Introduction

Tissue analysis is the current gold standard in the diagnosis and management of lung cancer. Obtaining tissue from lung cancer is typically achieved by percutaneous computed tomography (CT)-guided core needle biopsies for peripheral lesions or bronchoscopic sampling for central lesions. These biopsies, however, can in some cases be non-diagnostic, in the event the adjacent reactive lung tissue or bronchial wall is sampled. This sampling is also associated with complication rates of 38.8% for core biopsy and 24% for fine needle aspiration (FNA) in a large meta-analysis of 8,133 procedures (1). In this study, the most common complication was pneumothorax followed by hemorrhage (1). Some patients will undergo larger surgical wedge biopsies or resections of lung cancers as both a diagnostic and therapeutic procedure. While effective, these invasive procedures are also associated with complications (2). Tissue is typically required not only for the initial diagnosis but also in the setting of recurrence following treatment with a targeted agent. There is a strain in tissue requirements to meet the increasing panel of sequencing, cytogenetics, and immunohistochemical tests.
that are required on lung cancer cases (3). Each of these tests requires tissue that may have already been exhausted by sections and immunohistochemical stains required to make the diagnosis and classification of the lung cancer. Given the challenges with obtaining tissue and the increasing requirements of tissue for molecular testing, there is great interest in the use of liquid biopsies in the diagnosis, as well as in supporting molecular testing in lung cancer.

Liquid biopsy refers to the use of various body fluids including blood, urine (4), pleural fluid (5), cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) (6), ascites (7) and others to test for circulating elements derived from the tumor. This broad definition includes circulating tumor cells (CTC), which are rare circulating cells that are typically present at 1–10 CTC per mL of whole blood in patients with metastatic disease (8). CTCs are typically detected by enriching for carcinoma cells using epithelial markers such as EpCAM, often combined with cytokeratins, and depletion of immune cells from the sample, typically using CD45 (9). However, this approach is limited by the fact that some tumor cells may undergo an epithelial to mesenchymal transition during the metastatic process and may no longer express epithelial markers resulting in false negative results (10). Alternative approaches utilize size, density or electrochemical properties to enrich for a broader spectrum of possible CTCs (11). Both types of approaches then typically rely upon a combination of automated and manual image analysis to definitively identify CTCs. CTCs can be detected in a subset of patients with early stage resectable disease (12). The presence of CTCs has been associated in numerous studies with an increased rate of recurrence and metastasis (13-15) and hold promise in the early detection of lung cancer in high-risk patients (16). However, liquid biopsies have increasingly utilized cell-free molecules released by the tumor including deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), ribonucleic acid (RNA) (17) and microRNAs (18) as tumor-associated biomarkers for diagnosis and to identify targetable mutations. These assays have been powered by recent technologic advances in next-generation sequencing (NGS), which have developed tools that can be employed to detect rare tumor-associated molecules amongst the normal counterparts present in various fluids. These same techniques have been utilized and revolutionized prenatal testing and allow for robust non-invasive fetal testing (19).

Cell-free DNA (cfDNA) present in the bloodstream is ultimately excreted through the urine which can also be utilized as a completely non-invasive test for the assessment of tumor mutations (20). A challenge with cfDNA applications is release of genomic DNA from white blood cells present in the whole blood that can dilute the tumor derived cfDNA and reduce the sensitivity of assays. Rapid processing of the specimens with centrifugation is required after sample acquisition to remove the white blood cells before significant lysis occurs (23). However, this is not always practical or feasible in clinical laboratories, many of which will send these specimens to specialized reference laboratories. Alternatively, there are specialized collection tubes that have been designed for cfDNA applications that both stabilize the white blood cells to prevent contamination and inhibit nucleases to protect the tumor derived cfDNA. They can provide sample stability for at least 5 to 7 days when stored at temperatures between 4 and 40 °C and similar techniques have been utilized to obtain circulating DNA from other fluids, including urine and CSF (24-27). The amount of required plasma is variable based on the downstream assay but at a minimum 0.2 to 1 mL of sample is required based on the type of extraction utilized (28). Cell-free RNA can be more challenging to obtain due to the inherent labile nature and susceptibility to degradation by nucleases. While cell-free mRNA is detectable, it is often of low abundance and challenging to detect in clinical samples (21,29,30). Due to the inherent challenges with detecting cell-free mRNA, many applications have focused instead on small non-coding RNAs including miRNA, which are more stable, abundant, and easier to detect in cell-free applications (31).

The circulating cfDNA in the bloodstream is ultimately excreted through the urine which can also be utilized as a completely non-invasive test for the assessment of tumor mutations (32). The rate of mutation detection has been shown to be comparable between blood and urine samples (in samples meeting a minimum volume of greater than 90 mL of urine) and combined testing has been shown to be an effective means to optimize detection of tumor derived mutations in cases with insufficient tissue biopsies (33). Cytologic preparations from pleural fluid samples are a rich source of DNA for molecular testing (34), additional cell free samples (5) and supernatants from the preparation of cytology samples have also been utilized (35). The central nervous system (CNS) is a clinically important site of metastasis in lung cancer and CSF fluid is amenable to liquid biopsy testing. One study found mutations in cfDNA from CSF samples in 63% of patients with solid tumors with CNS metastasis (36) and this technique can also be utilized to identify clinically actionable biomarkers and resistance mechanisms (36,37).

Once the cfDNA or other cell-free molecules have been obtained, advanced sequencing technologies are utilized to detect the rare fraction of tumor associated DNA molecules.
Many techniques are available to detect these rare DNA elements, however, one of the most common techniques currently used in clinical practice is known as digital droplet polymerase chain reaction (PCR). This technique allows for thousands of independent PCR reactions to be performed simultaneously in a single reaction tube within individual oil-water droplets present in the liquid that are insulated from one another. This allows for even rare DNA molecules present in a solution to be amplified and quantified with small amounts of input DNA (38). These reactions are coupled with a fluorescent reporter system to specific mutations present in the DNA. Each droplet is individually measured using a microfluidics system to produce a digital measure on the quantity of even rare mutant alleles present in cfDNA samples (39). These assays are robust and have been introduced into clinical workflows to detect specific mutations or resistance mutations in tissue samples. These tests have been employed in the case of detecting EGFR T790M mutations in lung cancer patients as a resistance mechanism to first and second-generation tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) (40-42). That said, this assay relies upon mutation specific PCR amplification and it is limited to the detection of only a few mutations, with each mutation requiring a separate PCR reaction.

**cfDNA in lung cancer screening**

Annual low dose CT-screening has been shown to result in a modest decrease in mortality from lung cancer in high risk individuals (43). Liquid biopsy has been suggested to be a possible means to screen these high-risk patients without exposing them to radiation. However, in patients with early pre-clinical tumors, the amount of tumor derived cfDNA in the circulation is extremely small and difficult to detect. A number of studies have attempted to measure the low levels of cfDNA associated with early stage lung cancer but have been limited by low sensitivity and specificity (44-46). Linear modeling from a large study that correlated volumetric data on tumor size with cfDNA estimated that to produce a 0.1% allele frequency in cfDNA this would require a 10cm³ primary tumor (47). Assuming a sphere of tumor, 10 cm³ would correspond to a diameter of 2.67 cm and correspond to at least a T1c tumor. However, as techniques advance, it may be technically feasible to accurately measure tumors with lower allele frequencies. In a recent study using deep sequencing, cfDNA from the tumor was detected in 100% of stage II–IV lung cancers and in 50% of stage I lung cancers (45). This will likely improve with refinement of current technologies and development of new more sensitive methods including measuring intact nanoscale extracellular vesicle-derived DNA, which has been shown to be more sensitive in detecting early stage lung cancer (44). An additional challenge with this testing is the unknown genetic profile of the tumor. Lung cancers have a heterogeneous genetic profile with few common genetics changes that span broadly across lung cancers. Furthermore, studies have shown that common mutations such as TP53 can be seen in up to 11% of control patients without cancer (48). However, as the sensitivities of these assays improve, there may be a role for them as ancillary tests to identify patients that should undergo additional imaging or to help better characterize nodules that are indeterminate by imaging or biopsy.

**EGFR testing**

The most common targetable driver mutations in lung adenocarcinoma occur in the EGFR gene, with 90% of the activating mutations accounted for by L858R missense mutation and deletions in exon 19. The remaining 10% of mutations are made up of less frequent mutations that occur in exons 18, 20 and 21 (49). That said, this assay relies upon mutation specific PCR amplification and it is limited to the detection of only a few mutations, with each mutation requiring a separate PCR reaction.

Many cfDNA assays allow for the quantification of allele frequency, and mutated EGFR concentration has been shown to predict progression-free survival (53). Furthermore, patients have a better progression-free survival when activating mutations can be detected in both the cfDNA and in the tissue (54). The BENEFIT trial was a phase 2 single-arm clinical study that explored the detection of EGFR mutations in cfDNA as a means to determine response to the TKI gefitinib (55). In this study that utilized a large NGS panel, EGFR mutations in cfDNA were found in 44% of patients. Of these patients, 72.1% of patients achieved an objective response to treatment with gefitinib (55). The study serially measured cfDNA and found that 88% of patients showed clearance of EGFR mutations in cfDNA by week 8 and these patients had an improved progression-free survival (55). The main concern with the use of cfDNA alone is the lack of sensitivity for plasma-based genotyping, which has been reported to be on the order of 70% compared with direct tissue sampling (56). However, some ultrasensitive digital droplet PCR assays have improved this to detect down to a 0.04% mutant allele frequency with a sensitivity of
81.82% (57). It is increasingly understood that tumors are not derived from a single clone of cells but rather a population of cells that may have distinct molecular profiles. Tissue biopsy only samples a small portion of the tumor whereas cfDNA is derived from all tumor(s) present throughout the individual. A number of studies have shown that sampling both cfDNA and sequencing of biopsy specimens can sample distinct tumor populations and increase the yield of finding driver mutations or resistance mechanisms (58,59).

Patients with activating mutations in EGFR treated with TKIs will invariably develop resistance to these drugs. In patients treated with first- and second-generation inhibitors, more than half of the patients will develop resistance through secondary T790M mutations, imparting a resistance to these inhibitors (60). There are new classes of EGFR inhibitors including osimertinib, which can effectively treat these patients with T790M mutations (61). This has been one of the most useful and popular applications of liquid biopsies in lung cancer to date in detecting T790M mutations in patients that have developed resistance to first or second-generation TKIs (33,62,63). Since the majority of patients will develop resistance through a single point mutation, the assays can be developed to robustly detect a single mutation and do not need to be built around detecting multiple mutations in the sample. It has also been demonstrated that T790M mutations can be detected 2.2 months before clinically progressive disease and may have a value in screening patients for recurrence (64).

The clinical landscape is currently in flux as recent studies have suggested that osimertinib is more effective as a first line agent compared with gefitinib (65). Osimertinib is an irreversible inhibitor with minimal activity against the wild-type EGFR protein, which limits off-target effects and it is well tolerated. Since osimertinib is also active against T790M, it excludes this mutation as a possible resistance mechanism. As a consequence, T790M testing is not useful to determine the mechanism of resistance after osimertinib therapy. There is a current debate in the field between using osimertinib first line versus reserving it for use after treatment failure of first- or second-generation TKIs. The value of T790M testing will largely depend on the use of osimertinib or similar drugs in the first line versus after treatment failure. The resistance mechanism to 3rd generation TKIs is more complex and includes mutations such as C797S, but much more frequently includes activation of signaling cascades that bypass the need for EGFR signaling (66). Furthermore, a subset of cases will develop resistance through a conversion into a small cell lung carcinoma phenotype in which EGFR is no longer expressed (67). It is possible to detect the C797S mutation as well as mutation in other driver genes which would bypass the need for EGFR activity in cfDNA (68); however, there is not currently an available assay that can be used to detect the transformation into a small cell phenotype. Therefore, the determination of resistance mechanisms using the currently available tools following 3rd generation TKI therapy may require additional tissue sampling. Additional tools to sequence multiple genes (69) or even the exome (70) are being developed to help better understand mechanisms of resistance in cfDNA.

**ALK testing**

A small percentage of patients with lung adenocarcinoma (1–5%) will have fusions of the ALKI gene, typically with EML4, which results from an inversion of chromosome 2. Patients with these fusions can be treated with TKIs such as Crizotinib. These fusion events are typically detected using immunohistochemistry, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), or sequencing-based assays on tissue samples. There are a small number of studies that have detected ALK fusions in liquid biopsy specimens. One study using a set of patients that were known to be ALK-positive utilized capture-based NGS to detect DNA fusions between EML4 and ALKI (71). While they were able to detect these fusions, the sensitivity was low and was especially low for patients without metastatic disease. Given the current sequencing technologies, this is likely insufficient for primary diagnostic purposes. With additional advances in technology, the sensitivity will likely improve and may become part of the routine workup for lung adenocarcinoma. Similar to EGFR, patients with ALK fusions treated with TKIs will typically develop resistance to the therapy. A subset of cases will develop resistance to inhibitors by additional mutations in ALK such as L1196M, G1269A, and F1174L, some of which can be targeted by 2nd and 3rd generation ALK inhibitors (72,73). These mutations along with common bypass resistance mutations can be detected using sensitive digital droplet techniques from liquid biopsy specimens and may have a role in clinical workflows for patients with ALK-fusions treated with targeted therapies (74).

**PD-L1 testing and tumor mutational burden**

The introduction of immunotherapy has fundamentally changed the management of patients with lung cancer. Treatment with immunotherapy has been shown to improve the survival of patients with advanced (75) as well as early stage tumors with activity in the neoadjuvant setting (76). However, not all patients will respond to this treatment and...
PD-L1 status has been used as a marker to determine those patients that will best respond to these treatments (77). This requires additional tissue that may have been exhausted by the initial molecular tests. Furthermore, PD-L1 status has been shown to be variable following additional rounds of treatment or radiation (78); however, in many cases the tumor is not rebiopsied following treatment and only pretreatment biopsies are available. Therefore, liquid biopsy is an attractive option as a way to sample the current profile of the tumor in an unbiased fashion to determine the current PD-L1 status. A number of groups have used technology designed to detect CTCs with the addition of antibodies to measure PD-L1 expression (79,80). In these studies, CTCs could be detected in the majority of cases and there was relatively good concordance (up to 93% and specificity of 100%) with tissue sampling but are challenged with low sensitivity at 55% in one study (79,80). Another marker for patient response to immunotherapy is the tumor mutational burden; this can be detected from cfDNA and in a retrospective study predicts response to PD-L1 therapy (81).

The study utilized a large hybridization-capture assay which targeted 1.1 Mb of genomic coding sequence to detect 0.5% allele frequency and excluded all germline polymorphisms. Using this assay, non-small cell lung cancer tumors with >16 mutations identified patients with better progression-free survival when treated with atezolizumab. However, this testing can be too technically challenging and costly with current technologies but may emerge as an adjunct to PD-L1 immunohistochemistry testing to best stratify patients that will respond to immunotherapy.

**Other testing**

In addition to EGFR, ALK, and PD-L1, current guidelines also recommend ROS1 testing for patients with advanced lung cancer (3). In larger panels, the guidelines also recommend consideration for RET, ERBB2 (HER2), KRAS, MET and BRAF testing for patients (3). There are cfDNA based assays to detect ROS1 fusions as well as resistance mutations; however, as is the case with ALK testing, these assays are hampered by low sensitivity for detecting fusions in blood samples (82). Rare RET and MET gene alterations have been detected in cfDNA of patients with advanced cancers in proof of principle studies (83,84). There are robust assays for the detection of RAS and BRAF mutations in cfDNA, which may be useful in both the initial diagnosis and in understanding resistance mechanisms to EGFR or ALK targeted therapies (20,42,59,68,74). New technologies and assays are being developed to expand cfDNA testing beyond single genes to panels of actionable targets, with one such panel including testing for 35 genes that is able to detect an allele frequency as low as 0.25% (85).

**Future perspectives**

There is currently a role for liquid biopsy in patients with advanced lung cancer, especially after recurrence following treatment with targeted therapies. However, the current assays have a relatively low sensitivity in early stage disease. With the increasing power of NGS technologies, it will soon be possible to detect ultra-low frequency mutations present in cfDNA samples from patients with early stage cancer. With this increased sequencing power, there will likely be a shift towards larger panels to detect alterations in multiple oncogenes. Regardless of the power of these technologies, it is the opinion of the authors that, for the foreseeable future, there will remain a critical role for tissue sampling in the diagnosis and management of lung cancer. Liquid biopsy testing will increasingly play a supportive role, particularly after the initial diagnosis of lung cancer to profile the genetics of the cancer, follow response to treatment, and better understand resistance mechanisms to targeted therapies.

**Conclusions**

Liquid biopsy can be used for a number of tests that include the detection of CTCs and the measurement of cell-free genetic material. These tests have been shown to be useful in the diagnosis and management of advanced lung cancers. Particularly, these assays have been utilized in measuring the response to treatment with EGFR inhibitors and characterizing resistance mechanisms. There is an expanding future for these tests to better define early and advanced lung cancers and to help guide treatment decisions, all while limiting the need for invasive tissue sampling.
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