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Pulmonary rehabilitation program including respiratory conditioning for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): Improved hyperinflation and expiratory flow during tidal breathing
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ABSTRACT

Background: Pulmonary rehabilitation has generally relieved symptoms, strengthened exercise endurance and improved health-related quality of life (QOL) in patients with COPD, but recovery of pulmonary function remains questionable. This analysis of our innovative rehabilitation program is directed at documenting changes in patients’ expiratory airflow limitation, pulmonary symptoms and QOL. This program is designed to provide “respiratory conditioning”, a physical therapist-assisted intensive flexibility training that focuses on stretching and rib cage mobilization.

Methods: Thirty-one patients with COPD who attended rehabilitation sessions at Juntendo University Hospital from 1999 to 2006 were analyzed. Pulmonary function, expiratory flow limitation during tidal breathing, six minute walk distance (6MWD), respiratory muscle strength, and St. George Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) were measured before and after pulmonary rehabilitation.

Results: In participants ages 68±7 years, the FEV1% predicted was 39.3±15.7%. 6MWD, SGRQ and respiratory muscle strength were significantly improved after pulmonary rehabilitation. Although neither FEV1% predicted nor FEV1/FVC was affected to a significant extent, indicating little effect on airflow limitation, expiratory flow limitation in supine as well as seated during tidal breathing improved significantly. Moreover, rehabilitation significantly diminished TLC% predicted, FRC% predicted, RV% predicted and RV/TLC values, thus indicating a reduction of hyperinflation of the lungs at rest.

Conclusions: The present results suggest that our rehabilitation program with respiratory conditioning significantly lowered the hyperinflation of lungs at rest as well as the expiratory flow limitation during tidal breathing. In patients with COPD, overall pulmonary function improved, exercise endurance increased and health-related QOL was enhanced.
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aged ≥40 years and significantly more prevalent in older subjects (3.5% in 40-49 years old vs. 24.4% in those >70 years) (2).

Pulmonary rehabilitation is an important non-pharmacological treatment for COPD. Many studies have shown that such therapy reduces dyspnea on exertion, increases exercise capacity and improves health-related quality of life (QOL) (3-6). Progressive airflow limitation in COPD patients results in exercise de-conditioning, immobility and muscle wasting, relative social isolation, altered mood states, especially depression, and body weight loss over a prolonged period (1). These problems interact with each other resulting in a vicious cycle of deterioration. In this context, pulmonary rehabilitation is therefore considered beneficial and effective for COPD patients, since it mitigates each of these conditions and can interrupt deterioration process (1). However, whether pulmonary rehabilitation actually improves pulmonary function, including airflow limitation, remains controversial (4,7-9).

We designed to provide a “respiratory conditioning” segment in conjunction with exercise training and respiratory muscle training. Respiratory conditioning maneuvers involve the optimization of breathing patterns, physical therapist-assisted rib cage mobilization and improvement of body flexibility. We considered the physiotherapy working on thoracic cage would be a critical component for successful rehabilitation program since a static lung volume fraction and the level of functional residual capacity (FRC) is determined by the compliance of the lung as well as that of chest wall. We report here that our pulmonary rehabilitation program including a "respiratory conditioning" ameliorated pulmonary symptoms and upgraded exercise endurance as well as QOL in patients with COPD. Furthermore, we confirmed that both expiratory flow limitation (EFL) during tidal breathing as well as hyperinflation of the lungs at rest improved after completing the program.

Methods

Study population

We encouraged patients with stable COPD to participate in the pulmonary rehabilitation program, who were treated in the respiratory outpatient clinic of Juntendo University and expected to visit regularly 2 days per week for 6 weeks during the program. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Juntendo University Hospital. From 1999 to 2006, 37 patients with stable COPD participated in our pulmonary rehabilitation program. Each patient was diagnosed with COPD and treated with medicines according to GOLD guideline (1). They were treated with inhaled bronchodilators, and most of them were given either short- or long-acting anticholinergics. During pulmonary rehabilitation, all patients were allowed to continue their pharmacological therapy. Five patients were on supplemental oxygen therapy. Six patients were excluded from the analysis because their pulmonary function data after pulmonary rehabilitation were not obtainable after an exacerbation of COPD. Accordingly, 31 patients with COPD, including one patient with stage I (FEV1≥80% predicted), 4 with stage II (50%≤FEV1<80% predicted), 18 with stage III (30%≤FEV1<50% predicted), and 8 with stage IV (FEV1<30% predicted) according to the disease severity of GOLD criteria (1), were retrospectively analyzed. All the patients were males, ex-smokers and 67±7 (mean ± SD) years old.

Comprehensive multidisciplinary pulmonary rehabilitation program

All the patients participated in the pulmonary rehabilitation program on an outpatient basis. The comprehensive outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation program included 2 sessions per day (60 minutes per session), 2 days per week for 6 weeks and was provided by a multidisciplinary team that included various health care professionals (physician, nurse, physical and respiratory therapist, pulmonary function laboratory technician, pharmacist, dietitian, medical social worker, and a provider of long-term home oxygen therapy). Treatment groups consisted of a maximum of three patients. The program included physiotherapy, exercise, respiratory muscle training (12 sessions, one on one), self-management education (11 sessions, as a group) and nutritional consultation (1 session, one on one).

The physiotherapy respiratory conditioning involves the optimization of breathing patterns, therapist-assisted rib cage mobilization and improvement of body flexibility. For the rib cage mobilization of COPD patients, the physical therapist performed continuous manual compressions of the rib cage during exhalation (not shaking/vibrations) as well as manual stretching and relaxation of the intercostal and pectoral muscles, neck muscles and back muscles. Therapists also applied manual mobilization of the spine and correction of posture during exercise or breathing. Manual stretching of the abdominal muscles and hamstrings and manual mobilization of the pelvis were performed for therapist-assisted improvement of body flexibility. During the initial three sessions, most of each session was spent on respiratory conditioning. As the sessions proceeded, the time used for conditioning in each session gradually decreased, while the time used for exercise training increased. These exercises included low-intensity endurance and strength training of the upper and lower extremities and respiratory muscle training. Walking (hall walk) and stationary bike training (cycle ergometer 5 to 15 W) was extended (symptom-limited maximum) to promote endurance of the lower extremities. Upper and lower extremity strength training started with free weights, then hand and ankle light weights (0.5 to 2 kg) were used. The Threshold™ inspiratory muscle trainer
(HealthScan, Cedar Grove NJ, USA) was used for the inspiratory muscle training at 30% of Pimax. The patients were encouraged to exercise (for example, stretching of the intercostal and pectoral muscles, low-intensity endurance and strength training of the upper and lower extremities) at home between sessions while they were on the program.

**Initial assessment and outcome measures of pulmonary rehabilitation**

When each patient’s COPD was stable, ventilatory function was assessed by spirometry (Autospirometer system 9, Minato Inc., Japan), and lung volumes were evaluated by body plethysmography (Bodyplethysmograph BX-9, Minato Inc., Japan). The reference values obtained from the Japanese population were utilized to calculate the % predicted value (10). With patients in supine as well as sitting positions, EFL during tidal breathing was measured according to the negative expiratory pressure method of Koulouris et al. (11). EFL assessment included the two indices described by Eltayara et al. (12). One is a discrete variable, a score expressing the degree of flow limitation (FL): 0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe and 4 = very severe. The other is a continuous variable, the FL (%), a percentage of flow-limited volume to control expired tidal volume (VT). Respiratory muscle strength was determined by the measurement of Pimax and Pmax (Pmax Mouth Pressure Monitor, PK Morgan, UK) according to the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement (13). Six-minute walk distance (6MWD) was measured according to the American Thoracic Society statement (14). Health-related QOL was assessed by The St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ).

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as means±SD. Comparisons of the data before and after rehabilitation were evaluated by the paired t-test using the StatView® software program, and a value of P<0.05 was considered to be significant.

**Results**

The baseline characteristics of the 31 COPD patients and results after pulmonary rehabilitation are summarized in Table 1. Attendance at the sessions was excellent with a mean percentage of 99.5%. The pulmonary rehabilitation’s significantly improved outcomes were recorded as the following values: TLC% predicted (137.4±22.7 vs. 131.5±18.9, P<0.01), FRC% predicted (148.4±31.8 vs. 140.0±26.8, P<0.01), RV% predicted (230.3±61.4 vs. 210.3±52.8, P<0.01) and RV/TLC

---

**Table 1. Characteristics of the study population and outcomes of the comprehensive multidisciplinary pulmonary rehabilitation (n=31).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>54.4±10.2</td>
<td>54.9±9.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary function tests (n=31)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)</td>
<td>1.05±0.41</td>
<td>1.04±0.41</td>
<td>0.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC (L)</td>
<td>3.16±0.64</td>
<td>3.25±0.72</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 % predicted</td>
<td>40.3±15.8</td>
<td>39.9±15.8</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLco/VA % predicted</td>
<td>37.2±11.6</td>
<td>37.4±10.8</td>
<td>0.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC % predicted</td>
<td>137.4±22.7</td>
<td>131.5±18.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV % predicted</td>
<td>230.3±61.4</td>
<td>210.3±52.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC % predicted</td>
<td>148.4±31.8</td>
<td>140.0±26.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC</td>
<td>58.4±7.4</td>
<td>56.3±8.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD</td>
<td>405±92.0</td>
<td>436±83.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory muscle strength (n=27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pimax</td>
<td>-58.3±16.7</td>
<td>-69.3±18.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmax</td>
<td>149.4±40.0</td>
<td>162.1±36.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ (n=24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>42.4±12.5</td>
<td>31.7±14.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Four patients were excluded for exacerbation of COPD precluding measurement of respiratory muscle strength (n=1), an episode of acute lumbago (n=1), or a recent episode of pneumothorax (n=2) at the time when the evaluation after pulmonary rehabilitation was scheduled; †SGRQ was not evaluated in 7 patients.*
(58.4±7.4 vs. 56.3±8.0, P<0.05), thus indicating the reduction of hyperinflation in the lungs at rest. Values not significantly improved were FEV₁, FEV₁% predicted, VC and DLco/VA% predicted. Although obstructive ventilatory impairment during forced-expiratory maneuver did not lessen during our rehabilitation program, EFL during tidal breathing improved notably to a statistically significant extent. Both FL score and FL (%) (seated as well as supine) decreased after our pulmonary rehabilitation, although evaluation was limited to only 19 patients of the total study population (Table 2). The EFL while sitting completely disappeared in 3 of 8 COPD patients examined; even better, EFL vanished from 8 of 15 supine patients with COPD.

Overall, exercise endurance significantly improved after pulmonary rehabilitation, as indicated by an increase of 6MWD from 405±92 to 436±83 m, closely approximating that described by Puhan et al. (15) as an important advance. They reported that 6MWD should change by –35 m for patients with moderate to severe COPD in order to represent an important effect. In addition, respiratory muscle strength measured by Pimax and PEmax significantly improved after pulmonary rehabilitation. The Pmax increased from –58.3±16.7 to –69.3±18.4 cmH₂O, and the PEmax increased from 149.4±40.0 to 162.1±29.2 cmH₂O. Nutritional status also responded well to this and the Pe max increased from 149.4±40.0 to 162.1±36.5 cmH₂O.

Table 2. Effect of the comprehensive multidisciplinary pulmonary rehabilitation program on EFL during tidal breathing (n=19).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FL score (range 0-4)</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FL (%) (seated)</td>
<td>20.9±28.1</td>
<td>10.4±20.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL (%) (supine)</td>
<td>49.9±32.9</td>
<td>20.7±29.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Definition of FL category (12): 0 = not flow-limited either seated or supine; 1 = flow-limited <50% tidal breathing volume (VT supine) but not flow-limited seated; 2 = flow-limited >50% VT supine but not flow-limited seated; 3 = flow-limited <50% VT seated but flow-limited supine; and 4 = flow-limited >50% VT seated but flow-limited supine.

Our comprehensive pulmonary rehabilitation program presented here significantly improved hyperinflation of the lungs at rest, EFL during tidal breathing, exercise endurance and health-related QOL for patients with COPD. Although pulmonary rehabilitation has typically benefited such patients (1,3,6,16,17), few improvements in pulmonary functions have been established (7-9). However, we have demonstrated for the first time that our pulmonary rehabilitation program including respiratory conditioning can significantly reduce EFL during tidal breathing, which should be interpreted as particularly relevant in this physiological setting.

The factor that most differentiated our rehabilitation program from others was the introduction of “respiratory conditioning,” defined as a procedure for improving the flexibility of the chest with the correction of posture and the stretching and mobilization of the rib cage. We considered that respiratory conditioning would contribute to expanding expiratory flow and reducing hyperinflation of the lungs at rest. In fact, respiratory muscle stretch gymnastics have been proposed as an additional form of pulmonary rehabilitation for COPD patients. The objective is to decrease chest wall stiffness by stretching the respiratory muscles of the chest wall during breathing. Accordingly, Minoguchi et al. (7) and Yamada et al. (8) suggested that respiratory muscle stretching would decrease FRC while increasing chest wall expansion and exercise capacity. However, on their own, those patients were required to perform 5 patterns of muscle stretching gymnastics 3 times every day for 4 weeks to obtain any benefit. In contrast, our program incorporated physical therapist-assisted manual stretching of the respiratory muscles to reduce dyspnea before starting exercise training. Moreover, the effect of this technique on chest wall compliance did not depend on patients’ skill but rather on the knowledge of trained therapists.

Similar to the results of other studies (4), our pulmonary rehabilitation program did not improve the airflow limitation as assessed by spirometry. For the FVC maneuver, a subject is requested to exhale with a maximal effort in order to assess pulmonary function. However, this maneuver is quite unusual and not experienced in daily living, hence not an actual physiological assessment of pulmonary function. In daily living, those patients utilize a much lower flow in tidal breathing, and parameters obtained with the FVC maneuver cannot reflect changes in the lower flow ranges. However, the negative expiratory pressure method claims validity in detecting small changes in expiratory flow during tidal breathing. EFL is as sensitive as FEV₁% predicted, but has a stronger correlation with the severity of dyspnea in COPD patients, therefore, is more

Our comprehensive pulmonary rehabilitation program presented here significantly improved hyperinflation of the lungs at rest, EFL during tidal breathing, exercise endurance and health-related QOL for patients with COPD. Although pulmonary rehabilitation has typically benefited such patients (1,3,6,16,17), few improvements in pulmonary functions have been established (7-9). However, we have demonstrated for the first time that
appropriate for evaluating effects on airflow limitation relevant to the activities of daily life (12).

We theorized that the improvement of EFL would contribute to a reduction of hyperinflation in lungs at rest and other functions. However, the improvement of EFL did not correlate with a reduction of hyperinflation of lungs at rest, SGRQ or 6MWD (data not shown). Our study population may have been too small to produce such data; hence, a larger study is needed to prove the clinical implication of EFL regarding mechanistic and physiologic significance on an outcome measure of pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with COPD.

Hyperinflation of the lungs at rest and/or during exercise is an important physiological feature in COPD. The narrowing of the small airways, reduced elastic recoil pressure due to destruction of alveoli, blood gas abnormalities, and increased chest wall stiffness are possible mechanisms for their hyperinflation (16,18,19). In addition, the FRC increases with age mainly due to a decrease in chest wall compliance or an increase in chest wall stiffness, thus increasing the outward recoil force of chest wall and the lungs (20). An improvement of airflow limitation using a bronchodilator has been reported to reduce the hyperinflation of the lungs (decreased FRC) with an increase in IC (21). Albuquerque et al. (22) reported that not only the post-bronchodilator FEV₁ and IC but also IC/TLC values provide useful information for estimating a COPD patient’s maximal exercise capacity, whether severely reduced or not. In the current study, no increase in the IC was observed, since the amount of reduction between TLC and FRC was about the same. In addition, IC/TLC did not increase significantly (P=0.103), although it tended increase after the pulmonary rehabilitation. Although it is unlikely that our pulmonary rehabilitation program directly affected the airways or lung parenchyma, the reduction of hyperinflation of lungs at rest at the baseline appeared to retard the progression of dynamic hyperinflation on effort, which would eventually raise the end-expiratory level to the dyspnea limit (23). In addition, by decreasing chest wall stiffness thereby increasing its flexibility, the reduced hyperinflation of lungs at rest may generate greater mobility of the diaphragm. Consequently, this effect may improve exercise capacity. In this context, we believe that respiratory conditioning during the program motivated COPD patients to complete the program, while also enhancing the efficacy of exercise training, and (III) the reduction of hyperinflation of the lungs at rest obtained by our rehabilitation program improved the function and mobility of diaphragm, which in turn decreased symptoms and increased exercise tolerance.

Our study has several limitations including (I) a retrospective analysis, (II) a small number of study subjects, and (III) participants were very heterogeneous regarding the severity of COPD. They therefore clearly impede us to draw a precise conclusion. However, the present study focused for the first time on the significance of respiratory conditioning during pulmonary rehabilitation and reported that its beneficial effect on chest wall kinematics are likely to improve EFL and reduce hyperinflation of the lungs for the many victims of COPD. Further study on the understanding of the role of respiratory conditioning during pulmonary rehabilitation seems to be warranted.
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