Eighteen years of clinical experience with a modification of the Bentall button technique for total root replacement
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Background: We retrospectively reviewed our experience with the modified Bentall procedure and evaluated the short- and long-term results over a period of 18 years.

Methods: Between 1999 and 2017, 89 patients with a mean age of 57.3±13.9 years underwent the modified Bentall operation with a slight modification for the correction of aortic root disease.

Results: The operative mortality was 1.1% while the overall early mortality rate, defined as death within 30 days of initial hospitalization, was 2.2% (2/89). Logistic regression analysis revealed that increased Euroscore and aortic cross-clamp times were associated with greater likelihood for complications. The overall survival rates for the 89 patients (including deaths occurred at the initial hospitalization) were 93.0% (SE =3.0%) at 6 months, 93.0% (SE =3.0%) at 1 year, 89% (SE =5.0%) at 5 years and 73.0% (SE =5.0%) at 10, 15 and 18 years. Multiple Cox regression analysis for survival identified that increased aortic cross-clamp time, increased age, having a concomitant cardiac procedure and increased NYHA Class were associated with greater hazard. Left ventricular remodeling was assessed by means of echocardiography preoperatively and 1, 3, 6 and 12 months postoperatively.

Conclusions: According to our experience, the Bentall procedure is a safe procedure, provides optimal long-term survival and can still be regarded as the gold standard procedure for aortic root replacement.
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Introduction

Aortic root pathology is a unique field in the cardiac surgery arena and this is advocated by the evolution of aneurysmal surgery during the last two decades. Since Denton Cooley's and Michael De Bakey's first successful surgical intervention in the ascending aorta in 1956 (1), cardiac surgery has significantly progressed. Almost a decade later, Bentall and De Bono (2), described their technique for the implantation of the composite valve graft, an operation which until today has made a significant impact for patients with aortic root abnormality and dilated ascending aorta and is considered one of the most curative surgical procedures in medicine. In the following years distinctive surgeons like David and Yacouba have left their indelible mark in aortic surgery by repairing the aortic root while preserving the valve (3,4). Over the last years we have witnessed a growing interest for aortic valve sparing (AVS) operations which aim at preserving the patient’s native valve and mainly promise the benefit of eliminating the need for life-long anticoagulation. However, these operations are more technically demanding than the simple modified Bentall procedure and still carry some inherent risks such as reoperation for recurrent aortic insufficiency (AI) (5). Bentall is a widely popular operation.
and as the experience with it increased, its indications have expanded to a variety of other conditions including aortic dissections and infective endocarditis with aortic root abscess (6-8). Nevertheless, patients with annuloaortic ectasia still represent “the bread and butter” of Bentall procedure. This is a small series of patients who underwent a Bentall procedure and it represents results from a single center. With this study we aim to evaluate the effectiveness of the modified Bentall procedure by retrospectively reviewing our short- and long-term results of our 18-year experience in our pool of 89 patients.

Methods

After receiving the institutional board approval (Protocol number 3345, 6th March 2018), we started our retrospective data collection. From January 1999 to June 2017, 89 patients underwent the modified Bentall procedure for various indications such as chronic aneurysm of the ascending aorta with aortic root pathology [aneurysmal root, concomitant severe AI, aortic stenosis (AS) and post-stenotic dilatation] or type A dissection.

Seventy-eight (87.6%) were male and 11 were female (13.9%). Two patients were identified as Marfanoid phenotype (2%), according to the revised Ghent criteria. We identified 23 patients with bicuspid aortic valve, 2 of which had AS as their primary pathology. The final database included demographics, types of indications, comorbidities, procedure specifications and follow-up information. Medical records and patient’s history were used to identify comorbidities (Table 1). Patients’ follow-ups included clinical examination, transthoracic echocardiography and computed tomography scans, when possible. In some cases, follow-up data were gathered with direct telephone interview or contact with the patient’s cardiologist. The primary indication for surgery was annuloaortic ectasia (93.3%) while aortic dissection, acute or chronic, was observed in 4 patients (4.5%) (Table 1).

The surgical technique

All patients were operated with the modified Bentall-button technique. The standard approach for all the operations was median sternotomy. Cardiopulmonary bypass was established by arterial cannulation of aortic arch, femoral or axillary artery depending on the extension of the aneurysm to the ascending aorta or the presence of aortic dissection. Venous cannula was inserted in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Demographics and preoperative characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographics and characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD) (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of pulmonary disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of preop neurological disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-op arrhythmias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euroscore I, mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of aorta, mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marfan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous cardiac surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade of aortic regurgitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction, mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic pathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annuoaortic ectasia (tricuspid valve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annuoaortic ectasia (bicuspid valve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicuspid aortic valve + aortic stenosis + aortopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissection (acute/chronic)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
right atrium. The majority patients were operated with moderate hypothermia (at 28 °C) and myocardial protection was achieved by antegrade and retrograde intermittent cold blood cardioplegia and topical cooling (slash). If circulatory arrest was required, the patient was cooled down to 18 °C and antegrade cerebral perfusion was instituted via axillary artery cannulation and selective left common carotid cannulation. Right superior pulmonary vein was adopted for venting the left ventricle. Attention was given to the construction of small (aortic tissue <5 mm) buttons. Our modification of the classical modified Bentall-button technique lies in that we perform the distal graft anastomosis before the right coronary artery (RCA) button (distal first-RCA last). Once the distal anastomosis is completed, the graft is pressurized by delivery of antegrade cardioplegia. Subsequently, the exact site of the RCA button is determined on the fully expanded skirt of the conduit. This small detail offers a better estimation of the optimal RCA button site, eliminating any possible strain on the anastomosis that would potentially compromise flow.

Statistical analysis
Quantitative variables were expressed as mean values and standard deviation (SD) or with median and interquartile range (IQR), while qualitative variables were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies. Student’s t-tests were computed for the comparison of mean values between two groups. Life table analyses were used to calculate cumulative survival rate (standard errors) for specific time intervals. Multiple Cox proportional-hazard model in a stepwise method (P for removal was set at 0.1 and P for entry was set at 0.05) was used in order to identify significantly associated variables with survival (9). The assumption of proportional hazards was evaluated by testing for interaction with a continuous time variable. Hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were computed from the results of the Cox regression analysis. Kaplan-Meier survival estimates were graphed over the follow-up period. Log rank tests were used to compare survival curves. Multiple stepwise logistic regression analysis was used in order to find independently associated factors with postoperative complications. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% CI were computed from the results of the logistic regression analysis. Changes of left ventricular end diastolic diameter (LVEDD) and left ventricular end systolic diameter (LVESD) during the follow-up period of 1 year were evaluated using repeated measurements analysis of variance (ANOVA). All reported P values are two-tailed. Statistical significance was set at P<0.05 and analyses were conducted using SPSS statistical software (version 22.0).

Results
Preoperative data
Our sample consisted of 89 patients (78 men and 11 women) with mean age 57.3 years (SD =13.9). Sample demographics and preoperative characteristics are presented in Table 1. Hypertension, coronary artery disease and diabetes were present in 83.1%, 22.5% and 17.9%, respectively. Preoperative arrhythmias were present at 12 patients (13.5%) and the mean Euroscore was 11.0 (SD =7.2). Fifteen patients (17.9%) had NYHA class III or IV and 11.2% had previous cardiac surgery. The grade of aortic regurgitation was moderate at 33.8% and severe at 41.2% of the sample.

Perioperative data
The mean cross-clamp time was 144.5 minutes (IQR: 131–160 minutes) and 95.5% of the procedures were elective; no significant difference was observed when the elective procedures (85, 95.5%) were compared with the non-elective ones (4, 4.5%) (P=0.920). Deep hypothermic circulatory arrest (DHCA) was utilized in 7 patients (7.9%). Perioperative characteristics are shown in Table 2.

The most frequently used composite grafts were the St. Jude Medical (St. Jude Medical, Inc., Minnesota, MN USA) composite graft (58 patients), followed by the Carbo-seal Valsalva conduit (LivaNova Group, Milan, Italy) (25 patients). In the last 22 patients, the Valsalva graft (LivaNova Group, Milan, Italy) was the preferred conduit. The comparison between the Valsalva and non-Valsalva grafts revealed no difference in the cross clamp or bypass times in these two groups (P=0.438 and P=0.402 respectively).

Concomitant procedures were performed in 14 patients (15.7%). These included mitral valve surgery in 3, coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) in 9, hemi- and total arch replacement in 2. The mean hospital stay was 9.4 days (SD =2.8) and the mean intensive care unit (ICU) stay was 2.3 days (SD =2.0).

Early outcomes
The operative mortality was 1.1%. The overall early mortality rate, defined as death within 30 days of initial
hospitalization, was 2.2% (2/89). Causes of early death were low cardiac output syndrome (n=1), and excess bleeding in one patient with aortic dissection. Early complications were recorded in 17 of the cases (19.1%) and included postoperative resternotomy for bleeding (5.6%), cerebrovascular accident (CVA) (2.2%), new arrhythmias (5.6%), pacemaker (3.4%) and hemofiltration or dialysis (5.6%) (Table 3).

When multiple logistic regression analysis was performed in a stepwise method with dependent variable the existence of postoperative complications (Table 4), it was found that increased Euroscore was associated with greater likelihood for complications. Additionally, it was found that increased aortic cross-clamp time was associated with greater odds for having complications.

### Long-term results

To date, the mean survival is 10 years (SE =1.2). There have been seven late deaths. There was one cardiac (heart failure) and six non-cardiac deaths (1 prostate cancer, 1 aplastic anaemia, 1 alcoholic pancreatitis, 1 sepsis, 1 trauma, 1 pneumonia leading to multiple organ failure). The overall survival rates for the 89 patients (including deaths occurred at the initial hospitalization) were 93.0% [standard error (SE) =3.0%] at 6 months, 93.0% (SE =3.0%) at 1 year, 89% (SE =5.0%) at 5 years and 73.0% (SE =5.0%) at 10, 15 and 18 years.

Kaplan-Meir curve for overall survival rates is presented in Figure 1. A comparison between the straight tube graft and the Valsalva one, showed no significant differences (log-rank test, P=0.407).

Multiple Cox regression analysis for survival (Table 5) identified that increased aortic cross-clamp time, increased age, having a concomitant cardiac procedure and increased NYHA class were associated with greater hazard.

### Follow-up outcomes

During follow-up, three patients developed superficial wound infection (3.4%). New bleeding events occurred in 3 patients (3.4%) with all the patients recovering well. At
the time of bleeding the International Normalized Ratio (INR) was 3.58, 4.01 and 3.03 respectively.

After liaising with every patient’s cardiologist, all patients were submitted to regular echocardiograms at 1, 3, 6 and 12 months postoperatively. Transthoracic echocardiogram was used to evaluate the incidence of left ventricle remodelling. The two cases of bicuspid valve AS and all acute aortic dissection patients (4 patients) were excluded.

The comparison of the mean values of LVEDD (Figure 2) and LVESD (Figure 3) using repeated measurements ANOVA showed significant differences between the preoperative values and their respective values 1, 3, 6 and 12 months postoperatively with the exception of the comparison of LVESD preoperative to one month. A constant decrease in LVEDD and LVESD during the 12 months follow-up was found.

All the patients at follow-up were free from structural valve deterioration or necessity of re-operation for valve thrombosis, prosthetic valve endocarditis, pseudoaneurysm and pannus formation.

**Discussion**

In 1968, Bentall and De Bono described an operation for treating patients with aortic root aneurysms, which
consisted of reconstruction of the aortic root with a valved composite graft and direct end-to-side implantation of the coronary ostia to the prosthetic graft, without excision of the aneurysmal portion of the aorta. This technique, namely the Bentall inclusion technique, became the standard practice for surgical treatment for many years. However, this method was complicated by increased rates of bleeding in the perigraft space, coronary detachment due to tenuous coronary anastomosis, formation of false aneurysms and dehiscence of the proximal aorta-to-graft anastomosis and perigraft space fistulas (2,10). Since then, several techniques have been developed to reduce the rate of complications and improve patient outcomes. The aneurysm exclusion technique by Kououchkos and colleagues has led to a significant drop in the aforementioned complications (11). Currently, the use of the modified Bentall technique that involves coronary button mobilization and then attachment of the ostial buttons to the graft has been adopted by the vast majority of cardiac surgery centers worldwide (12). Our study presents the results of our 18-year experience with a slight modification of the modified Bentall-button technique.

Over the last decades, there has been a documented considerable improvement in mortality rates in patients submitted to the Bentall procedure. Early and hospital mortality range between 0.7% to 12% in most studies (13-15). The disproportionate percentages in early mortality among several reports could be explained by different patient populations, i.e., reported Euroscore rates, concomitant procedures, and modifications in operative techniques or postoperative management strategies. Benke et al., on a total of 147 patients who underwent aortic root reconstruction, reported an overall early mortality rate of 3.4% (16). The authors recognized concomitant surgery (CABG and mitral valve) as independent predictor of early complication but this however, was not translated as a risk factor for mortality in their study. Multiple Cox regression analysis for survival in our study has shown that, concomitant procedures along with increased aortic cross-clamp time, age and increased NYHA Class were associated with greater hazard. We have found that early mortality in our patients (2.2%), is comparable with that of the previous studies but we have to acknowledge that most of our patients were operated on an elective basis and our cohort comprised of patients with a mean age of 57.2±13.9 years.

With regards to postoperative complications the Bentall procedure is also considered to be a safe procedure. Hagl and colleagues (15), reported an incidence of 1.4% for re-exploration for bleeding and 1.4% for postoperative stroke while Zehr and colleagues (5), reported a re-exploration for bleeding rate of 4% and a stroke rate of 1.0%. We have reported a reopening for bleeding rate of 5.6% and a renal failure requiring hemodialysis rate of 5.6%, however, 10 of our patients underwent a redo procedure and another 14 patients (15.7%) had a concomitant procedure along with the Bentall.

As far as the long-term results are concerned, the Bentall procedure has also been shown to provide optimal survival with improved functional status. Joo et al. (17), reported survival rates at 1, 5, 10, 20, and 25 years to be 90.4%, 82.7%, 77.6%, 65.3% respectively while freedom from reoperation rates at 1, 5, 10, 20, and 25 years were 99.0%, 98.3%, 95.5%, 90.8%, and 90.8%, respectively. Zehr et al. (5), and Kim and colleagues (18), report comparable survival rates and freedom of reoperation.

Gott and colleagues (19), in a milestone paper, evaluated 675 Marfan syndrome (MFS) patients of which 604 had a composite valve graft replacement (CVGR) with excellent outcomes. The reported 5-year cumulative survival after aortic root replacement was 85%, while the operative mortality was 1.5%, 2.5%, and 11.7% for elective, urgent and emergent repair respectively (19). Our reported results especially in terms of overall survival rates [93.0% (SE =3.0%) at 6 months, 93.0% (SE =3.0%) at 1 year, 89% (SE =5.0%) at 5 years and 73.0% (SE =5.0%) at 10, 15 and 18 years] confirm the endurance and effectiveness of this procedure in the treatment of aortic root pathology.

Bentall procedure however, has also some well recognized caveats. It is an operation involving the aortic root and as such, the surgeon must be aware of its many pitfalls. Therefore, it should be carried out with great care and in the hands of experienced surgeons. Increased anastomotic tension and large size of coronary buttons have been incriminated for false or true coronary button aneurysms, button kinking and periaortic fistulas (20,21). In this respect, our method of performing the RCA button to valved-graft anastomosis in the end carries significant benefits, as it allows the surgeon to measure the exact site of the RCA button and thereby produce a tension free anastomosis. All the right coronary button anastomoses were constructed with specific care to avoid any kinking. Furthermore, no revision of the right button or a bypass graft to the RCA was required. In this respect, we did not observe any right ventricular (RV) dysfunction coming off bypass except the usual transient RV dysfunction which is occasionally observed and is mainly attributed to air.
Moreover, no RV dysfunction was observed in the post-bypass transesophageal echocardiogram (TOE). The importance of the proper construction of the RCA button anastomosis has been stressed by other authors. Sultan et al. (22), in their paper on how to teach an AVS procedure, emphasize that implantation of the RCA button should be done as high as possible to avoid any potential kinking. It should be noted that the concept of our modification (distal first-RCA last) can be applied in AVS operations as well, however, it would be more technically demanding as the surgeon has to fashion the anastomosis in much less space in the neo-Valsalva sinuses.

Cabrol and colleagues (23), devised a widely known procedure which was advertised to accommodate all the problems involving the tension of buttons. In cases which involve extreme aortic dilatation or calcification or in redo procedures that may hinder tension-free button anastomosis the Cabrol procedure represents a valid choice. However, this procedure has been associated with more problems than the arterial button technique and has led most surgeons to abandon it (24).

Some authors still acknowledge though, that in cases of aortic root re-replacement it is likely that one or both coronary arteries may not reach the new aortic root without tension (25). According to Raanani et al. (25), it is advised that a short segment of saphenous vein or Dacron graft may be used to increase length. In fact, 16 out of the 34 described patients of their study, required extension of one or both coronary arteries (25). In our series we describe ten patients with redo root replacement for a variety of previous procedures (Table 1). We have utilized this graft elongation technique in only one patient who had CABG in the past. We believe that our low reported rate of utilization of this technique was augmented from the use of Valsalva graft. Furthermore, we recognize that use of the Valsalva conduit confers significant benefits in terms of reduced tension during button suturing and incidence of pseudoaneurysms. From 2009 onwards, we have switched our practice to exclusive use of the Valsalva graft. The comparison between the straight and the Valsalva did not yield any statistically significant differences (log-rank test, P=0.407) Nevertheless, we believe that the absence of reported pseudoaneurysms on long-term follow-up was fostered by (I) implementing the small button technique (<5 mm), (II) distal first-RCA last configuration and (III) use of Valsalva graft.

Other considerable shortcomings deriving from the use of the mechanical valved conduit are those associated with life-long anticoagulation, new bleeding events, risk of endocarditis and pannus formation. Complications related to thromboembolism and anticoagulation deriving from mechanical composite graft surgery are well documented in literature. Mookhoek and colleagues in a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis comprised 46 studies with 7,629 patients who had aortic root surgery (26). In total, 93.2% of these patients underwent the Bentall procedure with a mechanical valved conduit. The authors report outcomes events as linearized occurrence rates (percentage per patient year). They report 0.64% rate for hemorrhage and 0.77% for thromboemboli. During our follow-up the occurrence of new bleeding events was 3.3% while none of our patients experienced a thromboembolic episode. The majority of our patients received a mechanical conduit, mainly due to the relatively low mean age [3,57].

It should be noted that, in the following years, due to the transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI), we might witness an increase in the number of bioprosthetic conduits (bio-Bentall). The rationale is obvious. In these patients however, coronary height should be thoroughly checked as coronary obstruction carries a high risk of fatality (27).

During the last 10 to 15 years, the Bentall’s major “adversary” has been the AVS procedure (either the David reimplantation or the Yacoub remodeling). Bentall is more popular than the AVS because its learning curve is less steep rendering it more reproducible. The use of AVS, however, has expanded in the last years due to encouraging reported results (28,29) and has been embraced with enthusiasm. Specialized centers report reduced cardiac mortality and valve-related morbid event rates for AVS when compared with composite valve graft surgery (30).

Nonetheless, AVS has some inherent limitations. Sparing the aortic valve during root replacement is not feasible in some instances. Morphology of the cusps, i.e., calcific degeneration, thin aortic cusps, leaflet redundancy or fenestrations which are most prominent in the context of Marfan’s fibrillin deficiency and infective endocarditis may limit the choice for opting the AVS. Moreover, surgeon inexperience and concomitant procedures may prolong cross-clamp time thus, narrowing the use of AVS (31). Additionally, AVS carries the potential need for reoperation on the aortic valve mainly due to recurrent AI (32). Benedetto and colleagues (33), in a systematic review and meta-analysis reported a nearly 4-time higher probability of reoperation after AVS compared to composite graft in Marfan patients (1.3%/year vs. 0.3%/year). Coselli et al., in a recent multicenter registry reported a concerning 7% of...
failure rate (grade ≥2+ AI) at 1-year after AVS in patients with MFS (34). The authors underline the importance of 5- and 10-year follow-up to evaluate the long-term durability of AVS in this group of patients.

Finally, another potential weakness in AVS operations, especially in the “reimplantation” technique (David procedure), is that in order to perform the root part of the procedure, the graft has to be divided. This necessitates an additional graft to graft anastomosis to complete the replacement of ascending aorta and potentially increases operation times and risk for bleeding. On the other hand, the Bentall procedure offers a more complete repair of the ascending aorta using a single graft. Similarly, the “remodeling” operation (Yacoub procedure) fails to stabilize the aortic annulus while the Bentall procedure effectively addresses annular dilatation which represents one of the major issues of annuloaortic ectasia (35).

Limitations

This is a small retrospective series from a single center with mid- to long-term clinical follow-up. The main limitations of this study stem from its retrospective nature as well as from the incomplete data collection which made us omit some variables from the statistical analysis. Follow-up computed tomography imaging was not routinely performed. Follow-up is still ongoing.

Conclusions

Bentall button technique is considered one of the most curative procedures in surgery and remains the best option for the average cardiac surgeon who deals with aortic root pathology. This is a small series from a single center for the Bentall procedure. The overall good short and long-term results of this study have shown that in specialized centers, Bentall procedure even when combined with other cardiac procedures, is associated with low mortality and reduced complication rates while it promotes LV remodeling. Bentall can still be regarded as a very efficient and durable procedure and remains the gold standard operation for patients who need aortic root replacement.
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