable asset in detecting AA early in the disease course of such patients and in averting AA-related adverse events.

AA involves the progressive dilatation of the aorta leading to a likelihood of tearing and high mortality rate typically associated with advanced age and atherosclerosis [19]. Currently, the development of imaging mass spectrometry has elucidated the pathogenesis of AA. Tanaka *et al.* described adventitial stenosis and intimal hyperplasia of the vasovasorum (VV) with the accumulation of abnormal lipid molecules in the AA sac and demonstrated that, in this state, the aortic wall of the AA sac was ischemic and hypoxic [20]. That is an important risk factor for AA such as aging and smoking affect VV circulation ultimately causing the progression of AA [19,20]. The systemic inflammation and hypoxic condition in COPD may also promote the contribution of abnormal VV circulation to AA.

Additionally, COPD shares these risk factors. Patients with AA had a higher prevalence of airway obstruction than age-matched control or patients with coronary artery disease [21]. In an UPLIFT trial, furthermore, AA rupture was one of the common causes of death in spite of the fact that AA was not detected as a major co-morbidity [10]. Our study also demonstrated that COPD patients, especially those who are over 75 years old, are at a higher risk of AA development than those without COPD or other cardiovascular co-morbidities. That is, these data suggest that a high degree of under-recognition of AA is likely in patients with COPD. Meanwhile, some epidemiologic studies suggest that diabetes is independently associated with a decreased rate of AA [22,23], but the proportion of patients with diabetes was not different between AA and non-AA group in our population. Whether this point is characteristic of patients with COPD remains unclear, we should re-evaluate it prospectively in multiple centers.

Here, ACI evaluation in chest CTs appeared to be a useful indicator for predicting the presence of AA in COPD patients. Most individuals aged >60 years have progressively enlarging
deposits of calcium mineral in their major arteries (24). This vascular calcification reduces aortic and arterial elastance, which impairs cardiovascular hemodynamics; therefore ACI has been described as a valuable predictor of coronary heart disease (25,26). Methods for ACI evaluation have been reported, and those scores are independent factors for judgments on cardiovascular events (15,16,27).

We assessed the aortic calcification in thoracic artery using ACI and confirmed its strong correlation with those scores previously reported (15,16). Accordingly, our data suggest that chest CT provides a good gauge of aortic calcification and cardiovascular risk.

This study had some limitations. First, the subjects in this study were located at a single center, and their number was smaller than in epidemiological studies. That is, we could not evaluate the interaction of LDL-C levels and statins, and the impact of the hypertensive medication such as beta-blockers and Losartan. However, our data were evaluated with state-of-the-art statistics and in correlation with previously established methods. Second, we did not assess the cost-effectiveness for detecting AA early in COPD patients. Because the incidence of AA is comparatively lower than other for cardiovascular diseases, the cost-effectiveness and indications for use should be evaluated. Finally, we considered that systemic inflammation in COPD could relate to the pathogenesis of AA, but we did not assess the systemic inflammatory markers (28). In addition, since our AA group included patients whose AA was previously diagnosed, there was a possibility that it caused a selection bias, and so the population was not representative from patients with COPD population and the prevalence was overestimated. Accordingly, our data including these points should be re-evaluated prospectively in multiple centers.

Conclusions

Our analysis revealed that the prevalence of AA is high in patients with COPD, suggesting a high degree of under-recognition of AA. Especially in patients with severe lung destruction and aortic calcification verifiable by chest CT, its prevalence is high and abdominal CT would be beneficial for detecting AA.
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