Primary lung tumors invading the chest wall
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Abstract: Chest wall (CW) involvement occurs in approximately 5% of all primary lung neoplasms. According to the most recent TNM classification, lung tumors invading CW are classified as T3, and they represent approximately 45% of all T3 lung cancers. The most common clinical symptom at presentation is chest pain (>60%), which is highly specific of CW infiltration (>90%). Dyspnoea and hemoptysis are also described, especially in case of large lesions. A realistic chance to cure locally advanced tumors invading CW is a surgical resection, consisting in the excision of the primary lung cancer along with the involved CW (sometimes an “en-bloc” resection) and an appropriate lymph-nodal dissection. However, such patients are at high-risk of facing postoperative complications; prognosis mainly depends on: (I) the completeness of resection; and (II) the lymph-nodal involvement. Hence, due to these reasons (incidence, symptoms, prognosis, post-operative complications), such category of patients are to be carefully assessed preoperatively and if deemed practicable, surgery should be taken into consideration. In this view, the aim of this paper is to critically review the most recent series of lung tumors invading the CW, with a particular focus on patients’ preoperative evaluation, surgical techniques, postoperative complications and overall outcome.
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Introduction

Coleman described the first surgical management of a primary lung cancer invading the chest wall (CW) in 1947 (1). Currently, the resection of a part of the CW is no more considered a challenging procedure and it is an accepted option to treat locally advanced pulmonary neoplasms. CW is involved in approximately 5% of all primary lung neoplasms and this clinical condition is more common than those of primary CW tumors that invade the lung (2).

The superior sulcus tumors (Pancoast tumors) represent 1% of primary lung cancers. In this paper, we will not treat Pancoast tumors since they will be subject of a specific chapter.

According to the most recent TNM classification, lung tumors invading CW are classified as T3, and they represent approximately 45% of all T3 lung cancers. Other pT3 neoplasms are: (I) lesions greater than 7 cm in size; (II) tumors with the presence of another ipsilobar nodule; (III) tumors invading the pericardium or the diaphragm.

Generally, there is a realistic chance to cure those locally advanced tumors if the surgical resection is performed, consisting in the excision of the primary lung cancer along with the involved CW (sometimes an “en-bloc” resection) and an appropriate lymph-nodal dissection. The prognosis mainly depends on: (I) the completeness of resection (R0); and (II) the lymph-nodal involvement.
The aim of this article is to critically review the most recent series of lung tumors invading the CW, with a particular focus in patients’ preoperative evaluation, surgical techniques, postoperative complications and overall outcome.

Clinical presentation and patients’ preoperative evaluation

CW infiltration usually originates from peripherally located tumors and develops gradually. At first, the tumor infiltrates the parietal pleura and progressively deepens into the soft tissue, the intercostal muscles and, finally, the ribs. If only the parietal pleura are infiltrated, an extrapleural resection (without muscles and ribs excision) is deemed sufficient, while a complete CW resection is required when a deeper invasion is observed.

Tumor size and depth of invasion are not always directly related; in fact, some large lesions may have a small depth of infiltration whereas smaller lesions may largely invade the CW.

Generally, lung cancers invading the CW originate from the apico-posterior portion of the lung upper or lower lobes (3,4) and, therefore, a posterolateral thoracotomy seems to be the best surgical approach. Instead, less frequently, tumors may originate laterally or antero-laterally for which an anterolateral thoracotomy or a sternotomy may be taken into account. Furthermore, lung cancers invading the paravertebral portions of the ribs or the vertebral bodies (Figure 1) are at high-risk for an incomplete resection.

The most common clinical symptom at presentation is chest pain (>60%), which is highly specific of CW infiltration (>90%). Dyspnoea and hemoptysis are also described, especially in case of large lesions. A careful patient’s clinical examination, with meticulous attention to detect signs of tumour’s infiltration and extension (e.g., weight loss, digital clubbing, and hypoxemia) is paramount in decision-making planning. A correct preoperative evaluation is fundamental to avoid tumor under staging, which may result in improper cures, unnecessary thoracotomies and delayed chemo/ radiotherapy (RT) treatments.

The initial diagnosis is usually obtained radiologically, although data published in literature clearly indicate that CT and MRI sensitivity and specificity are poor in absence of clear tumor infiltration signs. In fact, CT was accurate in 91% (5) and 50% (6) in diagnosis of T3 tumors, even if such data were obtained with less sophisticated radiological technologies than actual. The use of ultrasonography and especially respiratory dynamic MRI, with the demonstration of the independent CW and lungs movements during breathing, may be useful to rule out a possible invasion of the parietal pleura (7-9).

The preoperative accuracy is certainly improved by the use of PET scan, especially for the detection of hilar/ mediastinal lymph-nodal involvement (Figures 2,3) and distant metastases. Further invasive investigations such as mediastinoscopy or EBUS are indicated to rule out the suspect of lymph-nodal metastases. Nevertheless, the incidence of lymph-nodal involvement is not related to the depth of CW infiltration or to the tumor size.

Even with those sophisticated diagnostic tools, the final decision to perform an extrapleural or an en-bloc resection of the CW is very often made intraoperatively by the surgeon.
In cT3 N1-2 patients, the risk of brain metastases is high: hence, it is reasonable, even if not mandatory, to perform brain CT/MRI in the workup staging of such patients.

Patients who undergo resection for locally advanced lung cancers are also at high-risk for postoperative complications and, therefore, require a careful preoperative assessment of comorbid diseases. Before planning surgery, acceptable pulmonary and cardiac reserve must be confirmed; static and dynamic respiratory function tests, along with perfusion scanning scintigraphy and echocardiography are generally indicated in the preoperative workup.

Since non-neoplastic pathologies (infections, tuberculosis, actinomycosis, hydatic cyst) or primary CW tumors with lung invasion may mimic T3 lung cancers with CW invasion, a preoperative cyto-histological confirmation is always required. The most common diagnostic tool to obtain a preoperative tumor diagnosis is a transthoracic fine needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) or, sometimes, a tru-cut biopsy. Bronchoscopy is necessary too, even if generally diagnostic in very large and central lesions, only.

**Surgical technique**

Due to the common posterior site, a classical posterolateral thoracotomy is very often the best surgical approach to lung neoplasms infiltrating the CW.

Following the thoracotomy, the scapula is lifted with a retractor prior beginning the CW resection (*Figure 4A*). It is very important to access the chest far enough from the infiltrated area (*Figure 4B*); both the tumor and the CW should be carefully palpated to determine whether there is true infiltration/adhesions, as well as to circumscribe the boundaries (*Figure 4C*). The next step is the CW resection: it is recommended to proceed with the resection of one segment of the intact rib above and below the gross tumor margin and at least 3 or 4 cm laterally (10-12) (*Figure 4D*).
A frozen section of the resected CW margin is not routinely recommended, due to the difficulties to obtain a correct diagnosis on bone histological specimens. In case of tumors with invasion of the paravertebral sulcus, the disarticulation of the rib from the vertebrae is required. Sometimes, the isolation of the spinal cord is required (Figure 5): its vascularization should be carefully preserved to avoid possible ischemic injuries. A multidisciplinary surgical approach with experienced neurosurgeons is the gold standard for the treatment of such invasive tumors.

After CW excision, the intervention proceeds with performing the classical pulmonary resection (usually a lobectomy) along with lymph-node exeresis (Figure 6).

CW reconstruction is a central time of the surgical procedure. The reconstructive principles are: (I) the maintenance of CW anatomy/structural stability; (II) the protection of vital organs exposed to rib resection; and (III) the preservation of the ventilatory mechanisms. The reported need of reconstruction may range from 40% (13) to 60% (14) of CW resections.

In general, small posterior defects (≤3 cm) that are covered by the scapula do not require reconstruction. This must be performed in case of larger defects as well as when three or more ribs are resected, regardless its possible coverage by the scapula. In addition, if the defect is located below the tip of the scapula, reconstruction is also recommended, to avoid potential entrapment of the scapula in the defect, with consequent chest pain/discomfort and functional restrictions. Polypropylene (Figure 7) or polytetrafluoroethylene meshes are the most common materials used to reconstruct CW even after an extensive resection. The use of rigid prosthesis is not recommended since rigid materials tend to break due to continuous respiratory movements, with a high-risk to penetrate into the surrounding tissues (2).

New materials, more prone to be rapidly incorporated into the host, are currently available for CW reconstruction (15,16): malleable or articulating titanium bars (17), cryopreserved homograft (18,19) and acellular soft tissue patches of bovine, porcine or human derivation (20,21), the latter ones characterized by near-physiological properties.

Synthetic tissue materials usually provide strong tissue reinforcement, but are at high-risk for potential foreign body reactions, which can sometimes result into serious...
Figure 5 Surgical resection of an adenocarcinoma with posterior chest wall (CW) invasion: the spinal cord is isolated following the posterovertebral sulcus resection.

Figure 6 Right upper lobectomy with chest wall (CW) resection.

Figure 7 Posterior (A) and anterolateral (B) chest wall (CW) defects reconstructed with a polypropylene mesh.
clinical complications.

Local infection is one of the most serious complications after CW resection/reconstruction: this may require reintervention for the removal of the prosthetic material, which is the most important way to control the infection sustained by a foreign body \textit{in situ} (22). George \textit{et al}. (20) suggest the use of XCM Biologic Tissue Matrix (Depuy Synthes, Oberdorf, Switzerland), acquired from the abdominal surgery experience (23), when the need of using prosthesis to restore CW rigidity and to avoid paradoxical respiratory movements, is identified. Seroma (Figure 8) is another surgical complication that is commonly treated with an elective drainage (often placed under ultrasound guidance), without the need to remove/replace the mesh. Finally, paraplegia is rarely described following costovertebral disarticulation, when the tumor invades the paravertebral sulcus (24), but it should be taken into account when such intervention is proposed to the patient.

**Results**

The median postoperative mortality following lung and CW resection is nearly 6%, which is currently 3 times higher than following a standard lobectomy. The main cause of death is respiratory failure (mainly caused by ARDS—its incidence increases especially after extended resections-) or secretion retention, pneumonia and impaired cough (generally consequent to uncontrolled pain). Hence, pain and careful postoperative management and care are of great importance to those patients receiving a CW resection.

Long-term results for lung cancers invading CW are good, especially for pN0 ones. Table 1 summarizes the largest and most recent series published in the literature.

Local recurrences appear in 10–16% of cases (10,12,14,26), whilst distant metastases are the main cause of death. Also, the number of resected ribs, as well as the grade of CW invasion, does not influence survival (3,11,14,26). Contrariwise, resection of the involved CW demonstrated to have a strong impact on pain control and improving patient’s quality of life.

The most important predictors of survival are: (I) the completeness of resection and (II) the absence of lymphnodal involvement. The median 5-year survival rate for pT3 N0 patients is about 50%; the presence of lymphnodal metastases worsens this rate up to 20–25% in N1 and below 10% in N2 patients (2). The frequency of lymphnodal involvement is not related to the tumor size and the depth of CW invasion. For some Authors, N2 involvement represents a strong contraindication to surgery and a recommendation to palliative treatments only (2). Presently, a cytologic or histologic N2 confirmation (EBUS or mediastinoscopy/mediastinotomy) in a potentially resectable T3 lung cancer (Figure 2) raises indication to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (CT). Its efficacy has been validated in Pancoast tumors (2,28) but not in T3 tumors with CW invasion (2,22). Induction CT is generally adopted in Stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (references)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Pts.</th>
<th>N0 (%)</th>
<th>N1 (%)</th>
<th>N2 (%)</th>
<th>5-y N0 (%)</th>
<th>5-y N1 (%)</th>
<th>5-y N2 (%)</th>
<th>5-y overall (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facciolo \textit{et al}. (11)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magdeleinat \textit{et al}. (25)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riquet \textit{et al}. (12)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuoka \textit{et al}. (26)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doddoli \textit{et al}. (13)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CW, chest wall.
IIIA lung cancers mainly because of N2 disease, but the pT3 N2 subgroup has never been specifically tested. Future clinical trials should include such patients, before definitely excluding them from surgery.

Historically, adjuvant RT was proposed for T3 tumors, even in case of radical resection (11, 29); however, RT does not seem to be able to prevent local recurrences (30) and therefore it is no more recommended after radical resection, whilst it can be proposed after extrapleural lobectomy for neoplasms infiltrating only the parietal pleura, or in case of an incomplete resection (2).

In conclusion, primary lung cancers with CW invasion can be treated with surgery; a complete resection along with the absence of lymph nodal involvement is the most important prognostic factors. The median 5-year survival rate for pT3N0 patients is about 50%. Induction CT is recommended when lymph nodal metastases are demonstrated; postoperative RT does not seem to prevent possible tumor recurrences, and therefore is proposed after an extrapleural or an incomplete resection.
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