

Journal of Thoracic Disease

PUBLICATION ETHICS STATEMENT

General duties and responsibilities of authors

This manuscript has not been published before, either as a whole or in part, nor is it under consideration for publication elsewhere. All the authors agree to transfer all copyright privileges to the “Journal of Thoracic Disease” in case the manuscript is accepted for publication.

Unless academic misconduct is detected or the withdrawal reasons are approved by the editorial office, your manuscript is not allowed to withdraw under the circumstances as follows:

- A. Your manuscript is under peer review
- B. The review comments of your manuscript has been sent back to you for revision
- C. Your manuscript has been accepted for publication on Journal of Thoracic Disease

Once the violation of the above cases is detected, your misconduct will be announced on Journal of Thoracic Disease (including on its official website) and informed to the editorial offices of the international fellow journals, as well as your affiliation.

General duties and responsibilities of editors

Editors should be responsible for everything published in their journals. They should:

- strive to meet the needs of readers and authors;
- constantly improve the journal;
- ensure the quality of the material they publish;
- champion freedom of expression;
- maintain the integrity of the academic record;
- preclude business needs from compromising intellectual standards;
- always be willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed.

Relations with readers

Readers should be informed about who has funded research and on the role of the funders in the research

Relations with authors

Editors should take all reasonable steps to ensure the quality of the material they publish, recognizing that journals and sections within journals will have different aims and standards.

Editors' decisions to accept or reject a paper for publication should be based only on the paper's importance, originality, and clarity, and the study's relevance to the remit of the journal.

A description of peer review processes should be published, and Editors should be ready to justify any important deviation from the described processes.

Journals should have a declared mechanism for authors to appeal against Editorial decisions.

Editors should publish guidance to authors on everything that is expected of them. This guidance should be regularly updated and should refer or link to this code.

Editors should not reverse decisions to accept submissions unless serious problems are identified with the submission.

New Editors should not overturn decisions to publish submissions made by the previous Editor unless serious

