In the beginning of 2020, the *European Respiratory Journal* published *ERS guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of chronic cough in adults and children*. The European Respiratory Society (ERS) first published the guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of cough in 2004. Subsequently, the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) guideline and Chinese Thoracic Society (CTS) guideline was published in 2006. The guidelines of the assessment of cough and the *Expert opinion on the cough hypersensitivity syndrome* by ERS was launched in 2007 and 2014, respectively. All of the above mentioned played an important role in the improvement of management and research in cough. To improve diagnostic accuracy and promote evidence-based therapy for patients with chronic cough in primary and secondary care, the ERS Task Force (TF) chaired by Prof. A. H. Morice and Prof. E. Millqvist started to update the guideline in 2017, and released it at the European Respiratory Annual Congress in October 2019. The TF consisted of multidisciplinary experts from European countries (the United Kingdom, Sweden, the Netherlands, Switzerland, Spain etc.), as well as of three specialists from United States, China, and Korea. Here, we attempted to lay out the key points of the updated guideline and summarize the consensus and controversy regarding the current issues (*Table 1*).

**Methodology and structure**

The updated guideline was set up following the methodology recommended by the ERS Guidelines Working Group and Science Council. It not only highlighted the evidence-based graded recommendations for eight key questions via a systematical GRADE framework, but also provided systematic reviews on some clinically important aspects of chronic cough (definition, epidemiology, impact on patients, etiology and mechanisms, clinical phenotypes, chronic cough in children, refractory cough, tobacco exposure, assessing and treatment, and future perspectives). Meanwhile, the newly edited guideline offered two flow charts, which benefits the clinical practitioners to assess cough of adults and children.

The Task Force members collected a series of important issues relevant to the management of chronic cough. According to the PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparison and Outcomes) processing, eight questions of clinical uncertainty (two diagnostic and six therapeutic questions) were generated. Aimed at each PICO question, systematic reviews of related literature were identified by searching Pubmed/MEDLINE, Embase, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials databases until June 2018. The recommendations to each question were proposed via evidence-based GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) approaches. The research protocols including the searching strategy and data analysis are available in the online supplement.

**Key questions, recommendations and comments**

*Question 1: Should chest CT scan be routinely performed on chronic cough patients with normal chest X-ray and physical examination?*

Recommendation 1: We suggest that clinicians should not routinely perform a chest CT scan in patients with...
Question 1: Should chest CT scan be routinely performed on chronic cough patients with normal chest X-ray and physical examination?

Recommendation 1: We suggest that clinicians do not routinely perform a chest CT scan in patients with chronic cough who have inadequate response to optimal treatment after 4–6 weeks follow up (1) and physical examination. (conditional recommendation, very low-quality evidence)

Chest radiographs are routinely recommended for chronic cough (Grade 2D). If an obvious abnormality is observed on plain films, additional investigation is selected based on the characteristics of the lesion. High-resolution CT is helpful for the early diagnosis of interstitial pulmonary diseases and atypical bronchiectasis.

Question 2: Should FeNO/blood eosinophils be used to predict treatment response to corticosteroids/anti-leukotrienes in chronic cough?

Research recommendation

In adult and adolescent patients with chronic cough due to asthma, we suggest that non-invasive measurement of airway inflammation has clinical utility, and the presence of eosinophilic airway inflammation is likely to be associated with a more favorable response to corticosteroids (Grade 2B) (2).

FENO measurement:

In the flowchart, FENO, as an ideal evaluation, is recommended for the patients with suspected non-asthmatic eosinophilic bronchitis (NAEB) who have inadequate response to optimal treatment after 4–6 weeks follow up (1). Recommendation on blood eosinophils: N/A

(I) Recommendation on FeNO measurement:

(i) An increase in FeNO (>32 ppb) suggests eosinophilic inflammation or corticoid-sensitive cough. However, the sensitivity is not high when FeNO measurement is used for screening of eosinophilic inflammation. Approximately 40% of patients with increased numbers of eosinophils have normal FeNO (Grade 2C).

(ii) The measurement of FeNO is recommended as to supplement of the induced sputum test (Grade 2C).

(iii) The sensitivity of FeNO is not high for diagnosis of EB, but FeNO of >32 ppb suggests eosinophil-related chronic cough (e.g., EB or CVA) (Grade 2C)

(II) Recommendation on blood eosinophils: N/A

Question 3: Should anti-asthmatic drugs (anti-inflammatory or bronchodilator drugs) be used to treat patients with chronic cough?

Research recommendation

In adult and adolescent patients with chronic cough, we suggest that non-invasive measurement of airway inflammation has clinical utility, and the presence of eosinophilic airway inflammation is likely to be associated with a more favorable response to corticosteroids (Grade 2B) (2).

Chest radiographs are routinely recommended for chronic cough (Grade 2D). If an obvious abnormality is observed on plain films, additional investigation is selected based on the characteristics of the lesion. High-resolution CT is helpful for the early diagnosis of interstitial pulmonary diseases and atypical bronchiectasis.

(I) Recommendation on CVA:

(i) A combination of ICS and bronchodilator ([β], receptor agonist), such as budesonide/formoterol and fluticasone/formoterol, is recommended (Grade 1B).

(ii) The treatment should last for more than 8 weeks, and in some patients, long-term treatment may be required (Grade 2D).

(iii) A short-term oral corticosteroid (10–20 mg/d, for 3–5 days) is recommended for patients refractory or less responsive to ICS treatment, or in patients suffering from severe inflammation of the airway (Grade 2C).

(iv) Long-term use of ICS may be helpful to prevent development into typical asthma (Grade 2B).

(v) Leukotriene receptor antagonists are effective in improving cough, airway inflammation, and the quality of life (Grade 2B)

(II) Recommendation on EB:

The use of ICS is the first therapeutic option, and treatment course with more than 8 weeks is recommended (Grade 2C).

(III) Recommendation on AC:

The treatment course of ICS should last for more than 4 weeks, and oral corticosteroids can be used initially for a short period (3–5 days) for treatment (Grade 2C).

(IV) Recommendation on empirical management of chronic cough:

If steroid-responsive cough is suspected, oral administration of low-dose steroids for 1 week is recommended, followed by ICS or combined therapy with [β]-receptor agonists (Grade 2C)

Table 1 (continued)
Question 4: Should anti-acid drugs (PPIs and H2 antagonists) be used to treat patients with chronic cough?

Recommendation 4: We suggest that clinicians do not routinely use anti-acid drugs in adult patients with chronic cough. (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence)

(i) In adults with chronic cough suspected to be due to reflux-cough syndrome, we recommend that treatment include: (i) diet modification to promote weight loss in overweight or obese patients; (ii) head of bed elevation and avoiding meals within 3 hours of bedtime; and (iii) in patients who report heartburn and regurgitation, proton pump inhibitors, H2-receptor antagonists, alginate, or antacid therapy sufficient to control these symptoms (Grade 1C) (4).

(ii) In adults with suspected chronic cough due to reflux-cough syndrome, but without heartburn or regurgitation, we recommend against using proton pump inhibitor therapy alone because it is unlikely to be effective in resolving the cough (Grade 1C) (4).

(iii) In adults with unexplained chronic cough and a negative workup for acid gastroesophageal reflux disease, we suggest that proton pump inhibitor therapy not be prescribed (2C) (3)

Proton pump inhibitor (PPI) is recommended for patients suspected to be due to GERC (Grade 2C). Antacids: acid suppression is recommended as the standard treatment for GERC (Grade 1A).

When the treatment with the standard dose of PPI is not effective, increasing the dose of PPI may be helpful (Grade 2A).

If treatment with one kind of PPI fails, switching to another PPI may be effective (Grade 2C).

Combining H2 receptor antagonist with PPI may ameliorate cough symptoms due to refractory gastroesophageal reflux or nighttime acid reflux (Grade 2C)

Remarks:

In the updated 2016 guideline, it showed that with respect to the treatment arms, they were all placebo controlled with respect to the primary intervention (PPIs in 11 of 14 cases), but most of the trials made no mention of concomitant dietary modifications, lifestyle modifications, or use of prokinetic drugs (4). Due to lack of sufficient evidence, the use of prokinetic drugs could not be concluded as a recommendation to treat patients with chronic cough. However, in the 2006 guideline, the prokinetic therapy was recommended in treating some patients with cough due to GERD. The detailed recommendation in the 2006 guideline was showed as below. In some patients, cough due to GERD will favorably respond to acid suppression therapy alone; proton pump inhibition may be effective when H2-antagonism has been ineffective; prokinetic therapy and diet, when added to proton pump inhibition, may be effective when proton pump inhibition alone has been ineffective (Level of evidence, low; benefit, substantial; grade of recommendation, B) (5)

Recommendation on prokinetic agents:

Most patients with GERC have esophageal motility dysfunction, therefore the addition of prokinetic agents (domperidone and mosapride) is recommended (Grade 1D)

Question 5: Should drugs with pro-motility activity (reflux inhibitors, prokinetics and macrolides with pro-motility activity) be used to treat patients with chronic cough?

Recommendation 5: There is currently insufficient evidence to recommend the routine use of macrolide therapy in chronic cough. A one-month trial of macrolides can be considered in the cough of chronic bronchitis refractory to other therapy, taking into account local guidelines on antimicrobial stewardship. (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence)

Remarks:

In adult patients with unexplained chronic cough, we suggest a therapeutic trial of gabapentin as long as the potential side effects and the risk-benefit profile are discussed with patients before use of the medication, and there is a reassessment of the risk-benefit profile at 6 months before continuing the drug (Grade 2C) (3)

Clinical studies show that neuromodulators, for example gabapentin, are effective in the treatment of CHS (Grade 2B). Other medicines including amitriptyline, baclofen, carbamazepine, and pregabalin may be useful in CHS (Grade 2C).

Dextromethorphan are recommended for chronic cough in adults (Grade 2A).

Refactory GERC can be treated with baclofen. Adverse effects of baclofen include drowsiness and fatigue (Grade 2C)

Remarks:

The detailed recommendation in the 2006 guideline, the prokinetic therapy was recommended (Grade 1D) in adult patients with chronic refractory cough. (strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence)

Recommendation 6a: We recommend a trial of low dose morphine (5–10 mg bd) in adult patients with chronic refractory cough. (strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence)

Recommendation 6b: We suggest a trial of gabapentin or pregabalin in adult patients with chronic refractory cough. (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence)

Clinical studies show that neuromodulators, for example gabapentin, are effective in the treatment of CHS (Grade 2B). Other medicines including amitriptyline, baclofen, carbamazepine, and pregabalin may be useful in CHS (Grade 2C).

Dextromethorphan are recommended for chronic cough in adults (Grade 2A).

Refactory GERC can be treated with baclofen. Adverse effects of baclofen include drowsiness and fatigue (Grade 2C)

Table 1 (continued)
Table 1 (continued)

| Question 7: Should non-pharmacological therapy (cough control therapy) be used to treat patients with chronic cough? | Recommendation 7: We suggest a trial of cough control therapy in adult patients with chronic cough. (conditional recommendation, moderate quality evidence) | In adult patients with unexplained chronic cough, we suggest a therapeutic trial of multimodality speech pathology therapy (Grade 2C) (9) | Other potential treatments including speech therapy, and cough suppression physical therapy. Cough suppression physical therapy improves the quality of life related to cough, cough hypersensitivities, and cough frequency (Grade 2B) |
| Question 8: Should a trial of antibiotics be used in children with chronic wet cough with normal chest X-ray, normal spirometry and no warning signs? | Recommendation 8: We suggest a trial of antibiotics in children with chronic wet cough with normal chest X-rays, normal spirometry, and no warning signs. (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence) | (I) For children aged ≤14-year with chronic (>4-week duration) wet or productive cough unrelated to an underlying disease and without any other specific cough pointers (e.g., coughing with feeding, digital clubbing), we recommend 2-week of antibiotics targeted to common respiratory bacteria (Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, Moraxella catarrhalis) targeted to local antibiotic sensitivities (Grade 1A) (6). | The etiologic distribution of chronic cough in children is not identical to that in adults, and varies with age. For children younger than 3 years of age, airway infection should be initially considered (Grade 2C) |
| | | (II) For children aged ≤14-year with chronic (>4-week duration) wet or productive cough unrelated to an underlying disease and without any other specific cough pointers (e.g., coughing with feeding, digital clubbing), when the wet cough persists after 2-week of appropriate antibiotics, we recommend treatment with an additional 2-week of the appropriate antibiotic(s) (Grade 1C) (6) |

ACCP, American College of Chest Physicians; BPC, bronchoprovocation challenge; CTS, Chinese Thoracic Society; CT, computed tomography; ERS, European Respiratory Society; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; ICS, inhaled corticosteroids; CHS, cough hypersensitivity syndrome; NAEB, non-asthmatic eosinophilic bronchitis; PBB, protracted bacterial bronchitis.

chronic cough who have normal chest X-ray and physical examination (conditional recommendation, very low-quality evidence).

Comments: In the ERS guidelines, chest CT is not recommended as a first-line routine examination in subjects with normal chest X-ray, which is consistent with the recommendations of ACCP and CTS. The balance between clinical benefits and potential risk from CT radiation exposure needs to be weighed, while the benefits of CT examination lack the high-quality evidence. There is a concern about potential risks of radiation in susceptible populations such as children and females. For the majority of patients with normal chest X-ray, there are no obvious abnormalities in CT findings, and abnormal CT findings do not necessarily indicate the cause of cough (7). Thus, repeated chest radiographs, especially CT scan, is not useful for diagnosis. Furthermore, it may increase the medical expense and the potential cancer risk (8-10). Thus, in terms of the overuse of CT scanning in clinical practice, this recommendation is necessary.

However, for the refractory cough patients, after thorough evaluation, clinicians can consider chest CT scan, and even a bronchoscopy evaluation in order to avoid missing diagnosis of some rare causes (1,11). In some subjects with rare or uncommon causes of chronic cough, obvious abnormalities may not be found by chest X-rays. But if cough persists after consideration of the most common causes, a chest CT scan may be useful to identify some uncommon conditions, including early-staged bronchial tumors, simple bronchial tuberculosis, bronchial foreign bodies, bronchial amyloidosis, etc. (11). If common causes of chronic cough have been excluded (negative routine chronic cough examinations or ineffective empirical treatment), performing chest CT examination may be necessary to avoid missing out some rare causes of chronic cough, and it can be combined with medical history and physical examination to improve the accuracy of diagnosis.
Question 2: Should FeNO/blood eosinophils be used to predict treatment response to corticosteroids/anti-leukotrienes in chronic cough?

Recommendation 2: Convenient and practical tests are needed for predicting anti-inflammatory treatment responses in patients with chronic cough. However, there is still a lack of quality evidence. Placebo-controlled trials are warranted to assess their utility and also consensus is required on threshold levels in patients with chronic cough. Currently, this recommendation is preferable in the utilities for research (exceptionally low quality of evidence).

**Comments:** FeNO and blood eosinophilia plays an important role in predicting anti-inflammatory treatment outcomes of chronic cough. Currently, some non-RCT clinical trials implied that FeNO has a predictive value in diagnosis and treatment response of corticosteroids responsive cough, including CVA and EB. A meta-analysis of observational studies to report the diagnostic utility of FeNO for cough variant asthma and eosinophilic bronchitis shows, FeNO measurement had a moderate diagnostic accuracy in predicting CVA in patients with chronic cough, but the diagnostic accuracy to predict EB was found to be relatively lower (12). Considering a lack of high-quality RCTs, therefore, this guideline offered limited recommendations on predictive threshold of FeNO levels in patients with chronic cough. In terms of the management of chronic cough patients with high FeNO or elevated blood eosinophils, corticosteroids and anti-leukotriene therapy are recommended as the first option. The current investigations on anti-leukotriene treatment are non-RCT trials with insufficient clinical samples and short-term treatment duration. The FeNO values of greater than 50 ppb were advocated by the earliest ATS guideline to indicate a possible existence of eosinophilic inflammation and a sensitive response to corticosteroid treatment. But the reference range of FeNO levels were considered too high. Multiple studies have demonstrated that the diagnosis accuracy of eosinophilic inflammation could reach 90% while the patients’ FeNO values range between 30 and 40 ppb (2). This finding could also hint that those patients might be most likely to be sensitive to corticosteroid treatment. Our research showed that with the cutoff point of 31.5, the specificity was 91.4% to diagnose chronic cough due to eosinophilic inflammation while the sensitivity seemed much lower and presented at 54.0% (13). What’s more, a blood eosinophilic count is also regarded as a validated predictor of COPD patients’ treatment response to ICS (14). To date, there is still lack of high-quality evidence on guiding corticosteroids and anti-leukotriene treatment in patients with chronic cough.

Question 3: Should anti-asthmatic drugs (anti-inflammatory or bronchodilator drugs) be used to treat patients with chronic cough?

Recommendation 3a: We suggest a short-term inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) trial (2–4 weeks) in adult patients with chronic cough (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence).

Recommendation 3b: We suggest a short-term ICS trial (2–4 weeks) in children with chronic dry cough (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence).

Recommendation 3c: We suggest a short-term anti-leukotriene trial (2–4 weeks) in adults with chronic cough, particularly for those with asthmatic cough (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence).

Recommendation 3d: We suggest a short-term trial (2–4 weeks) of ICS and long-acting bronchodilator combination in adults with chronic cough and fixed airflow obstruction (conditional recommendation, moderate quality evidence).

**Comments:** Effectiveness of anti-asthmatic drugs (ICS and bronchodilator) was demonstrated in subjects with cough variant asthma (CVA), eosinophilic bronchitis (EB) and atopic cough (AC). However, anti-asthmatic treatment did not effectively improve cough in all patients with chronic cough. Besides ICS, some therapeutic trials proved an efficacy of leukotriene receptor antagonists in subjects with chronic cough, particularly in those with CVA and EB (15-17). Some reports showed a response to leukotriene inhibitors in patients with AC (2,11). However, there are still very few RCTs with anti-leukotrienes or bronchodilators in patients with chronic cough. Combined treatment with long-acting bronchodilators is not always necessary for patients with EB and AC. We suggest targeted treatment should be prescribed after identifying the definite causes of chronic cough. If it is unavailable to perform auxiliary examinations on patients, consequently, empirical treatment regimens should be based on the recommendations mentioned above.

The ERS guideline proposed a short-term empirical therapy (2–4 weeks) of ICS. If the patients obtain satisfied effect, they can remain the original therapeutic regimens of ICS in 2–4 weeks. After 3-month empirical therapy, physicians should consider withdrawing the drug usage or reduce the drug dose. The strategy to adjust doses...
remains unclear. And it still needs further confirmation whether symptoms or inflammatory indexes are the first considerations to adjust therapies.

The application of methacholine challenge test in chronic cough remain controversial. Both CTS and ACCP suggest that it is necessary to perform methacholine challenge test to determine airway hyperresponsiveness in patients with chronic cough. The ERS guideline does not suggest the acetylcholine stimulation test as a routine test, but considers the negative acetylcholine stimulation test to be of higher guiding value. However, CTS, ACCP and ERS all recommend that, even if patients with chronic cough have airway hyperresponsiveness, the diagnosis of CVA can only be made if the asthma treatment is effective.

Even though wheezing and breathlessness don’t occur in patients with chronic cough due to CVA and EB, they also present eosinophilic airway inflammation similar to those with classic asthma (18,19). In clinical practice, some cases reported that symptoms easily reoccurred after withdrawing drugs, indicating 3-month etiological treatment seems not effective enough.

Furthermore, the updated ERS guideline did not highlight a combination of ICS and LABA because previous reports mainly focused on classic asthma whose end point is not the symptom of cough. In terms of the treatment for CVA, clinical trials documented evidence of significant benefits and faster onset time of combination treatment in treating CVA (20,21). But the difference of ICS monotherapy and combination treatment of ICS and LABA should be deciphered in the future studies.

**Question 4: Should anti-acid drugs (PPIs and H2 antagonists) be used to treat patients with chronic cough?**

**Recommendation 4:** We suggest that clinicians do not routinely use anti-acid drugs in adult patients with chronic cough (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence).

**Comments:** Anti-acid drugs have no therapeutic effects on other common causes of chronic cough compared to gastroesophageal reflux-induced chronic cough (GERC). Thus, we don't suggest anti-acid therapy, such as proton pump inhibitor should be routinely prescribed in adult patients with chronic cough. This guideline intended for regarding all different etiologies of chronic cough as a whole, for example, proposing whether anti-asthmatic drugs (anti-inflammation drugs and bronchodilators) should be used to treat the patients with chronic cough. Based on the cough hypersensitivity underlying chronic cough, it was reasonable that different causes of chronic cough were integrated in the guideline. However, in terms of treatment regimes, a specific drug or a type of treatment option is unlikely to be useful in all the patients with chronic cough since cough resolutions depend upon the effective etiology-targeted therapy. As for whether PPIs and H2 antagonists should be recommended as the standard treatment for patients with GERC, ERS guideline and ACCP guideline gave out a similar recommendation that PPIs and H2 antagonists can be used for the patients with chronic cough co-existing with peptic symptoms, like heartburn and regurgitation (4). But, generally speaking, the expert consensus of this recommendation is relatively negative because the recommended treatments are merely targeted at a small subset of patients with GERC caused by acid reflux and, limited efficacy of anti-acid treatment is achieved. The therapeutic effect of anti-acids on GERC has been controversial, and several meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials gave out inconsistent and contradictory conclusions (22,23). The main reason is that unlike the direct association of GERC and peptic syndromes, cough, as an extraesophageal symptom of gastroesophageal reflux disease, is hard to be established a clear cause-effect relationship with GERC. Our and other clinical studies have shown that classic reflux-associated symptoms, such as regurgitation and heartburn, are not always presented in the patients with GERC. And a considerable number of the patients (40–68%) with GERC do not have classic reflux-associated symptoms but may respond favorably to medical anti-reflux therapy instead of anti-acid drugs, such as proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) alone or in combination with prokinetic agents (24-26). Therefore, cough can be effectively resolved if the decision-making of using anti-acid drugs completely depends upon the presence of concomitant peptic symptoms. Except for the attempt of Chinese cough guidelines, any other international cough guidelines haven’t set up the diagnostic criteria of GERC yet (4,10,11). So far, the inclusion criteria have differed in different clinical trials regarding the efficacy of anti-acid drugs on chronic cough. The sample sizes of these trials were relatively small and, most of participants did not receive the esophageal impedance-pH monitoring in diagnostic procedure. Therefore, these trials might not reflect the real enrollment situation of identified patients with GERC. There were some biases between the clinical practice and the conclusion generating from these defectively-designed trials (27,28). Moreover, the therapeutic effects of anti-acid drugs are to reduce the acidity and volume of refluxates,
ameliorate the stimulation of gastric acid or pepsin to esophageal or laryngopharyngeal mucosa, and relieve cough by the blockade of micro-aspiration and esophageal-bronchial reflex (5). However, the anti-acid drugs have no effects on reducing the frequency and time of reflux episodes as well as non-acid reflux. Sometimes, they fail to achieve an ideal therapeutic outcome. At present, anti-acid drugs are the second-best choice in the absence of effective options to eliminate abnormal gastroesophageal reflux, and thus, this medication should not be abolished. In the several international guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease, anti-acid drugs are still recommended as the first-line choice of treatment (29). Guided by the schematic flowchart of ACCP guideline, PPIs are also recommended as the main therapy for suspected GERC patients. As a special type of gastroesophageal reflux disease, PPIs are theoretically expected to be effective for GERC when considering their benefits in the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Several clinical studies have demonstrated that anti-acid drugs such as PPIs can alleviate the cough symptom in most of patients with GERC, supporting the application of anti-acid drugs in clinical practice (30,31). In the future, the benefits of anti-acid drugs on GERC need to be further validated by well-designed randomized clinical trials.

**Question 5: Should drugs with pro-motility activity (reflux inhibitors, prokinetics and macrolides with pro-motility activity) be used to treat patients with chronic cough?**

Recommendation 5: There is currently insufficient evidence to recommend the routine use of macrolide therapy in chronic cough. A one-month trial of macrolides can be considered in the cough of chronic bronchitis refractory instead of other therapies, taking into account local guidelines on antimicrobial stewardship (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence).

Comments: In recent years, the role of esophageal dysmotility in the pathogenesis of GERC has been gradually recognized (32). However, there is a lack of evidence-based research to demonstrate that metoclopramide, domperidone and mosapride are able to effectively alleviate the symptoms of GERC (33). Although macrolides are pro-motility drugs, they are seldom used as a prokinetic agent to treat GERC. Macrolides have once been used to treat cough related to chronic airway diseases (asthma or COPD) as the nonspecific airway anti-inflammatory drugs. However, it’s questioned that macrolides are recommended to treat chronic cough, especially to manage cough symptom in the patients with chronic bronchitis as the pro-motility drugs.

**Question 6: Which cough neuromodulatory agents (pregabalin, gabapentin, tricyclics, and opiates) should be used to treat patients with chronic cough?**

Recommendation 6a: We recommend a trial of low dose morphine (5–10 mg bd) in adult patients with chronic refractory cough (strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence).

Comments: Morphine has a centrally acting antitussive effect. It is only recommended at a low dose (5–10 mg, bd) with limited side effects. And this therapy should be stopped while there is no rapid response to morphine. In a single randomized clinical trial with a small sample size, low-dose sustained-release morphine (5–10 mg, bd) was confirmed to significantly relieve the symptoms in adults with chronic refractory cough and improve the patients’ quality of life (34). However, considering of the drug addiction as well as the worldwide strict control and management by Food and Drug Administration, morphine is unfeasibly implemented in China and many other countries even though it is strongly recommended. Furthermore, morphine is not recommended as a usual remedy for chronic refractory cough in the ACCP and Chinese guidelines (3,11). Since morphine therapy targets at the symptoms rather than the etiologies of chronic cough, the patients will inevitably experience the cough recurrence after the treatment withdrawal. However, it is useful for morphine to relieve severe cough as a temporary regimen.

Codeine has an obvious antitussive effect, but the use of codeine still exists in controversy. In ERS guideline, codeine is generally not recommended due to interindividual genetic variability in drug metabolism (CYP2D6) and consequent less predictable treatment response and side-effect profile, particularly in children. CTS guideline suggest that the temporary use of codeine might be considered in severe coughs that have failed other treatments. Codeine is also contraindicated in children under 18 in China.

The value of neuromodulators has been gradually recognized in the treatment of chronic refractory cough. As a new choice for the treatment of chronic refractory cough supported by several high-quality clinical evidences (35,36), neuromodulators are also recommended in ACCP and Chinese guidelines (3,11). Neuromodulators (such as gabapentin or pregabalin) have antitussive effects in some patients with chronic refractory cough. The limitation of
neuromodulators is the high frequency of adverse drug reactions. So, the patients need a close follow-up when treated with neuromodulators because the utilization of neuromodulators as an off-label drug does not benefit all the patients and will induce some central side effects, such as dizziness and drowsiness. Incremental increases in neuromodulators dose will avoid the severe adverse effects.

**Question 7: Should non-pharmacological therapy (cough control therapy) be used to treat patients with chronic cough?**

**Recommendation 7:** We suggest a trial of cough control therapy in adult patients with chronic cough (conditional recommendation, moderate quality evidence).

**Comment:** Non-pharmacological therapy is a novel therapy for chronic cough in recent years. There are two randomized clinical controlled trials documented evidence for its benefits (37,38). When combined with drug therapy, its therapeutic efficacy can be evidently amplified (39). At present, the application of cough control treatment faces the problem of insufficient individuals qualified to perform cough control treatment, so these physical therapies have not been routinely performed. In the future, it is necessary to evaluate their merits of application in a larger group of patients from the different countries and regions and promote it.

**Question 8: Should a trial of antibiotics be used in children with chronic wet cough with normal chest X-ray, normal spirometry, and no warning signs?**

**Recommendation 8:** We suggest a trial of antibiotics in children with chronic wet cough with normal chest X-rays, normal spirometry, and no warning signs (conditional recommendation, low quality evidence).

**Remarks:** The ACCP guideline has also maintained the same recommendations (40). Since the empirical use of antibiotics is relatively common in China, the proportion of protracted bacterial bronchitis (PBB) seems not high in children with chronic cough (40). However, this recommendation is helpful to minimize the unreasonable applications of antibiotics in children.

**Assessment flow chart of chronic cough in children and adults recommended in ERS guideline**

**Comments:** The current major guidelines of chronic cough do differ in the concept and scope. ERS is characterized by (I) it views chronic cough as a clinical syndrome but not as a symptom of other diseases and that (II) it suggests identifying cough phenotypes or treatable traits rather than causes of cough. While ACCP and CTS interpret the management of chronic cough according to different causes. Due to the lack of diagnostic criteria of the common causes of chronic cough in ERS guideline, the flow charts are different from those in ACCP and Chinese guidelines. In particular, they do not require to give out the assessment of chronic cough in adults and children and specifically targeting therapy based on the definitive diagnosis of the etiologies of chronic cough, but only consider additional methods of laboratory examinations to determine the causes after the initial empirical treatment fails to resolve cough (1,11).

The diagnostic protocols are feasible for the physicians in the primary or secondary care clinics, but can only be partially accepted and still need to be adjusted in the specialized cough centers according to the real conditions of available equipment and technique. In the flow chart of ERS guideline, PPIs should be only initiated when peptic symptoms or evidence of acid reflux are present. But the recommendation indicated that the therapeutic effects of anti-acid drugs for GERC have been partially agreed. The esophageal high-resolution manometry (HRM) was firstly included in the diagnostic protocol of chronic cough, which reflects a new progress and understanding of esophageal dysmotility underlying the development of GERC (29). However, it remains a doubt that esophageal impedance-pH monitoring is not recommended to apply, which is inconsistent with the normal diagnostic modality of gastroesophageal reflux disease adopted by the gastroenterologists. The efficiency of the simple 24 h esophageal pH monitoring on diagnosing GERC is limited. But combined with esophageal impedance [multi-channel intraluminal impedance-pH monitoring (MII-pH)] or even HRM probably improve the accuracy of diagnosis. MII-pH can detect abnormal acid and non-acid reflux in the esophagus and analyze the association between reflux and cough (41). At present, MII-pH is regarded as a sensitive and specific test for diagnosing GERC and recommended by ACCP and CTS guideline (1,11). HRM can identify oesophageal dysmotility and potentially provide a diagnosis in patients with unexplained cough (41,42). Additionally, HRM is helpful to determine the position of lower esophageal sphincter for accurately placing pH-impedance electrode catheters (41). Therefore, esophageal
pH monitoring combines with esophageal impedance and even HRM may be helpful for the diagnosis of GERC. In addition, the etiologies of chronic cough are complicated. In clinical practice, uncommon or rare causes also need attention after consideration of the common etiologies. As CTS guideline summarized, there are varieties of uncommon causes of chronic cough such as bronchial foreign body, tracheobronchial amyloidosis, pulmonary alveolar proteinosis, etc. Sometimes, the management of uncommon or rare chronic cough etiologies may need attention in clinical practice.

In general, the updated ERS guideline for the management of chronic cough has a concise structure and comprehensive content with several concepts updated. In particular, according to PICO framework and the GRADE approach, the direction-oriented and practical corresponding recommendations have been formulated and graded to address some key issues of clinical concern for cough management raised in recent years. However, we also noticed that some literatures cited in the recommendations are not of high quality and, the solution devised for some questions are still controversial or inconclusive. Since there is a lack of diagnostic criteria for the causes of chronic cough, some recommendations for the treatment specific to the etiologies still remain ambiguous. Under the condition of empirical treatment, the applicability of the diagnosis and treatment pathways related to clinical management needs to be further evaluated. A simple pragmatic pathway probably improved by further discovery and validation of practical biomarkers should be introduced for managing chronic cough. Therefore, the clinical application of the new ERS guideline is necessary to be further evaluated with the combination of clinical practice in China. Additionally, more collaborations with worldwide cough specialists are needed to accelerate the progress of clinical research and to provide more solid evidence for updating cough guidelines. As a common major health issue, whether chronic cough should be regarded as a disease entity in the international disease classification system deserves further discussion.
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